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Executive Summary
Executive Summary

This Community Needs Assessment and Racial Equity Analysis (CNA-REA), conducted in late 2020 and early 2021, aims to understand and present findings regarding the current experiences of youth 0-21 in Oakland, with a particular focus on data that reveal racial, economic, place-based and other types of inequity. Key findings of this Community Needs Assessment & Racial Equity Analysis include:

1. **There are Dramatic Racial and Geographic Disparities in Access to Resources and Outcomes**
   Youth growing up in neighborhoods in East and West Oakland face the highest levels of poverty, environmental stressors, and arrests, and the poorest outcomes for early development, health, school success, and transitions to adulthood. Schools located in these neighborhoods serve students with the most compounding needs, often with fewer resources to do so.

2. **Many of Oakland Children and Youth Experience Frequent Stress and Trauma**
   Youth cited experiences with and trauma from violence, racism and discrimination, neighborhood conditions that are unhealthy and unsafe, high levels of poverty, and major stressors on youth mental health such as anxiety, depression, and social isolation.

3. **Massive Disparities Persist in Children and Youth’s Readiness for, Engagement, and Success in School**
   The majority of Oakland Unified School District (OUSD) students are not considered ready for Kindergarten, ready for high school, or ready for college and career. However, the rates for each show racial and geographic disparities, and the measures themselves compound other types of disparities – for example, disparities in suspensions disproportionately concentrated among Black/African American students carry over into the measure of high school readiness.

4. **The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated existing disparities in income, housing status, access to resources, and outcomes for Oakland children, youth, and families.**
   Disparities in poor mental health, housing cost burden, access to technology, and stable living-wage employment have all been present in Oakland for years – the pandemic exacerbated them.

5. **Transition-aged youth balance competing priorities in their transition to adulthood as they strive to take care of themselves and their families, while getting on a path to self-sufficiency**
   Many youth struggle to transition into college, job training, and full-time employment as they age out of the school system and other services, facing a competitive job market, high barriers to entry for career pathways, high housing prices, and an immediate drop in wraparound support availability. Black/African American youth 16-19 are twice as likely to be disconnected from both school and work, compared to their white peers.

6. **There is a need to center family and community to support thriving children and youth**
   Youth, parents, providers, and community members have solutions to the challenges youth and families are facing, and should be active participants in investments in youth wellbeing.
Introduction

Oakland, California - The Town - has a long history of celebrating youth at the forefront of leadership, community, and culture. Young people are proud to be from Oakland, and feel a strong sense of community here. When asked what they love about Oakland, youth highlight the Town’s diversity (people, music, art, and food), celebration of everyone’s different traditions, and opportunities for activism and building unity around social justice issues.

This Community Needs Assessment-Racial Equity Analysis (CNA-REA) aims to identify the current needs of Oakland’s children and youth and to highlight the disparate experiences and outcomes faced by vulnerable youth in Oakland, including but not limited to children and youth of color, low-income children, youth living in and going to school in high-stress neighborhoods, youth involved with the foster care/juvenile justice systems, youth experiencing homelessness, youth with disabilities, youth disconnected from school and from work, and newcomer youth. The CNA-REA specifically uses a racial equity lens to review and present data. It is designed to provide an overview of Oakland youths’ current experiences and needs by synthesizing recent data across several topics, specifically as they relate to the Oakland Fund for Children and Youth (OFCY). This report braids together recent quantitative data from publicly available sources and surveys with qualitative data and perspectives collected directly through community engagement with Oakland youth, their families, service providers, and other community members via OFCY community workshops, community forums, focus groups, and interviews. This report is organized as follows:

1. Summary of Findings
The first section summarizes the findings that emerged from the quantitative and qualitative data gathered as part of this Community Needs Assessment. It highlights key data points that provide context and understanding of the racial disparities and other equity concerns found in the data, each of which has more information available in the report that follows.

2. CNA-REA Report
The report covers key areas of focus identified through OFCY’s previous strategic planning efforts, as well as those that emerged from the community engagement process conducted in 2021.

3. Appendices
Appendices include information about the guiding questions and methodology of this report, including the community engagement process conducted by Bright Research Group and HTA Consulting. Appendices also include data on Oakland demographics and populations of that informed the analysis of this report.
OFCY Community Needs Assessment & Racial Equity Analysis: Summary of Findings
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Summary of Findings

There are Dramatic Racial and Geographic Disparities in Access to Resources

- Longstanding disparities persist, especially between racial/ethnic groups and between neighborhoods in the Oakland Hills and East and West Oakland. These disparities are reflected in outcomes related to readiness for and success in school, mental health, suspensions, experiences of safety and violence, and transitions to adulthood.

- Schools located in neighborhoods with high levels of environmental stressors are more likely to serve students and families experiencing those same stressors, often with fewer resources available to do so. Schools are important anchor institutions, particularly in neighborhoods experiencing high levels of stress. They often play a role well outside of the scope of academics, providing a setting for families to meet, form community, and share resources; offering wraparound services such as health care, navigation for families, food pantries, extended learning, and other critical supports.

- Gentrification is contributing to significant demographic shifts in the city, affecting communities of color and most significantly Black/African American, Latinx, and Native American populations. A decade ago, one in three Oakland Unified School District (OUSD) students was Black/African American. Now, it’s one in five.

- Immigrating children, as well as U.S.-born children of immigrants, often bear a disproportionate share of the burden for navigating their new environment. Monolingual families have less access to information and resources that could support them in meeting their needs and advocating effectively for their children and family.

More Data Highlights on Racial Inequity

- Oakland families that are housing burdened (paying more than 35% of their income on rent) are most likely to live in East Oakland and be Black or Latinx.
- 23% of kindergarteners in low-income households did not attend preschool, compared to 9% of kindergarteners from higher-income households.
- 40% of children over age five are growing up in a household that speaks a language other than English, and in some areas, more than two thirds of children do

Many of Oakland Children and Youth Experience Frequent Stress and Trauma

- Oakland parents and young people are worried and afraid about neighborhood crime, violence, and their own safety. Many Oakland youth report they seldom feel safe outside, most commonly highlighting gun violence, fears of being the victim of a crime, and overly aggressive behavior of police.
toward young people. For some parents, fears of anti-immigrant violence, mass shootings, and hate crimes against people in their families and communities keep them and their children from leaving the house.

- Young women of color in particular said they do not feel safe because of experiences with catcalling and other gender-based violence, citing fears of harassment, assault, or sexual exploitation.
- Many youth feel socially isolated, anxious, depressed, or unsafe at school. This is especially common among LGBTQ+ youth.
- Juvenile arrests are concentrated in several neighborhoods, primarily in East Oakland, and concentrated with dramatic disproportionality on Black/African American males.
- Youth also have powerful insights into what would make them safer, including more extensive restorative justice efforts and community-based supports.
- Youth identified safe spaces that promote belonging (including cultural and gender-based affinity spaces) and mental health systems of support as particularly critical.

More Data Highlights on Oakland Children and Youth’s Mental Health

- Between 31% and 39% of students in grades 5-12 in OUSD, depending on the grade, report that at least one friend or family member has died by violence.
- One in three OUSD students report feelings of chronic sadness and hopelessness, with a peak among 8th graders.
- 56% of LGBTQ+ youth report experiencing chronic depression – more than twice the rate among youth identifying as straight (24%).
- 11% of high school students reported that they skipped at least one day of school because they felt unsafe.

Massive Disparities Persist in Children and Youth’s Readiness for, Engagement, and Success in School

- Academic outcomes are generally below state standards for OUSD students, with severe disparities based on race and socioeconomic, homeless, and foster status.
- Chronic absenteeism and suspensions are extremely disproportionate by race, gender, socioeconomic status, school, and special needs status. These are a major factor in measures such as “ready for high school” and “ready for college”, meaning that students who are chronically absent or are suspended at disproportionately high rates (Black/African American and Pacific Islander, primarily), experience compounded impact on their success in school and transitions to adulthood.

17% of all OUSD students were chronically absent in 2019-20 compared to 10.1% statewide

Pacific Islander, Black/ African American, and Native American students were 3-4x more likely to be chronically absent than their white and Asian peers.
There are strong needs for culturally-responsive resources and approaches to services, rooted in racial equity and utilizing that lens, in order to tackle the racial, linguistic, and class disparities evident in academic achievement gaps and experiences with school systems.

Native American/Alaska Native students are often excluded or overlooked in discussions about school success and identifying student needs.

The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated existing disparities in income, housing status, access to resources, and outcomes for Oakland children, youth, and families.

- Service providers reported that during their professional careers they had not experienced the level of crisis the COVID-19 pandemic brought both to their organizations and to the families, children, and youth they serve in Oakland.
- The pandemic only widened educational gaps for students who were already facing disparate access to education. Wealthier families have the space and resources to ensure that their children stay on track, and providers are concerned that the achievement gap between low- and high-income youth has grown during the past year of remote learning.
- Youth reported an increase in their levels of anxiety, stress and depression, social isolation, and concerns about their physical safety and/or their home environment as a result of sheltering in place and the COVID-19 pandemic.

Transition-aged youth (TAY) balance competing priorities in their transition to adulthood as they strive to take care of themselves and their families, while getting on a path to self-sufficiency

- Supporting students up to the “entry point” for college and career is not always enough to help them succeed. As they age out of the school system (and/or foster care system), many students struggle to succeed in their first year of continuing education or career without wraparound supports such as financial literacy, workplace readiness skills, and a safety net.
Many older students need to support their families financially while in school and/or immediately after. Youth find themselves facing a competitive job market and frequently take jobs that are not on career-building pathways to living wage employment.

There are many complex factors that can contribute to becoming “disconnected/opportunity youth” who are not in school and not working. These can range from growing up in families with low economic resources or educational attainment, having a disability, living in neighborhoods with high rates of poverty, unemployment, and racial segregation, being involved in the foster care or criminal justice system, and having caregiving responsibilities at home, among others. It is clear, however, that without connecting to school and work, these young people will be at high risk of experiencing poverty, unemployment, homelessness or incarceration during their adult lives.

More Data Highlights on TAY Transition to Adulthood

- 39% of OUSD high schoolers said they thought their school gave them the skills and knowledge needed to get a job after high school and/or pursue higher education or vocational training.
- There were an estimated 20,695 youth ages 16-19 not in school and not working (disconnected) in 2019 – a rate of 6.5% which is higher than the state average. This rate was double (13%) for African American youth, and lower (4%) for Latinx youth.
- Among TAY 18-24 in the SF-Oakland-Berkeley metro area, 47% live below the poverty line.
- 75% of TAY experiencing homelessness were unsheltered.

There is a need to center family and community to support thriving children and youth

- Community members and families provide irreplaceable knowledge in decision making.
- There is a lack of representation among teachers that reflect the demographics and experiences of youth.
- There is a lack of data that capture the nuances and experiences of many youth, notably including Native American/Alaska Native and the African American, Asian American, and Pacific Islander diasporas.
- In order to effectively address inequities, there needs to be a strong partnership between OFCY and providers. With this partnership, there is a need for a clear structure so initiatives can be successful.
- Providers expressed a clear need to create a network among themselves to be able to better assist children, youth and their families by linking them to services. A community hub model also gives providers the opportunity to collaborate on new methods of service and care.
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Shared Goals for Youth

In 2016, the Youth Ventures Joint Powers Authority (JPA) identified 31 collaborations supporting improved outcomes for Oakland’s children, youth, and families. OFCY’s strategic funding initiatives address these shared goals of moving the needle on the following shared indicators which were identified through a process of community engagement and planning within an equity framework. This section highlights data from secondary sources and insights from the community engagement efforts described in the introduction of the report.

| Kindergarten Readiness | 3rd Grade Literacy | Academic Achievement Across the Grade Levels | Opportunities for Youth Development, Learning, and Enrichment | School Attendance | Suspension from School | High School Graduation & Transitions to Adulthood | Community Safety & Contact with the Criminal Justice System |

I. Kindergarten Readiness

Early Childhood Education Programs

High-quality early childhood education programs help children get ready for school. Children who participate in pre-kindergarten education are more likely to have better reading skills when they get to school. Some studies show that early education can fully close reading gaps, with the gains in skills being long-lasting for children from low-income families in particular.

Parents are looking for caring and safe environments for their young children when it comes to early care and education. They want their children to feel comfortable, and they want to trust that the teachers are well qualified and nurturing. During OFCY community engagement, many immigrant parents explained that preschools provide a good forum for their children to learn English and for parents to connect with other families. Essential workers expressed that they need childcare in order to work. Parents of young children are concerned that their children will not be ready for kindergarten, are missing out on the chance to socialize with other children, and are not getting enough physical activity due to COVID-19 and the shelter-in-place order.
The academic success of children in later years depends heavily upon their kindergarten readiness. The first few years of education and preparedness are the most crucial to establishing a solid foundation from which children can adapt to school systems and learn successfully. During this early period, children develop primary skills that form the foundations of reading, counting, and social interaction.¹

Access to preschool in Oakland showed significant racial disparities. Oakland Unified School District (OUSD) preschools serve a diverse group of young Oaklanders, with a 2018-19 preschool population made up of 21.7% African American, 25.8% Asian, Filipino, and Pacific Islanders, 28% Latinx, and 5.8% white children. Young white children constituted 57.3% of those in private preschool and only 6.7% of those with no preschool. Latinx children made up only 7.4% of private preschool students but 24.8%, the largest group, of children with no preschool experience.

**Figure 1: Preschool Experience Types by Race 2018-2019**

![Preschool Experience Types by Race 2018-2019](image)


For low-income families, OUSD preschools play a significant role in early childhood experiences. Of the 2026 OUSD Kindergarteners receiving free or reduced meals, 56% attended an OUSD preschool, transitional kindergarten, or Head Start program. One in five (22.5%) did not attend any preschool. Comparatively, 90.8% of students not receiving free or reduced meals did attend some form of preschool.

“[We] need to provide a diverse pool of teachers with training and compensation so they can provide children of color the same quality preschool program.”
- Stakeholder interview

“There is a need for greater childcare services and programs that are outside of the normal working hours, that don’t require committing one’s child to a specific schedule.”
- Stakeholder interview
Figure 2: Type of Preschool Experience by Free or Reduced Lunch Status, 2018-2019
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Kindergarten Readiness by Geographic Distribution
Disparities in kindergarten readiness also vary by geography which may be indicative of access to early childhood resources in Oakland’s high stress neighborhoods. The map below shows an aggregate score for the Early Development Index, which measures development across five domains: emotional maturity, general knowledge, language and cognition, physical health and wellbeing, and social competence. The comparison maps below show the rate of children “on track” for Kindergarten by neighborhood zone and domain, with 2017 on the left side and 2020 on the right. In particular, Zones 11 and 14 in East Oakland had the most significant changes between 2017 and 2020, though those changes vary by domain. Please see details below.

Figure 3a: “On Track” for Kindergarten – Language and Cognitive Development, 2017-2020

Language and cognitive development is the domain where the most young Oaklanders are not ready for Kindergarten, as well as the domain with the biggest losses between 2017 and 2020. In particular, Zones 5, 7, 11, and 12 had losses greater than 10% (as high as 21% in Zone 11). The map shows that there is a high concentration of young children in central to deep East Oakland that are not receiving access to high-quality programs that help them develop these critical skills. A trend to note is that Zone 11 had a 13% drop in students qualifying for free or reduced meals in the same time period, from 87% in 2017 to 74% in 2020. Zone 12 had a similar drop of 15% qualifying for free or reduced meals, from 91% to 76%, and in Zone 5, the drop was 18% from 87% to 69%. These data are only among those completing an EDI, however FRPM rates have generally fallen in OUSD in this time frame.3
Nearly half of the geographic zones saw a decrease in communication and general knowledge skills measured, though children in the majority of zones saw slight increases. Most significantly, Zone 14, which includes Eastmont, Castlemont, Cox, Iveywood, Las Palmas, Durant Manor, Cox, and Toler Heights neighborhoods, saw a 9% gain in this time period, and Zone 11, which includes Melrose, Fremont, Fairfax, and Maxwell Park neighborhoods, saw an 11% decrease. Zone 11 had a 13% drop in students completing the EDI who qualified for free or reduced meals in that same time period, from 87% in 2017 to 74% in 2020. Zones 7, 8, and 12 in East Oakland saw decreases of more than 5%, as did Zones 3 and 9 in the Oakland Hills.
In the domain of emotional maturity, all zones show more than 70% of young children on track for kindergarten. Zone 14 showed the most improvement, jumping from 68% in 2017 to 81% in 2020 – the highest in the city. However, six out of 15 areas showed lower rates of emotional maturity, most significantly Zone 3 (Oakland Hills), Zone 7 (Cleveland Heights, Ivy Hill, Highland Park, and Reservoir Hills), and Zone 11 (Melrose, Fremont, Fairfax, and Maxwell Park).

**Figure 3d: “On Track” for Kindergarten – Physical Health & Well-being, 2017-2020**

The majority of young Oaklanders are on track for Kindergarten in the domain of Physical Health and Wellbeing, with the lowest number of children on track in Zone 12 (Havenscourt and Bancroft neighborhoods), dropping from 76% on track in 2017 to 67% on track in 2020. In a similar trend as mentioned in 3c, there was also a drop in the number of students qualifying for free or reduced meals in this time frame, from 91% to 76%.

**Figure 3e: Percentage “On Track” for Kindergarten-- Social Competence, 2017-2020**

Source: OUSD Early Development Index, On-Track by Domain Dashboard, 2020
Like the emotional maturity domain, more than 70% of children in all zones meet the social competence benchmarks for Kindergarten readiness. The most significant changes were found in Zone 14, which increased from 66% to 80%, and in Zone 11, which decreased from 81% to 72% -- similar trends as were found in the Communication Skills and General Knowledge domain (Figure 3a).

**Geographic Spotlights**

As described above, Zone 11 saw decreases in the rate of young children on track for Kindergarten across all five domains between 2017 and 2020. Conversely, Zone 14 saw increases across all domains, indicating that the programming available in Zone 14 has been successful, and there is a service and/or access gap particularly in Zone 11. The two areas’ profiles are summarized below, with information drawn from the EDI 2020 Dashboard. Data presented below are only for children who completed an EDI.

**Figure 4a – Zone 11 Area Map**

In 2020, children living in Zone 11 attended Kindergarten at Bridges Academy (27%), Horace Mann Elementary (16%), Global Family School (15%), Greenleaf Elementary (8%), and Manzanita Community School (4%). Approximately 74% of students qualified for free or reduced lunch (down from 87% in 2017), and 65% were English Learners (down from 69% in 2017. The demographics of this area have shifted slightly in the last few years, with an increase in Latinx students from 63% to 69%, a decrease in African American students from 17% to 12%, and a decrease in Asian students from 8% to 6%. The most common languages spoken at home among Zone 11 Kindergarteners are Spanish (48%, down from 57%), English (33%), and Mam (Guatemalan, 14%).

**Figure 4b – Zone 14 Area Map**

In 2020, children living in Zone 14 attended Kindergarten at East Oakland PRIDE Elementary (19%), REACH Academy (12%), Markham Elementary (9%), Parker Elementary (8%), and EnCompass Academy (5%). Approximately 73% of students qualified for free or reduced lunch (down from 85% in 2017), and 57% were English Learners (up from 51% in 2017. The demographics of this area have remained quite stable, with the exception of a decrease in African American students from 32% to 26%. The most common languages spoken at home among Zone 14 Kindergarteners are Spanish (45%, down from 51%), English (42%), and Mam (Guatemalan, 10%).

More information for each zone and domain can be found on the EDI Data Dashboard, located at [https://sites.google.com/ousd.org/edi](https://sites.google.com/ousd.org/edi)
II. 3rd Grade Literacy

One of the most important predictors of graduating from high school is reading proficiency by the end of third grade. Students who can read proficiently at the end of 3rd grade have a foundation for future learning, success in school, and positive outcomes in adult life.

Only 21% of OUSD 3rd graders meet standards in the Reading portion of the state’s English Language Arts test, which is designed to measure “How well do students understand stories and information they read?”

As shown in Figure 6, there are substantial disparities along racial/ethnic lines in students reading at grade level, based on the Scholastic Reading Inventory, which OUSD utilizes as its benchmark assessment of student’s literacy skills. White, Multiracial, and Filipino students have the highest rates of 3rd grade literacy, exceeding district-wide averages, while larger percentages of Hispanic/Latinx and Black/African American 3rd grade students are not reading at grade level.

Figure 6: Reading Performance Among OUSD 3rd Graders by Race


Reading achievement also varies by geography within Oakland. There is a major division between the flats and the hills -- with 3rd grade children who live in the historically more
affluent hills neighborhoods being far more likely to be reading at grade level, as shown in the Stressor Map in Figure 7.

**Figure 7: OUSD Students Below 3rd Grade Reading Level Map**

The Oakland Literacy Project posits that by supporting children early on -- through strategies such as improving access to quality early learning experiences, removing barriers to attendance, and immersing students in literacy-rich environments when they are out of school -- “Oakland can go a long way toward closing achievement gaps, reducing dropout rates and breaking the cycle of poverty.”
III. Academic Achievement Across the Grade Levels

Academic Achievement

Participants in OFCY community engagement shared their concern for students who are struggling academically during the COVID-19 pandemic. They expressed their belief that schools and educators will focus on trying to close the gap with remedial classes and programs that are not engaging to youth, which will further limit their growth. They identified the need for a substantial plan to support students with the transition back to in-school settings.

As shown in Figure 8, only one-third of OUSD students are meeting grade level standards in English Language Arts, and this is the case for just over one in every four students (27%) in Math, based on state testing results (in spring 2019, the last time the tests were administered). In both core skill areas, OUSD students are achieving at than their peers county- and state-wide. Moreover, as OUSD students advance through the grade levels, fewer of them are able to maintain proficiency in their core academic skills, as depicted in Figure 9.

Figure 8: Students Meeting or Exceeding Grade-Level Standard in English Language Arts on the CAASPP, by Grade Level, 2019

“OFCY should definitely be focusing on digital learning and the access to digital learning.”
- Stakeholder interview

![Figure 8: Students Meeting or Exceeding Grade-Level Standard in English Language Arts on the CAASPP, by Grade Level, 2019](http://www.ousddata.org/edi.html)

OUSD students on average scored 49.1 points below the state standard in ELA and 71.4 below standard in math. Homeless, African American, Hispanic/Latinx, Pacific Islander, English Learners, socioeconomically disadvantaged, and foster youth were among the lowest performance groups.

**Figure 9: Scores Below State Standards in ELA and Math, 2019**

Remote Learning
Young people are struggling with distance learning during the COVID-19 pandemic and reported feeling unmotivated by remote learning. In addition, young people feel like their teachers are less engaged since they transitioned to virtual learning and spoke of a negative feedback loop in which the absence of having a teacher or mentor to hold them accountable fuels their lack of motivation. Some students have expressed concern about being able to transition back to school, because they are no longer used to a traditional school day or setting, and they are concerned about having the social skills needed at school.

“*When I was attending school full-time, I always had a teacher who would motivate me and hold me accountable for my work. Now teachers are overwhelmed with teaching online and don’t have time to provide personalized attention to students.*”

- Youth forum participant

“I just need to socialize with others. I honestly talk to no one. I watch anime and then sleep.”

Parents expressed a wide range of opinions about, perspectives on, and experiences with remote learning. While some parents experienced challenges with technology, especially internet connectivity, and with balancing work with their children’s virtual learning, many
appreciated the opportunity to be more involved in their children’s education and to see what they were learning. Some found that their engagement in their child’s education had improved during remote learning, and many appreciated having the opportunity to spend more time with their children and teach them. Providers reported that for some students, the experience of online instruction is positive. They have found safety with online instruction because they do not have to deal with bullies or the anxiety of social interaction.

**Equity in Education**

OFCY community engagement yielded a wealth of insight related to equity in education in Oakland. Perspectives on equity varied from group to group with concerns ranging from technology to culture.

Young people were acutely aware of the educational inequities in OUSD, citing a lack of adequate supplies, building maintenance, and technology within some OUSD schools. Many students said they had chosen to leave OUSD for charter schools or other school districts in neighboring towns (i.e., San Leandro, Hayward, etc.).

Providers discussed the lack of digital access to technology, e.g., stable broadband internet connections or high-quality laptops and devices, as a barrier to participating in online instruction. Many students from large households do not have dedicated or quiet work spaces, as multiple children are participating in online instruction at the same time. Noise and unstable internet connections prevent young people from engaging in online learning.

When asked about their ideas for improving educational equity, providers described the disparity in investment in low-income schools and communities in Oakland compared to higher-income neighborhoods. The pandemic only widened educational gaps for students who were already facing disparate access to education. Wealthier families have the space and resources to ensure that their students stay on track, and providers are concerned that the achievement gap between low- and high-income youth has grown during the past year of remote learning.
Providers report that some parents lack digital literacy skills and are unprepared to support kids in using technology to complete their assignments on platforms such as Zoom or Google Classroom. Some organizations have responded to this need by creating learning hubs with skilled support staff who can support students with assignments while also creating an emotionally supportive and dedicated space that the students may not be able to access at home.

Oakland parents said they look for a high-quality education; caring teachers; schools that are free from racism, discrimination, and violence; and pathways to higher education. Parents are seeking caring teachers who hold high expectations for all students regardless of class, race, or ethnicity. Many parents believe that they have a key responsibility to learn about how the educational system works, to hold high expectations for their children, and to instill the value of education in their families.

Some immigrant parents noted needing help navigating the American educational system, including how to communicate with teachers, advocate for their children, and assess school quality. Some participants suggested that parent-education workshops, family resource centers, and family navigators can support families to help them learn these skills. Recent immigrants emphasized the need for this information to be communicated by people who speak their primary language in community-based settings.

Latinx parents in particular expressed disappointment with the quality of education available through OUSD. They felt that many schools did not offer a rigorous, college-bound education to their children and that parents were often pushed to enroll them in low-quality schools in their neighborhoods by the district. Several had sought out charter schools as an alternative.

“We need rich, stimulating environments; our ecosystem right not only exists Monday through Friday, 9am-5pm. This paradigm does not work in the current economy with low-income families -- the times they need care the most are outside of what’s provided.”
- Stakeholder Interview
IV. Opportunities for Youth Development, Learning, and Enrichment

Oakland children and youth frequently face challenging environmental and community stressors that can impact social-emotional, health, educational, and economic outcomes throughout childhood and adolescence into adulthood. Oakland youth are also leaders in their communities, speaking highly about community unity in times of injustice.

“‘There’s an opportunity to support young people in developing critical consciousness and advocating for change.’”
- Stakeholder interview

Safe and Connected School Environment

Every student in Oakland should have a nurturing school environment that promotes feelings of safety and support. The graph below shows measures of how students perceive their safety, caring relationships with adults, connectedness, and meaningful participation while at school. Less than half of all students report feeling like they are meaningfully participating in their school community. While most OUSD students report feeling safe or very safe at school and that they are connected to their school early on, nearly half of OUSD students do not report feeling safe or connected at school by the time they reach high school. In 2019-20, 11% of high school students reported that they skipped at least one day of school because they felt unsafe.5
**Figure 10: Social-emotional developmental assets at school by grade level, 2019-20**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Feel safe or very safe when at school</th>
<th>Perceive there are adults at school who care about them</th>
<th>High level of school connectedness</th>
<th>Feel they participate meaningfully in school</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Systems of Support and Belonging**

In our March 2021 community workshop series, youth participants expressed that they would like to see easier access to school and community-based supports that help them navigate inter-generational differences with their parents, anxiety, and stress. Some youth shared feelings of alienation from their parents, particularly with respect to their mental health and emotional challenges. Youth said they need access to moral and social support, whether in person or online. Some young women expressed a lack of confidence in their school’s preparedness to provide the mental health support they need to succeed and would like to see more wellness programs and counselors co-located on their school campuses.

Providers in our community workshop series reported that they are seeing an increase in mental health challenges for both families and youth during the pandemic, and many recognize that current mental health resources, especially outside school-based settings, are insufficient and at times culturally irrelevant. The lack of resources has led to provider and counselor burnout during the pandemic.

"Anything that can help strengthen the family in its entirety is always a good strategy."
- Stakeholder interview

Young people shared their appreciation for affinity spaces that promote a sense of belonging, spaces that welcome and respect cultural diversity and traditions, and gender-specific spaces. Youth also spent time discussing self-care. Notably, during the older TAY workshop, which was segregated by gender, young women found the opportunity to speak freely in the presence of
other women to be cathartic; many wished they had a space where they could freely discuss their experiences, validate each other’s feelings, and share information with each other about available community resources.

Participants in our community forums highlighted the importance of showing young people role models with examples of people like them in leadership positions. They also mentioned the importance of having books that are multicultural with stories that children can relate to.

Young people who have lived in other cities not only spoke about Oakland’s unique and rich culture but also noted that there were more resources and systems of support in Oakland compared to other cities they had lived in. When asked about places they go to for support, many youth cited a number of Oakland-based nonprofit organizations. Young people said the adults care about them and are people whom they can trust. They emphasized how important it is for youth to have places outside their homes where they can connect with friends and trusted adults.

Although youth had high praise for Oakland-based organizations, some commented that more could be done to raise awareness about programs and services that are available to them. When asked how they had heard about programs they are currently involved in, school and word of mouth were the most common responses from attendees. The exception was from the Transitional Aged Youth workshop, where participants commented that they were referred to a program from a case manager. Several attendees feel that their schools could do a better job of communicating about and connecting them to opportunities that Oakland community-based organizations provide. Service providers participating in one of our community forums noted that while there are lot of organizations doing great work, many students are still not being reached. They pointed to a need to create a network among themselves, “working together to act as a community hub,” to better assist children, youth, and their families by linking them to services.

Youth emphasized the need to provide upstream supports before a young person becomes involved with the juvenile justice system. Safe spaces, mental health support, academic support, and job placement were the most common systems of support referenced across both Youth workshops. Providers felt there was a need to help youth process what they experienced during the pandemic in a way that is trauma-informed and focused on healing through a broad range of therapeutic tools beyond clinical services, with an understanding of PTSD and intergenerational trauma.
Physical Activity

California Healthy Kids Survey (CHKS) results from 2019-2020 reveal that OUSD students become less active as they get older. While more than half of 5th graders report exercising for an hour at least five days a week, just over a quarter of high school seniors do, as shown in Figure 11. Regular exercise and physical activity bring proven benefits not only to physical health, but to emotional wellbeing and positive learning outcomes.

*Figure 11: OUSD students who are physically active for at least an hour, 5 days a week*

Parents participating in workshops also were concerned about the amount of time their children were connected to their devices and the lack of public spaces and recreation opportunities for young people. Having the opportunity for their children to be able to play and engage in physical activity while still maintaining social distance was a priority for many parents.

Mental and Emotional Health

Youth reported an increase in their levels of anxiety, stress and depression, social isolation, and concerns about their physical safety and/or their home environment as a result of sheltering in place and the COVID-19 pandemic. The sudden shift to remote learning and changing economic realities has increased levels of stress for youth and their families.

Lack of opportunities to socialize and engage with their peers during remote learning has contributed to social anxiety. Some youth are feeling timid about returning to the classroom. Young women from both workshops were most likely to express anxiety regarding their physical safety, while many young men said that a lack of opportunities contributes to increased feelings of sadness and depression. Some youth reported feeling more stress and
pressure from all angles, such as the pressure to do well in school, navigate college admissions, manage remote learning, and help their siblings with school and/or help out around the house more.

As providers discussed solutions to addressing mental health challenges and the transition back to life after the pandemic, they felt there was a need to help youth process what they experienced in a way that is trauma informed and focused on healing. They believe that new and existing services should be trauma informed, with an understanding of PTSD and intergenerational trauma.

Many OUSD students report feelings of chronic sadness and hopelessness, and considering suicide. The figure below shows that these feelings spike among students in 8th grade, indicating that this transition between middle school and high school may be particularly challenging. About one in three high school students reported chronic sadness or hopelessness.

**Figure 12: Reported feelings of depression and suicidal ideation among OUSD students, 2019-20**

- Experienced chronic sadness/hopelessness in the past year
- Considered suicide in past year

Three quarters of high school juniors and seniors report being sleep deprived, with 73% of 11th graders and 74% of 12th graders reporting less than eight hours of sleep on average. Half (50%) of OUSD 12th graders get six or fewer hours of sleep. Sleep deprivation -- frequent among teens nationwide -- is linked to poor physical, social-emotional, and cognitive outcomes, including the inability to concentrate, poor grades, risk-taking, anxiety, depression, and suicidal ideation.⁶

OUSD-specific questions asked on the California Healthy Kids Survey reveal that 15% of OUSD high school students don’t know where to go for help with a problem. Almost half (44%) of students reported that they did not feel they could disagree with others without starting an argument. Among OUSD teens reporting that they are sexually active (33%), more than half (53%) report that they always use protection while 28% report that they rarely or never do.⁷

**Substance Use**

California Healthy Kids Survey data include student reports of their alcohol and drug use. Six percent of students as young as 11 years old report they used alcohol or drugs in the past month, increasing steadily through middle school and high school.

Figure 13 demonstrates the increase in alcohol and drug use over time, and the following table details the type of use by grade. Nearly half (47%) of high school seniors report having tried alcohol or drugs, one in three (28%) reported having used alcohol or other drugs in the past month, 15% report heavy drug use, and 10% report binge drinking. Fifteen percent of high school juniors and seniors report having been in a car where the driver was drinking and driving.

**Figure 13: Reported Alcohol and Drug Use in Past 30 Days Among OUSD Students, 2019-20**

### Figure 14: Reported Substance Use Among OUSD High School Students, 2019-20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime alcohol or drug use</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol or drug use in the past month</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol or drug use on school property in the past month</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime marijuana use</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana use in the past month</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime very drunk or high (7 or more times)</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy drug use in the past month</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy alcohol use (binge drinking) in the past month</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime drinking and driving involvement</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Considers occasional marijuana use greatly harmful</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported it's very difficult to obtain marijuana</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Social Isolation

Workshop participants were concerned about social isolation in particular when asked about mental health needs. Parents of young children were concerned that their children might be missing out on socializing with other children. Parents spoke of the need for families to have someone to talk to about what they were experiencing. Parents of older children in the Spanish-speaking group spoke about challenges with social isolation and depression, which they observed in their adolescent children in particular. They noted a loss of motivation, greater social anxiety, and reluctance to leave the house.

“**We need to hold space for child and adult anxiety, about how to move back into the real world...to process the anxiety, take the step.”**

- Service provider
  OFCY Community Forum
V. School Attendance

During the 2019-20 school year, as of March 2020, when in-person learning was halted because of the COVID-19 pandemic, average daily attendance across Oakland Unified was 94%, and 17.3% were chronically absent (missing 10% or more school for any reason)—equivalent to about five students per classroom missing school at least one day every two weeks.

Chronic absenteeism translates into extensive lost learning time, both academically and socially-emotionally, and is a risk factor for low academic achievement, dropping out of school, and other poor lifetime outcomes.  

Native American students experience the highest rate of chronic absenteeism, with 61% of students identifying as Native American who were moderately or severely chronically absent last school year, followed by Pacific Islander students (45.5%).

“Programs that are targeted toward youth who have struggles showing up to school and center the voices of youth of color will advance racial justice.”
- Stakeholder interview

![Figure 15: OUSD Chronic Absenteeism (Attendance Rate) by Race/Ethnicity 2019-20](source: Oakland Unified School District Data Dashboard 2019.)
OUSD categorizes attendance rates as 1) satisfactory, 2) at risk, 3) moderate chronic absenteeism, and 4) severe chronic absenteeism. The highest rates of chronic absenteeism are among high school students, who have a 20% rate of severe chronic absenteeism and a 9.1% rate of moderate chronic absenteeism.

In the 2020-2021 school year, 77.8% of TK-5th graders had satisfactory attendance, as did 77.7% of 6th through 8th grade students, and 61.9% of high school students. This is a significant improvement from two years previous. In the 2018-19 school year, just 18.3% of TK-5 students had satisfactory attendance, 22.1% of 6-8th graders had satisfactory attendance, and 12.3% of high school students.

One of the significant challenges presented by the COVID-19 pandemic has been absenteeism in the shift to remote learning. While rates of absenteeism across OUSD have dropped dramatically since 2018-19, in 2020-21, a third of all high school students in Oakland are in the at risk/moderate/severe chronic absenteeism risk group as defined by the school district.
VI. Suspension from School

Black/African American students in Oakland continue to experience significant disparities in school suspensions. In 2019-20, 739 African American students were suspended from school at least once, accounting for 57% of the 1,307 suspended students while comprising just 22% of OUSD students. This disproportionality is critical given that studies have found that students who are suspended from school, especially those suspended multiple times, are more likely to eventually drop out of school without graduating. Moreover, schools with high suspension rates have shown lower mean scores on state achievement testing. And students who are suspended are more likely to end up in the juvenile justice system.\(^\text{10}\)

OUSD has undertaken major reforms in school climate and support services for students, within an overall Full Service Community School model. These strategies include the implementation of tiered behavioral management and support systems, use of restorative justice practices and other trauma-informed services, the integration of social-emotional learning into classrooms and extracurricular activities, and Manhood Development classes as part its African American Male Achievement Initiative. These efforts may have contributed to OUSD’s dramatic reductions in the number of out-of-school suspensions district-wide: from 4,289 in 2011-12 to 2,522 in 2015-16. Since then, progress has been slower. In 2019-20, there were a total of 2,026 suspensions in OUSD.\(^\text{11}\)

At the same time, as noted, suspensions in OUSD continue to disproportionately affect African American students. As shown in Figure 16, 7.1% of African American students received one or more suspensions, with Pacific Islanders having the next highest rate (4.6% of students) though their numbers are relatively small.

41% of high school students reported they felt all students are treated fairly when they break school rules, with one in five students (19%) saying they disagreed or strongly disagreed that all students are treated fairly.\(^\text{12}\)

“In OUSD, kids who show aggression get disciplined, not referrals to services. OFCY can fund the people who can provide alternatives to discipline. We have to increase school safety teams and improve adults’ relationships to kids. We need people trained in de-escalation and trauma informed tactics. We need people who come from the community and reflect the diversity of the student body. How can we help students that are having a hard time to prevent an episode? What are the early indicators that a student needs support?”

- Stakeholder interview
The vast majority of suspensions (1,702 of 2,026, 84%) during the 2019-20 school year were the result of violent incidents that did or did not cause injuries. Drug-related suspensions (6%) were the next most common reason.

In terms of patterns related to the ages of students who were suspended at least once in 2019-20, the rates tend to be highest in Oakland’s secondary schools. Highest rates by school type are as follows:

- **Elementary schools**: Prescott (7.5%), Laurel Elementary (7.1%), Sankofa Academy (6.8%).
- **Middle schools**: West Oakland Middle (20.4%), Frick Middle (13.6%), Westlake Middle (13.2%), Elmhurst United Middle (10%), Bret Harte Middle (9.4%).
- **High schools**: Community Day High (46.8%), McClymonds High (10.9%), Fremont High (9%), Castlemont High (8.7%).

Many Oakland schools at each of these levels have substantially lower suspension rates.

As shown in the Oakland Community Stressor Map, suspensions are concentrated among schools among students attending schools in West Oakland and East Oakland neighborhoods.
An analysis by OUSD’s Department of Research, Assessment and Data found that the following factors increase a student’s likelihood of being suspended:

- Being African American or having an Individualized Education Plan (IEP) -- a plan developed to ensure that a child with an identified disability receives specialized instruction and related services.
- Being **both** African American and having an IEP. An African American student with an IEP is almost nine times more likely to be suspended than a student who is not African American and does not have an IEP, according to the analysis, as shown in Figure 18
- Being in middle school
- Qualifying for free or reduced price lunch (based on the student’s family having a low income)
- Having an emotional disturbance classification\(^{13}\)
Figure 18. Suspension Disparities among African American and Special Education Students

Source: OUSD. Data Review: Disproportionality in Out of School Suspensions or African American Students with Disabilities.

Suspension rates for students who are both African American and have an IEP peak in middle school; for instance, almost 25% of these students were suspended in 6th grade in 2018-19. However, the disproportionality begins in Kindergarten -- when 5.7% of African Americans with an IEP are suspended, compared to 0.8% of students with an IEP who are not African American, and 0.5% of all students.

The disparity is even greater (in fact, 29 times higher, 14.6% vs. 0.5% of students) between African American students with IEPs who are from low-income families, compared to students who are not African American, do not have an IEP, and are not from low-income families, as shown in Figure 19.14

Figure 19. Suspension Disparities among African American and Special Education Students who Qualify for Free/Reduced Lunch

Source: OUSD. Data Review: Disproportionality in Out of School Suspensions or African American Students with Disabilities.
VII. High School Graduation & Transitions to Adulthood

High School Readiness

OUSD considers a student to be ready for high school when the student has met all of the following criteria by the end of 8th grade:

1. Total weighted GPA of 2.5 of better
2. School attendance 96% or better
3. No “Ds” or “Fs” in their core English and Math course grades in 8th grade
4. No suspensions in 8th grade

OUSD has conducted analyses showing that its students who are high-school ready when they enter 9th grade have higher graduation rates compared to those who are not. Because suspensions are considered a factor for high school readiness, and severe racial disparities (particularly among Black students) exist in OUSD suspensions, these disparities are carried into this measure.

In 2018-19, over 60% of all rising 9th grade students in OUSD were determined to be not ready for high school based on the criteria above. As shown in Figure 20, there are large disparities by race/ethnicity in terms of students being ready for high school. For example, only 23% of African American 8th grade students were ready for high school compared to 70% of Asian students.

Figure 20: OUSD Grade 8 Students Ready for High School by Race/Ethnicity (2018-19)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>70.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino/a/x</td>
<td>34.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Ethnicity</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graduation and Dropout
As shown in the graphs below, OUSD students graduate from high school at rates well below those of their peers across Alameda County and California as a whole. At the same time, the 76.6% graduation rate for OUSD’s class of 2020 represents a substantial increase over the 70.3% rate for the class of 2017 and the 63.4% rate for the Class of 2015.

Figure 21: High School Graduation Rates Comparison, Over Time

Source: CA Department of Education, Dataquest High School Graduation Rate 2016-17 and 2019-20
https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/dq census/CohRateLevels.aspx?cds=01&agglevel=county&year=2016-17&initrow=&ro=y

There is substantial variance in rates of graduation, remaining enrolling beyond the expected graduation date, and dropout rates by race/ethnicity of OUSD students, as shown in Figure 22.
Figure 22: Cohort Graduation and Dropout Rate by Race/Ethnicity 2019-20


Photo Courtesy of Asian Pacific Environmental Network
Ready for College and Career

Various measures are considered indicators of whether or not students are ready for college and careers. One such indicator is whether a student scores proficient on state testing in 11th grade. When the state’s Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments tests were last administered, in spring 2019:

- 30.4% of 11th grade students in OUSD met or exceeded standards in English Language Arts
- 13.1% of 11th grade students met or exceeded standards in Mathematics

There are substantial disparities among different groups of 11th grade students meeting standards in core academic skill areas, shown in the figure below. For example, Black/African American and Latinx students scored well below their White, Asian, and Filipino peers while less than 3% of English Language Learners met or exceeded standards in either English language Arts or Math. Students whose parents were college graduates were twice as likely to be proficient on either test than their peers whose parents were not high school graduates.

Figure 23: 11th Grade Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards on State ELA/Math Testing, 2019

Source: CA Department of Education California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress, Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment Data 2018-19.
A-G Requirements
Another indicator of college readiness is whether a student has completed the A-G requirements for admission to the California State University and University of California systems. In OUSD’s class of 2020, 58% of students who graduated from high school had completed the full A-G course of study. This is considerably higher than the corresponding 49% rate for the Classes of 2017 and 2018. In this class, the majority of Native American/Alaska Native, Hispanic/Latinx, Asian, multi-ethnic, white, and Filipino students successfully completed A-G requirements, while Pacific Islander and African American students were less likely to complete them. Note that A-G requirements do not apply for students who are on track to attend a junior or community college, or vocational school after high school, which applies to many OUSD students.

Figure 24: A-G Completion by Race/Ethnicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>A-G Completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander</td>
<td>42.3% (N=1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None Reported</td>
<td>44.8% (N=12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>45.2% (N=271)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>62.5% (N=5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino/a/x</td>
<td>63.2% (N=65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>72.8% (N=318)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Ethnicity</td>
<td>73.2% (N=60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>75.6% (N=152)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>77.1% (N=27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


AP enrollment
Advanced Placement (AP) courses offer challenging high school classes that can both contribute to a student’s college readiness and lead to college credit for students who are successful on the AP exam. Between 2014 and 2019, there was relatively stable AP course enrollment in OUSD, with about a quarter of students taking at least one AP class. However, there are significant racial disparities in AP course enrollment. In 2018-19, nearly half of white students (48.1%), Asian students (44.9%), and multiracial students (43.3%) took at least one AP course, while 21.7% of Hispanic/Latinx students and 16.6% of Black/African American students were enrolled in an AP course. There are many equity issues related to AP course enrollment, ranging from availability of AP courses in the school, accessibility of those courses, disparities in promotion of those courses and support to succeed in them, and other socio-environmental determinants of academic achievement. As with A-G requirements, AP enrollment is not considered an important indicator for those planning to attend junior or community college, or pursuing vocational training after high school.
**College Going Rate**

Data available from the California Department of Education for OUSD’s Class of 2018 indicate that 58.5% of students who completed high school that year enrolled in a post-secondary institution (a college or university, both 2-year and 4-year) within 12 or 16 months of completing high school. This compares to the 71% of their peers throughout Alameda County, and 65.8% throughout California. (It is important to note that these figures focus on the students who graduated from high school. If those who did not graduate were counted, the college-going rate for the entire class would be lower.)

As shown in Figure 25, there is great variance in the college-going rates among OUSD’s different high schools. At most of OUSD’s comprehensive high schools, the majority of graduating students are going on to college. College-going rates are considerably lower among students at the alternative and continuation schools such as Dewey Academy, Ralph J. Bunche High, Rudsdale Continuation High, and Street Academy.

*Figure 25: OUSD Class of 2018 -- College-Going Rates, by High School*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School</th>
<th>High School Completers</th>
<th>College Going Rate</th>
<th>College-Going Rate (In-State)</th>
<th>College Going Rate (Out-of-State)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Castlemont</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coliseum College Prep Academy</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>65.2%</td>
<td>57.6%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dewey Academy</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fremont High</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
<td>47.9%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway to College at Laney College</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sojourner Truth Independent Study</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
<td>41.4%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIFE Academy</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison Park Academy</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McClymonds High</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>69.5%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MetWest High</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>73.2%</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland High</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>63.8%</td>
<td>61.6%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland International High</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
<td>41.0%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Parent and Provider Perspectives

Parents of older youth expressed a wide range of perspectives and hopes for their older children; many shared the hope that their children would find a living-wage job and attend college. Some expressed that they came here first for economic opportunity but have learned that this country offers unique educational opportunities and that they want their children to access a four-year-college education. These parents experienced a tension with their children when it comes to their educational and career goals. For example, one parent explained that her son wants to work and earn money quickly, while she wants him to enroll in community college and then a four-year college.

Parents are looking for resources that can help them navigate the college application process with their children, help them pay for college, and that can help their children understand the requirements for college entry. Some schools offer these types of supports; parents reported being highly satisfied with those schools that do.

Some parents also felt that college was not for everyone and that it really depended on the unique strengths and interests of their children. They emphasized that it is important for their children to have access to education and training programs that prepare them for a living-wage job as opposed to an entry-level job in retail or at Amazon.

Some were concerned that young people had lost their motivation to stay in school or pursue a career. Others felt that schools do not offer an engaging-enough educational experience and that there is a need for more job readiness and career-pathway opportunities for older youth.

---

### High School Completers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School</th>
<th>High School Completers</th>
<th>College Going Rate</th>
<th>College-Going Rate (In-State)</th>
<th>College Going Rate (Out-of-State)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oakland Technical High</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph J. Bunche High</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudsdale Continuation</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skyline High</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>66.8%</td>
<td>62.0%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Academy (Alternative)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### “Youth want to be employed – working with their peers and doing things that support their interests in places where adults care and understand their needs.”

- Stakeholder interview

### “Employment training is the best ways for young people to become engaged in education because there are stipends.”

- Stakeholder interview
Parents would like schools to do a better job at offering learning experiences that prepare young people for the real world—through internships, financial education, and the information and skills they need to function as adults.

Providers taking part in one of our community forums noted that particularly among youth 18-21 years old, wraparound services are very much needed since many are disconnected from basic services and supportive networks that at that age are instrumental in helping them thrive. Providers also noted a need for programs to support college readiness to prepare them for the academic, social, and cultural experiences of a university setting. One provider shared the story of a student who received a scholarship to attend a private university outside California but returned home after one semester. There is a sense that supporting students up to the “entry point” of employment or higher education is not enough to help them succeed. Students need wraparound supports with a focus on technology, financial education, career preparation, and life skills.

**Youth Employment**

Getting a first job as a teen or transitional aged youth is an important milestone that can lead to positive outcomes such as an increased likelihood of graduating from high school or obtaining a GED, pursuing post-secondary education or training, sustaining employment as an adult, building leadership and civic participation, increasing confidence, and becoming financially independent.\(^{18}\) In 2019, 29.5% of Oakland teens 15-19 were part of the labor force.\(^{19}\) Supporting youth job readiness and employment is an especially critical strategy for disconnected youth.

Youth are interested in job training and leadership opportunities that build their résumés, such as internships, research, and community-service opportunities. Young people enjoy and want hands-on, experiential leadership and career-exploration opportunities, especially in the fields of health, law, and engineering. They particularly value opportunities based in Oakland with short travel times from their home. Job security and being able to earn a living wage are important factors that young people think about when assessing opportunities and programs.

Young people discussed how competitive the job market is and recommended mentors who could guide and link youth to resources as they prepare for life after high school. Financial responsibilities can be barriers to program participation. Paid internships are important to

\[\text{“A lot of youth are forced to choose work over school to provide for their basic needs.”} \]

- Youth participant, OFCY Community Forum

\[\text{“A lot of youth are forced to choose work over school to provide for their basic needs.”} \]

- Stakeholder interview

\[\text{“We need to focus on all of the nuts and bolts for applying for a job. A lot of youth do not know how to apply for a job, prep for an interview, or write a resume and cover letter”} \]

- Stakeholder interview
youth not only because they allow them to contribute to their household’s needs but also because they allow them to obtain job and leadership experience.

Providers emphasized that supporting young people transitioning to adulthood requires more than a focus on only academic success, highlighting that many students are required to support their families financially in jobs that are not career-building. Providers want to see paid opportunities and internships that are pathways to living-wage employment and build professional skills that young people can put on their résumé.

In the most recent California Healthy Kids Survey of OUSD youth, 39% of high school respondents said they had attended career explorations activities (career fairs, job shadowing, career assessments), 27% said they had attended classes focused on preparing students for their career, and 22% had had an internship or apprenticeship. Thirty-nine percent of students in grades 9-12 agreed that school has given them the skills and knowledge needed to get a job after high school, get job training, and/or go to college. Twenty-nine percent disagreed or strongly disagreed.20

Summer Employment
Summer jobs are a way many high school students earn their first paychecks, learn the basics of work readiness and etiquette, build their independence, and explore different career interests. While little reliable data is available demonstrating how many youth obtain summer employment, historically summer and seasonal employment has played a critical role in helping youth save for their future, contribute to household expenses, and learn basic workplace skills.
Employment for Opportunity Youth
Transition-aged youth and youth who are out of school can struggle with higher rates of unemployment, paired with increased responsibility for taking care of themselves and possibly others. Some opportunity youth are caring for younger siblings, their own children, or other family members, which can be barriers to seeking stable employment but also can make retaining sustainable employment all the more urgent. In 2019, 1.4% of Oakland teenage females 15-19 gave birth (n=148), which is notably lower than a decade earlier, where the rate was 3.8% (454).  

Among transitional aged youth ages 18-24 in the San Francisco-Oakland-Berkeley metropolitan area, 47.1% live below the poverty level and 6.8% were unemployed. Unemployment rates were higher for young women (7.4%), and for African Americans (9.7%). Economic downturns hit youth particularly hard. Especially for those who age out of the foster care system, who are housing insecure, or otherwise find themselves needing to financially support themselves, finding a stable, living-wage job can be all the more urgent. The COVID-19 pandemic has likely increased unemployment among Opportunity Youth, but specific data for Oakland are currently unavailable. Nationally, the unemployment rate for younger youth ages 16-19 went from 12.6% in May 2019 to 29.9% in May 2020, and it is likely this trend was felt in Oakland.
VIII. Community Safety and Contact with the Criminal Justice System

Exposure to violence and the need to improve community safety are central concerns for Oakland children, youth, and families. Among students in grades 5-12 in OUSD, between 31% and 39% of students, depending on the grade level, report that at least one friend or family member has died by violence. About 4% of OUSD students report being a member of a gang, a consistent finding across grades six through 12.24 This survey question is not asked for younger youth on the California Healthy Kids Survey.

Oakland, accounts for a disproportionate share of the juvenile arrests and incarceration in Alameda County. While it is home to 26% of the county’s population, from April 2019 to March 2020, 49% (n=322) of juvenile arrests and detentions in the county25 and 45% of juvenile probationers were Oakland youth.26 The maps below show the geographic distribution of juvenile arrests and juvenile probationers in Oakland’s neighborhoods.

Figure 26: Arrests Among Youth 12-17, 2019  
Figure 27: Juvenile Probationers 12-17, 2019

There is a dramatic disparity in juvenile arrest rates in Oakland. From April 2019-March 2020, 81% of youth detained in Oakland were Black/African American. Nearly all of the other arrests (18%) were Latinx youth. Less than 1% were any other race/ethnicity.

Of those youth detained during this period:
- 85% were male
- 55% were ages 16-17, 30% were ages 14-15, 11% were 18 and over, and 3% were 13 or younger
12% were detained at the Camp Sweeney facility, 26.3% had GPS monitoring, 25.8% were on home supervision, and 35.7% were detained at Juvenile Hall.27

Figure 28: Juvenile Arrests and Detentions in Oakland and Alameda County, 2019-20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Oakland Juveniles Detained # April 2019-March 2020</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>County Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13 and Under</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-15</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-17</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
<td>58.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 and over</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>85.1%</td>
<td>87.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>81.1%</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latinx</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Black/African American juveniles account for more than half of all such arrests. This disparity has persisted over the past decade despite the overall reduction in the rates of juvenile felony arrests in the county. In 2019-20, Oakland arrests and detentions for African Americans was higher than the county level, and lower for Latinx youth.

The disproportionality of arrests of Black/African American youth in Oakland extends to Alameda County as a whole. Figure 29 shows the number of felony arrests per 1,000 youth ages 10-17, by race/ethnicity. In Alameda County in 2018, more than 27 out of every 1,000 Black youth was arrested.
Figure 29: Arrest Rate by Race/Ethnicity in Alameda County and California, 2018

In comparison, in the same year (2018), nearly 60 out of every 1,000 Black youths in Oakland were arrested. This is more than double the county rate.

Figure 30: Arrest Rate by Race/Ethnicity in Oakland, 2018

Youth Perspectives
Most youth associate safety as being with their friends and family, and indoors. Oftentimes when youth mentioned what they loved about Oakland, it was coupled with the phrase: “despite all the violence.” Youth reported that they seldom feel safe outside, most commonly highlighting gun violence and fears of being the victim of a crime. Older youth in particular did not feel that Oakland was safe, citing the unpredictability of gun violence and the unreliability of the police.

Young women from both workshops said that they do not feel safe outdoors without the presence of a male figure (a family member, friend, or partner); catcalling is common and can quickly escalate to harassment or assault. In addition, young women expressed frustration with the common response from adults when they do report harassment. Instead of protecting girls and young women, adults spend too much time policing their bodies and clothing.

Youth workshop participants referenced the recent crimes in Chinatown and the Derek Chauvin trial for the killing of George Floyd. Witnessing and participating in organizing and protests for social change work feels affirming and healing, and cultivates a sense of community among Oakland’s youth.

When asked about what they needed to feel safe in their communities and schools, most young people spoke in support of the current defund-the-police movement and the removal of police and school-resource officers from the schools. Young people expressed distrust of the police, noting that a police officer’s presence does not make them feel safe. Some youth named the police as contributors to Oakland’s crime and safety problems. Youth described experiencing or witnessing racial profiling and overly aggressive behavior of police toward young people. When crimes do occur in their neighborhoods, slow police response times leave communities feeling helpless. Black/African American and Latinx youth in particular were more likely to say they felt targeted by violence within their community and by the police, while several Asian youth mentioned recent anti-Asian hate crimes and expressed worry that defunding the police would increase crime toward them or members of their community. Some youth questioned how police were trained and said police need anti-bias and psychological training to better understand and approach communities of color and to engage with young people.

Parent Perspectives
In our March 2021 parent community workshop series, parents shared a wide range of perspectives with regard to community safety and shared a general sentiment of concern and fear when asked about safety and wellness. Some parents said that fears of anti-immigrant violence, mass shootings, and hate crimes against people in their families and communities kept them and their children from leaving the house. Many were concerned about increases in crime in their neighborhoods, and families expressed a need for protection from domestic terrorism, political violence, hate crimes, and criminal activity.
Many participants also noted that families, neighbors, and community members are strong and best positioned to meet the need for protection, while others looked to law enforcement. One parent highlighted natural community building, information and resource sharing, and care for each other as a particular strength in Oakland and noted that the city should continue to create opportunities for neighbors to build relationships with each other and to advocate for the resources that families need. Some participants also suggested that police, better police response times, and/or neighborhood patrols would make them feel safer.

Violence Prevention and Reimagining Public Safety

The recently-formed Reimagining Public Safety in Oakland Task Force included a Youth Advisory Committee. Committee members conducted targeted outreach to youth to identify Task Force Recommendations based on a youth-focused survey. Final recommendations that were adopted by the Task Force based on youth input\(^{28}\) include:

1. Invest in more programs, services, and spaces for young people
2. Expand restorative justice diversion for youth and young adults; expand the Neighborhood Opportunity and Accountability Board
3. Prioritize funding violence prevention strategies that address gender-based violence, shootings, homicides, and youth services, and invest in formerly system-involved Community Workers and Violence Interrupters
4. Increase investment in the Oakland Youth Advisory Commission (OYAC) and the Oakland Police & Community Youth Leadership Council (OPC-YLC).
5. Implement an intervention-based structure to foster reporting misconduct issues to dismantle the “code of silence” culture
6. Civilian teams should respond to calls where there is no threat of harm

“OFCY should prioritize violence prevention and reduction in all of their strategies. Organizing and youth activism is a critical violence reduction strategy; youth empowerment to name their experiences must be prioritized.”
- Stakeholder interview

Youth who participated in the CNA-REA community engagement were asked for their suggestions about how to reduce youth contact with the juvenile justice system. As previously mentioned, youth said the city government and schools need to do more to raise awareness about opportunities available to youth as well as reduce barriers to participating in programs (i.e., stipends, BART/bus fare, place-based programming, and programming that occurs before it gets dark outside).
Some children and youth expressed their experience of or witness to violence at home or in the community, including losing loved ones to violence. Older youth displayed an acute awareness of historical and institutionalized systems of oppression and explicitly named economic oppression via capitalism and the prison industrial complex as systemic forces that perpetuate the school-to-prison pipeline among Black/African American and Latinx youth. Youth believe that people in their communities will continue to commit crimes if their basic needs are not being continually met. Several young people lamented that their community had plenty of liquor stores that were within walking distance but an absence of grocery stores.

“[W]e need to address more than interpersonal and partner violence. There is [the] violence of being homeless and hungry.”
- Stakeholder interview
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Appendix A: Community Needs Assessment-Racial Equity Analysis Process

Guiding Process and Questions

The OFCY Planning and Oversight Committee engaged consultants from Hatchuel Tabernik & Associates (HTA) and the Bright Research Group (BRG) to assist in conducting this Community Needs Assessment, as part of its Strategic Investment Planning process.

This Community Needs Assessment and Racial Equity Analysis (CNA-REA), conducted in late 2020 and early 2021, aims to understand and present findings regarding the current experiences of youth 0-21 in Oakland, with a particular focus on data that could reveal racial disparities. Data was analyzed so as to understand racial, economic, place-based and other types of inequity. This report combines quantitative data from a variety of publicly available and reliable sources with qualitative data gathered through surveys and a hands-on community engagement process involving workshops, interviews, and community forums. CNA-REA team members synthesized all of the quantitative and qualitative data collected from public data sources, surveys, community workshops, key stakeholder interviews, and community forums. Particularly relevant information that honed in on disparities was shared at community forums and with the POC and POC Strategic Planning (ad hoc) Committee, and discussed in interviews and focus groups to support community input that would provide nuance and deeper understanding of the conditions behind this data.

It is notable that the CNA-REA has been planned and conducted in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic. As detailed in this CNA-REA, the pandemic has profoundly affected children, youth, and families in Oakland, exacerbated existing disparities, and amplified the needs and challenges OFCY seeks to address through its investments and collaborations. Moreover, the pandemic has necessitated adapting the community engagement process for this CNA-REA so that it meets the requirements of social distancing while ensuring strong community-based participation.

OFCY leadership and the CNA-REA team from BRG and HTA partnered to co-design the community engagement component of the CNA-REA, recruit participants, and engage the community in a series of virtual community workshops and forums. The POC and CNA-REA team were able to meet and engage the public using online virtual spaces and live video platforms, which most groups have become adept at using over the past year. The POC and CNA-REA team conducted complex meetings with breakouts groups, interactive discussion, shared presentations, conversations, and multiple forms of shared on-demand data visualization and media such as word clouds and virtual white boards. In addition numerous interviews, discussions, and focus groups were used to probe these disparities further and to understand the current collaboration and resources deployed to address them across the city.
OFCY leadership and the CNA-REA team created guiding learning questions to focus the CNA-REA effort.

- What are the demographics of Oakland children and youth? The socioeconomic realities for their families?
- What are the key assets among Oakland’s children, youth, their families, and communities, including OFCY-funded and other services? What is working?
- How has the COVID-19 pandemic impacted Oakland children, youth, and families?
- How do outcomes – in areas including early childhood, education, violence prevention and mental health, and justice system involvement – vary among children and youth from different groups?
- Who are the most underserved and hard-to-reach groups? What are their needs?
- What are the barriers to accessing current and future services?

**What Information Informed the CNA-REA?**

Community engagement is an ongoing process. While the data and insights collected from late 2020 through April 2021 informed this report at its time of writing, additional community engagement continued through summer of 2021 to inform OFCY’s strategic investments in youth.

**Secondary Quantitative Data Analysis**

The CNA data collection began with secondary data related to OFCY’s goals, key outcome targets, and priority populations. This compilation involved gathering and analyzing quantitative data from a variety of secondary sources and reviewing existing analysis and reports. Public data sources include: the U.S. Census Bureau’s American Community Survey, the California Department of Education, the California Healthy Kids Survey, Oakland Unified School District, the Oakland Community Stressor Index, Alameda County Open Data, KidsData, and other administrative data held by the City of Oakland and its partners. These data were combined and triangulated with qualitative data gathered from community members by the CNA-REA team.

While these publicly-available data sources are considered valid and reliable, they each have limitations. For example, most data sources do not disaggregate data by ethnicity (beyond the umbrella of Hispanic/Latinx), income, or other measures that might lead to more informed and nuanced interpretations. Many data sources had little data available for Native American/Alaska Native populations due to data confidentiality issues with small population sizes. Some data were not broken down by city, and instead were only available at the regional, county, or school district levels. Some data were not available for the most recent years, some were calculated in different ways from year to year, making comparisons difficult. The most recent and disaggregated data available were used whenever possible and as noted above were augmented with engagement across Oakland.
Overview of Community Engagement

The OFCY team undertook a deep community outreach process which included convening community forums and workshops open to the public, holding focus groups and key stakeholder interviews, and participating in relevant community engagement held by others. Community engagement efforts were led by OFCY staff, BRG, and HTA Consulting. Community engagement was an iterative process, in total reaching more than 270 participants, including representatives from more than 30 different community-based organizations serving Oakland youth.

Parent and Caregivers Workshops
BRG convened three workshops with parents to learn more about the experiences of families in Oakland, their priorities for their children, and their perspectives on the strengths and needs of families that OFCY should consider in the development of its 2022–2025 Strategic Investment Plan. Sixty-five parents participated in these workshops. One workshop was facilitated in Spanish and was attended by 14 Latinx immigrant parents. Thirty-six monolingual Cantonese-speaking Chinese parents attended a separate workshop, which was facilitated in English with Cantonese interpretation. Fifteen parents attended a workshop that was facilitated in English, the majority of whom were immigrants who spoke English as their second language. The workshops were overwhelmingly attended by immigrant families.

Youth Workshops
BRG convened two workshops with youth ages 14–24 to learn about their experiences as well as their perspectives on what youth need to thrive while growing up in Oakland. A total of 68 young people participated. The first workshop aimed to engage disconnected transition-age youth between the ages of 15 and 24; 13 young people attended. The second workshop was open to Oakland youth ages 14–21 years old; 55 young people were present. About half of the youth participants were Asian, and a plurality of the remaining youth were Hispanic/Latinx, Black/African American, or Middle Eastern.

Hatchuel Tabernik & Associates (HTA) conducted two community forums on 4/14/2021 and 4/16/2021. During these forums, HTA presented preliminary data and facilitated discussions about key issues facing youth, their families, and strategies to advance equity.

Forum 1 welcomed 31 participants from the community. The forum topics were focused on youth ages 0-11. Participants discussed key issues facing youth in this age group and their families, service needs, and strategies to address equity in advancing childhood education, improving parent support, and advancing health and wellbeing.

Forum 2 included 37 participants and topics focused on youth ages 12-21. It had a similar format as the first, but focused on equity in youth leadership and advocacy, afterschool programs and enrichment, education success, career exploration and preparation, and violence prevention.
**Provider Workshops**

Forty-four participants from 30 Oakland youth-serving organizations attended a Provider workshop - consisting of staff and leadership. These organizations provide expertise or service within the following categories:

- Boys and Men of Color
- Direct Services and Basic Needs
- Homeless Youth and CSEC Youth
- Parent Engagement and Early Childhood
- Asian Youth and Families
- Nature and Outdoor Education
- Youth Violence Prevention
- Youth Arts and Education
- Education and Workforce Development
- Native and American Indian Families
- Services for Monolingual Spanish Families

From April through June, 2021, the OFCY team met with Oakland service providers, many of whom are currently funded by OFCY, to gain deeper insight into the needs of the youth and families they serve, as well as strategies to support service delivery.

The OFCY team also participated in community engagement events held by some of our providers to be able to listen in on discussions already happening in the community. For example, the OFCY team participated in Safe Passages’ focus groups held with families in East Oakland including the *Get Active* group (Latinx, African American), *Korematsu Academy* group (African American), and *Mam-speaking* group (Central American).

The following chart and map illustrate participants’ race/ethnicity and location in Oakland for those who attended the BRG workshops.

**Figure A-1: OFCY Community Workshop Participants by Race/Ethnicity**

![Bar chart showing race/ethnicity distribution of workshop participants](chart.png)
Key Stakeholder Interviews
In addition to the community workshops and meetings with groups of providers, BRG conducted 21 interviews with 24 key stakeholders in staff and leadership positions at youth-serving and community-based organizations, Oakland city departments, and members of the Oakland City Council and their staff. These interviews built on the questions and information that emerged from the community forums and workshops, providing more insight into the specific needs of priority populations and current progress toward OFCY’s stated goals.
Appendix B: Who are Oakland’s Youth?

Oakland’s Youth Population by Age Group
There are approximately 97,023 youth 21 and under in Oakland. One fifth (23%) of Oakland’s population is age 21 or younger and 6.3% (26,680) are under age 5. Figure B-1 displays the current breakdown of Oakland’s youth population by age group, which is further explored in Figures B6-B8.

Figure B-1: Oakland’s Youth Population by Age Group, 2019

Source: U.S. Census Bureau ACS Table DP05, 2019 5-Year Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5</td>
<td>26,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 9</td>
<td>22,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 14</td>
<td>23,244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 17</td>
<td>12,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 21</td>
<td>12,549</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oakland’s Population by Race/Ethnicity
Oakland’s youth have diverse racial, ethnic, and cultural backgrounds, with no single group defining a majority of youth. When comparing youth 18 and under with adults, there is a slightly higher proportion of youth identifying as Black/African American and Hispanic/Latinx than adults, as well as more than double those who identify with two or more races. Figures B-2, B-3, and B-4 illustrate Oakland’s current population, highlighting Oakland youth compared to adults as well as a more detailed look at Oakland’s ethnic and racial breakdown according to the most recent Census data available.
**Figure B-2: Oakland’s Youth Population 0-24 by Race/Ethnicity, 2019**

![Pie chart showing the distribution of the youth population by race/ethnicity in Oakland, 2019.]

*Source: American Community Survey, Census 2019 5-Year Estimates*

**Figure B-3: Oakland Adult Population, 2019**

![Pie chart showing the distribution of the adult population by race/ethnicity in Oakland, 2019.]

*Source: American Community Survey, Census 2019 5-Year Estimates*
While a detailed breakdown of Oakland’s ethnicity is not available by age group, the chart below shows Oakland’s overall racial/ethnic demographics in 2019 (most recent available at the time of writing).

**Figure B-4: Oakland Detailed Race and Ethnicity, Total Population, 2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White, alone</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>120,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American, alone</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>98,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>79,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Hispanic or Latinx</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>36,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>10,467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American/Alaska Native</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>9,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Hispanic or Latinx</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American and Asian mixed</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuban</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaiian or Chamorran</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American and American Indian</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>1,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White and American Indian and Alaska Native</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>1,661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Indian</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>3,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>3,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>7,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White and Asian</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>7,874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>6,311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White and Black or African American</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>6,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White and American Indian and Alaska Native</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>2,451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>2,451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rican</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>3,398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White and American Indian and Black or African American</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>1,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White and American Indian and Black or African American</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Hispanic or Latinx</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Hispanic or Latinx</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Hispanic or Latinx</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Hispanic or Latinx</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Hispanic or Latinx</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: American Community Survey, Census 2019 5-Year Estimates, Table DP05
OUSD Enrollment Demographics

Enrollment patterns in Oakland Unified School District reflect Oakland’s racial and ethnic diversity. Hispanic/Latinx students are the largest group of students across grades K-12 at 44% of total enrollment, followed by African American students at 22.1%, and Asian students at 12.1%. All told, 88.8% of the 35,565 OUSD students are from families of color.\(^{31}\)

If we compare current enrollment to a decade ago, most notably:

- The percentage of all OUSD students who are Hispanic/Latinx has increased - from 39% to 44% - during that time, and the number of White students also increased - from 7% to 11% of all students.
- The percentage of all students who are Black/African American has decreased - from 33% to 22%.

*Figure B-5: OUSD Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity, 2020-21*

Source: OUSD Data Dashboard
Citizenship & Language

Four out of every 10 children over age five are growing up in a household that speaks a language other than English (compared to 46% County-wide), and in some areas, more than two thirds of children are, making Oakland youth very linguistically diverse. Nearly one in three Alameda County residents is an immigrant, many of whom call Oakland home. Foreign-born Oaklanders come from many different parts of the world. The graph below displays the percentage of foreign born from each world region. (See page 37 for additional details on immigrant youth, refugees, and unaccompanied minors.

Figure B-6: Region of Birth for the Foreign-Born Population in Oakland

Source: US Census Bureau American Community Survey, 2019 5-Year Estimates

Overall, 40% of Oakland residents speak a language other than English at home (compared to 46% county-wide), more than half of whom are fluently bilingual in English. There are 27,371 children and youth in Oakland who primarily speak a language other than English at home; three quarters (74%) of them are bilingual in English.

Among children and youth enrolled in public schools in Oakland, 30.3% are designated as English Language Learners, while another 19.5% of students with a home language other than English have been reclassified from English Learner to Fluent English Proficient at some point in their K-12 education.

Among the 4,641 OUSD English Learners currently in grades 6-12, 2,370 (51%) have been designated as English Learners for six years or more. These “Long Term English Learners” have stalled in developing the English oral and literacy skills needed to fully access and participate in a curriculum taught in English. They struggle academically and some become discouraged and disengaged, and are at risk of dropping out of school.
Oakland Youth by Age and Ethnicity

The following table displays the number of youth in Oakland by age group and ethnicity, followed by charts illustrating the same data. Note, because Hispanic/Latinx is identified in the Census as an ethnicity, the numbers show duplication.

### Figure B-7: Oakland Youth by Race/Ethnicity and Age, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>White alone, not Latinx</th>
<th>Native American/Alaska Native</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander</th>
<th>Hispanic/Latinx</th>
<th>Other, alone</th>
<th>Two or more</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5</td>
<td>5,252</td>
<td>6,050</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>1,670</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>10,804</td>
<td>6,523</td>
<td>4,299</td>
<td>35,026</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 9</td>
<td>4,892</td>
<td>3,719</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>2,187</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>9,014</td>
<td>5,582</td>
<td>3,130</td>
<td>29,120</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 14</td>
<td>5,720</td>
<td>3,369</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>2,005</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>10,352</td>
<td>6,428</td>
<td>2,794</td>
<td>31,086</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 17</td>
<td>3,070</td>
<td>1,786</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1,565</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5,226</td>
<td>3,280</td>
<td>1,238</td>
<td>16,318</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 19</td>
<td>2,374</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1,030</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3,409</td>
<td>2,190</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>10,651</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 24</td>
<td>6,364</td>
<td>4,263</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>3,458</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>8,418</td>
<td>5,491</td>
<td>1,907</td>
<td>30,216</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>27,672</td>
<td>20,173</td>
<td>1,375</td>
<td>11,915</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>47,223</td>
<td>29,494</td>
<td>13,966</td>
<td>152,417</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Census American Community Survey, Tables B01001 A-I, 2019

### Figure B-8: Oakland Youth Race/Ethnicity by Age, 2019

Source: U.S. Census American Community Survey, Tables B01001 A-I, 2019
Figure B-9: Oakland Youth Age Group by Race/Ethnicity, 2019

Source: U.S. Census American Community Survey, Tables B01001 A-I, 2019
Changes in Demographics Over Time

Oakland, with a population of 433,044, is one of the nation’s most racially and ethnically diverse cities: 28% of Oakland residents identify as white, 27% Hispanic/Latinx, 24% Black/African American, 16% Asian/Pacific Islander, and 5% other.\(^{35}\)

Over the last decade, Oakland’s population has increased by about 7% from the 2010 estimate of 402,339. Meanwhile, the youth population has shown a slight decrease, with children and youth representing 21.8% of the population in 2019, compared to 23.6% in 2010 and 27.4% in 2000. The largest decrease in the number and percentage of Oakland youth was from 2000 to 2010, falling by more than 10,000 youth (and nearly 4% of the share of the city population). While slightly lower than in 2015, the youth population has remained relatively stable in the last decade, with a small decrease in those 5-9 and 15-19 in the last five years.

Figure B-10: Oakland Youth Population 0-19, 1990-2019

The figure below shows the share of youth by ethnicity/race in 2010. Compared to B-2, in the last decade, there has been an increase in the share of youth identifying as Latinx, two or more races, some other race; a decrease in the share of youth identifying as Black/African American, Asian, and Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, and a stable share of youth identifying as White.
**Figure B-11: Oakland Youth Demographics 0-24, 2010**

![Pie chart showing demographics](chart.png)

- Hispanic/Latinx: 30%
- Black/African American: 24%
- White, not Latinx: 13%
- Native American/Alaska Native: 1%
- Asian: 11%
- Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander: 1%
- Some other race, alone: 15%
- Two or more: 6%

Source: American Community Survey, Census Tables B01001 A-I, 2010 5-Year Estimates

**Figure B-12: Oakland Youth Population 0-24 by Race/Ethnicity, 2010 vs. 2019**

![Bar chart showing population](chart2.png)

- Black: 2010 - 35,468, 2019 - 27,672
- White, not Latinx: 2010 - 20,173, 2019 - 18,521
- Native American/Alaska Native: 2010 - 864, 2019 - 1,375
- Asian: 2010 - 15,783, 2019 - 11,915
- Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander: 2010 - 599, 2019 - 599
- Hispanic/Latinx: 2010 - 47,223, 2019 - 44,023
- Some other race, alone: 2010 - 4,023, 2019 - 2,497
- Two or more: 2010 - 8,433, 2019 - 13,966

Prepared by Hatchuel Tabernik and Associates
Oakland ranks 7th among the most expensive cities in the country, with cost of living estimated at 150% of the national average. The high cost of living in the San Francisco Bay Area - fueled by the recent boom in the technology and financial sectors of the regional economy - has spurred an affordability crisis, putting increased financial strain on the most vulnerable communities. This has resulted in ongoing, advanced patterns of gentrification and displacement of long-term residents over the past two decades. In the past five years, there has been an increase in people identifying as white, Latinx, two or more races, and other races, and a decrease in people identifying as Black/African American or Asian.

**Figure B-13: Change in Oakland’s Population by Race, 2015-2019**

![Bar chart showing change in Oakland's population by race, 2015-2019](source: U.S. Census Data, Table B01001A Race Age 5-year estimates 2015 and 2019)

One in three (28.5%) Oakland households has at least one child under 18, and Oakland households vary greatly in size and composition. In 2019, 9,323 grandparents lived with their grandchildren under 18 years old, of which a quarter (25.8%) were responsible for the basic needs of their grandchildren.

Oakland is characterized by widespread disparities in income, educational attainment, and life expectancy, primarily along racial/ethnic lines. Median income in white households ($101,679) is 245% higher than in Black/African American households ($41,341) and far above that of Hispanic/Latinx households ($56,108) and Asian households ($62,238). Poverty rates are seven times higher for Black families (20.3%) and Latinx families (20.1%) and five times higher for Asian families, than for white families (2.9%).
A long history of systemic racial discrimination in access to resources and opportunities has resulted in geographic disparities between Oakland neighborhoods, with compounding stressors felt in West and East Oakland neighborhoods.

Ninety-two percent of Latinx, 87% of Black/African American, 93% of Native American, and 87% of Asian/Pacific Islander low-income residents live in neighborhoods that are currently gentrifying or at-risk of gentrification. This is the case for 60% of low-income white households, compared to 88% of low-income households of color overall, demonstrating that race plays a role in housing stability.\(^{39}\)
Appendix C: A Closer Look at OFCY Priority Populations

The following priority populations were identified in the OFCY 2019-2022 Strategic Investment Plan. This community needs assessment is informed by OFCY’s previous strategic investment planning efforts that aim to prioritize investments toward youth and families who are most in need, with an understanding that some populations have been historically marginalized. The identification of these particular populations does not suggest that young people from other populations do not have important needs. Nor does the absence of deep data on some of these populations suggest a lack of need. In most cases this absence indicates a lack of available data, which is particularly true for disconnected youth and for data disaggregated by racial/ethnic populations. Note that some identified populations are combined in this report for practicality/data intersections.

This section highlights data from secondary sources and insights from the community engagement efforts described in the introduction of the report.

Low-Income Children, Youth, and Families

Children Youth and their Families Living Under the Federal Poverty Level
Insufficient income has a negative impact on the health, academic achievement, personal development, well-being, and more of children and youth in Oakland, disproportionately affecting youth of color in the flats of East and West Oakland.

Like most of the San Francisco Bay Area, Oakland has experienced a dramatic rise in the cost of living over the last decade and many families struggle to make ends meet. Sufficient household income and resources are critical to providing for basic needs of Oakland’s youth and families. In focus groups, many families reported challenges making ends meet, i.e., paying rent, losing their jobs due to the pandemic, and worrying about having enough food for their families. Parents who were part of the essential workforce were struggling to navigate the challenges of balancing their need for childcare, income, and supporting their children with remote learning at the same time.

Children and youth whose households are in poverty are one of the priority populations of the OFCY. Twenty-five percent of children under age 18, 13% of all families, and 17% of all individuals in Oakland fall below the Federal Poverty Level. However, this underestimates the true extent of poverty in Oakland due to the area’s high cost of living.
Figure C-1: Oakland Poverty by Age Group in 2019

Source: Poverty Status In The Past 12 Months US Census Bureau American Community Survey, 2019 5-Year Estimates

The 2021 Federal Poverty Level for a family of four is a combined annual income of $26,500, which is extremely low income in Oakland. Cost of living in the San Francisco Bay Area is significantly higher than the nationwide average. A more accurate understanding of the number of individuals living in poverty in high cost areas is to consider a low income threshold at 200% of the Federal Poverty Level ($53,000 per year for a family of four); 34% of individuals and 25% of families in Oakland fall below this threshold.\textsuperscript{40} However, even this does not capture the reality of how many families are living in poverty in Oakland. MIT’s Living Wage Calculator, which measures the minimum income necessary to cover basic expenses in a local area, such as housing, food, child care, health care, transportation, and taxes, estimates a living wage in Oakland at $134,326.40 for a family of four.\textsuperscript{41}

Racial Disparities in Oakland Residents Living Below the Poverty Line

The figure below compares the poverty rates for Oakland residents by race and ethnicity, in 2015 and 2019 demonstrating that people of color experience poverty at rates two or three times higher than white residents. While poverty declined across all racial/ethnic groups over the four year period, the steepest declines have been among residents who are Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander, and two or more races. Poverty rates among African American and white residents have also declined, though to a lesser degree. The pandemic has likely wiped out these gains for vulnerable groups, the extent to which is not yet captured in comprehensive quantitative data.
Family Poverty by Household Structure

Figures C-3, 4, and 5 show poverty among families by racial/ethnic groups among all families, married-couple families, and families led by a single mother (no spouse present). Racial disparities in poverty are clear in Oakland where, on average, white families of any family type experience poverty less often than other non-white racial/ethnic groups and married-couple families experience poverty less often than single mother-led families.

Nearly 13% of all Oakland families fall below the federal poverty level, this is true of only 5% of white families. The poverty rate for Black/African American families was four times that of white families at 20%, and was at 19% for Hispanic/Latinx families, 14% for Asian and Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander families, 13% for American Indian/Alaskan Native families, 22% for “other” families, and 10.8% for multiracial (two or more races) families.

Racial disparities in poverty continue to be present across different family structures in Oakland. Married-couple families experience less poverty than other family types, on average, with 7% of all married-couple families falling below the federal poverty level. White families experience poverty the least of all racial/ethnic groups among married-couple families at 3%, while Hispanic/Latinx, American Indian/Alaskan Native, and “other” families experience poverty the most at 13-14%.

Single mother-led families experience poverty at significantly higher rates than other family types, on average, with 28% falling below poverty. This is true for 65% of Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 44% of “other”, 37% of Hispanic/Latinx, and 30% of Black/African American single mother-led families.
Figure C-3: Oakland Families with Income Below 100% Federal Poverty Level by Race/Ethnicity (compared to average)

Source: US Census Bureau American Community Survey, 2019 5-Year Estimates

Figure C-4: Oakland Married-Couple Families with Income Below 100% Federal Poverty Level by Race/Ethnicity (compared to average)

Source: US Census Bureau American Community Survey, 2019 5-Year Estimates
**Figure C-5: Oakland Female Householder, No Spouse Present Families with Income Below 100% Federal Poverty Level by Race/Ethnicity (compared to average)**

Source: US Census Bureau American Community Survey, 2019 5-Year Estimates

**Educational Attainment for Adults**

Parent education levels contribute to household income, with individuals who hold doctoral and professional degrees earning more than triple those with less than a high school diploma, on average. Among Oakland residents ages 25 years and older, 82.6% are high school graduates and 49.8% have earned a post-secondary degree, including 5.8% who received an Associate’s degree, 25.2% a Bachelor’s degree, and 18.8% who earned a graduate or professional degree. At the same time, 9.8% of Oakland adults have less than a 9th grade education and another 7.6% attended high school but did not graduate.

The figure below captures racial disparities in educational attainment among Oakland residents. As shown in Figure C-6, Oakland residents who self-identify as white alone have by far the highest likelihood among these groups to have a college degree -- and have these degrees at more than double the rates among Latinx and African-American residents. Latinx are the most likely not to have graduated from high school. Many Oakland adults who are not high school graduates are immigrants who did not go to school beyond 9th grade in their countries of origin.

**Figure C-6. Percent of Oakland Adults (25+ years) by Race and Educational Attainment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Not a high school graduate</th>
<th>High school graduate or higher</th>
<th>Bachelor’s degree of higher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White alone</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>94.5%</td>
<td>71.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic / Latinx</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>69.5%</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/ African American</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Educational attainment for adults is closely correlated to their income levels.

- Among Oakland adults, for example, median earnings for those who graduated from high school but did not go further in their education is $40,166, only about half the $79,894 median earnings of those with a Bachelor’s degree.
- The poverty rate (25.2%) among Oakland adults who are not high school graduates is nearly double the corresponding rate (13.4%) among those with who have completed some college or an Associate degree, and nearly five times as high as the 5.3% poverty rate for adults with a Bachelor’s degree or higher.44

Community Voices on Low-Income Family Needs and Strengths
Workshop participants placed strong emphasis on the importance of investing in families, expressing that if OFCY wants to support children and youth, they need to support the entire family and engage parents and family members as partners in creating an Oakland where children, youth, and families thrive.

Workshop participants also noted a number of existing community and family strengths: the sharing of information, networks, and community resources; strongly valuing education and academic achievement; and care for friends, family, and neighbors. They cited the diversity, resourcefulness, and strong network of community-based organizations as unique strengths in their city. Immigrant parents said they trust each other, along with schools and community-based organizations, to address the challenges they are facing in their families and communities.

Basic Needs
Overall, general basic needs, including money, food, and shelter, were expressed as the most pressing needs of low-income young people growing up in Oakland. As described in the COVID-19 section of the introduction, the pandemic has exacerbated families’ struggles to make ends meet and provide a stable home.

Children, Youth, and Families Living in Low-Income Neighborhoods
The Oakland Community Stressors Index supports a data-driven approach to providing resources and support to the people and places in Oakland that need it most. The Stressors Index maps provide a snapshot of 21 indicators or risk factors (listed below) of community
stress across a range of domains, including (1) Poverty, (2) Education, (3) Housing, (4) Health and Environment, and (5) Criminal Justice Involvement. As noted on Oakland’s Community Stressor Index webpage, “Community stress is the experience of chronic stress, violence, and trauma that has serious negative consequences on communities and individuals. It leads to lower social capital and decreased collective efficacy and impacts the psychological development, health, and well-being of individuals.”45

Figure C-7: Oakland Stressors Map, Overall Stressor Ranking, 2019

The map below shows the density of families with children under age 18 who are living at or below the federal poverty line. Neighborhoods in West Oakland and East Oakland have the highest concentrations of families with children living in poverty - exceeding 20% in some census tracts. These neighborhoods are primarily historically Black/African American neighborhoods and cultural centers, with increases of immigrant and Latinx populations over the past several generations.

Figure C-8: Percentage of Children in Oakland in Poverty, 2019

Source: US Census Bureau American Community Survey, 2019 5-Year Estimates
Concentrated poverty often coincides with other environmental stressors, such as limited access to utilities, public transportation, green space/parks, safe walking routes or places to cross the street, and affordable grocery stores or fresh produce.

Low-income neighborhoods also frequently experience a higher prevalence of air and noise pollution, hazardous waste, and other health hazards. Areas of West Oakland and Deep East Oakland have extreme levels of toxins and pollution from decades of industry in those areas. Furthermore, youth living in low-income neighborhoods in Oakland experience more exposure to violence, crime, and gang activity. Oakland youth -- particularly Black/African American youth -- also experience disparities in the ways police interact with communities in low-income neighborhoods, with lower response times for calls for service but higher levels of surveillance.

In combination, these environmental stressors can contribute to higher levels of stress and fewer opportunities for children and families.

**Figure C-9: Pollution Burden and Vulnerability**

![Map of pollution burden and vulnerability](CalEnviroScreen 4.0)

**Source:** CalEnviroScreen 4.0

### Children Attending Schools in Neighborhoods with High Levels of Stress

Schools located in neighborhoods with high levels of environmental stressors are more likely to serve students and families experiencing those same stressors, often with fewer resources available to provide those services. Schools are important anchor institutions, particularly in neighborhoods experiencing high levels of stress. They can and often do play a role well outside of the scope of academics, providing a setting for families to meet, form community, and share resources; offering wraparound services such as health care, navigation for families, food pantries, extended learning, and other critical supports. Schools in neighborhoods with high
levels of stress are more likely to be serving students experiencing compounded stressors, while they are less likely to have sufficient financial resources to do so.

Because of Oakland Unified School District’s “school choice” policy, many young people attend schools outside of their home neighborhoods, with students in elementary and middle schools more likely to attend schools near home. Many schools in East and West Oakland neighborhoods have very high proportions of students who receive free- or reduced-price lunch, as well as higher levels of chronic absenteeism, 3rd graders reading below grade level, and suspensions when compared with district averages (see Section IV. OFCY Shared Goals for Children and Youth). These realities reflect the impact of environmental stressors, which can put young people at risk of a variety of negative outcomes in school and beyond, as described in the previous section.

Fully 71.7% of the 35,565 students enrolled in Oakland Unified schools qualify for free or reduced-price lunches, an indicator that their families live at or near the federal poverty line. At some schools, predominantly located in West Oakland and East Oakland, the rate of students qualifying for free or reduced-price lunch is over 90%. This is the case, for example, at:

- Hoover Elementary (91.1%), West Oakland Middle (92.4%), and McClymonds High (90.3%) in West Oakland
- Fred T. Korematsu Discovery Academy (95.9%), Coliseum College Prep Academy (grades 6-12) (94.7%), and Fremont High (91%) in East Oakland
2020-21 Oakland District-Run and Charter Schools

Source: Oakland Unified School District [https://www.ousddata.org/announcements/category/maps](https://www.ousddata.org/announcements/category/maps)
Youth Experiencing Homelessness

Housing Insecurity and Homelessness in Oakland
As described in Section II, housing insecurity is one of the most significant stressors for Oakland families, disproportionately affecting low-income families of color. The 2020 COVID-19 pandemic brought with it unpredictable changes in Oakland’s economy and housing market, with many residents and families losing their income and housing security. The Oakland City Council approved a moratorium on rental evictions in March 2020 until after the COVID-19 emergency to protect renters from losing their housing. However, the future remains uncertain for those who are behind on rent once the moratorium is lifted. Providers noted that housing instability is a core issue, as families are facing eviction and displacement due to job loss despite city moratoriums on evictions. Those who are housing burdened (paying more than 35% of household income on rent) are most likely to live in the flats of deep East Oakland, which is predominantly home to Black and Latinx residents.

Figure C-11: Oakland Stressors Index: Housing Cost Burden

Source: Oakland Stressors Index, 2019
Homelessness in Oakland disproportionately impacts people of color, and specifically Black and Native American Oaklanders. According to the 2019 Point in Time count, 70% of the population experiencing homelessness in Oakland were Black/African American, while comprising 24% of Oakland’s population. Native Americans were overrepresented among people experiencing homelessness at a rate four times greater than their citywide representation; while approximately 1% of Oakland’s residents are Native American, 4% of the homeless population is.\(^{48}\)

In 2020-21, there were 818 students in Oakland Unified known to be experiencing homelessness, down from 1,001 the previous year.\(^{49}\) The majority of students experiencing homelessness attend Castlemont and Fremont High Schools in East Oakland, and Oakland International High School in North Oakland.\(^{50}\)

In our March 2021 youth community workshops, young people stated that there are not enough services for youth experiencing homelessness and advocated that the city should be doing more to help adolescents and young adults who are unstably housed, couch-surfing, or experiencing homelessness. Young people also cited safety concerns at homeless shelters. Young women said that co-ed shelters are not safe for young women, as the men harass them there.

Alameda County’s Housing and Urban Development estimates that homelessness in Oakland has nearly doubled - from 2,191 individuals in 2017 to 4,071 in 2019 - based on a point-in-time count and survey of unsheltered and sheltered (emergency, transitional housing) persons on the same night in January of each year. This count likely underestimates the true extent of homelessness in Oakland due to the nature of point-in-time counts. The county uses the point-in-time count along with shelter bed utilization data to estimate a more realistic count of homelessness, which estimates homeless in Oakland may be closer to 8,000 individuals.\(^{51}\)

Results of Alameda County’s 2019 point-in-time count and survey found that these individuals cited the following as the cause of their homelessness: mental health issues (14%), lost job (13%), rent increase (11%), other money issues (10%), substance use issues (10%), and incarceration (7%). Thirty-eight percent of survey respondents reported having a disability. For children and families, domestic violence is also a leading cause of homelessness. Of the 4,071 homeless individuals identified in the 2019 count, 79% were unsheltered. For transition-aged youth (18-24), 75% were unsheltered.\(^{52}\)

**Children and Youth of Color**

OFCY prioritizes funding programs that serve children and youth of color, recognizing the prevalence of racial disparities. Information highlighting these disparities can be found in nearly all subsections throughout this report.

“Current systems are not good at providing wraparound services where the homeless families are.”
- Stakeholder interview
As noted earlier, 86% of young people under age 18 are from families of color, with Latinx (33%) and Black/African Americans (18%) the largest groups. Among students attending OUSD schools in grades K-12, 44.2% are Latinx, 22.1% Black/African American, 12.1% Asian, 6% Multiethnic, 1% Pacific Islander, 0.7% Filipino, and 0.2% American Indian or Alaska Native. In recent years, there has been a substantial increase in Latinx children and youth as a percentage of all OUSD students, and a substantial decrease of Black/African American students.53

Youth, parents, providers, and key stakeholders in our March 2021 community workshop series noted the need for culturally-responsive resources and approaches to services that are rooted in a racial equity lens. Providers report that Black and Latinx students are most likely to experience inequities in Oakland schools. These inequities are reflected in achievement gaps and other differential outcomes in relation, for example, to those of White and Asian students, as detailed in the next section. For Latinx students, there are also often varying experiences on the basis of language access and class. In addition, providers noted that Native American and Indigenous students are often excluded or overlooked in discussions about school success and identifying student needs. These students are also often not accounted for as discrete groups in student achievement and other data, since their numbers are relatively and statistically small. Providers further cited the needs of girls and young women of color who have experienced gender-based violence and human trafficking.

Providers would like to see curricula and programming in schools that are actively anti-racist. Several participants pointed to the field of work on anti-racist teaching and grading, and believe there needs to be a plan to address the harm and “systemic brutality” experienced by communities in Oakland, including the harm caused by inequitable schools.

**Immigrant youth, refugees, and unaccompanied minors**

**Newcomer Youth**
Newcomer youth -- young people who have arrived in the U.S. in the past three years -- face unique challenges to full participation in the Oakland community and its resources. In 2020-21, there were 2,909 newcomer youth (who have arrived in the U.S. in the past three years) in OUSD. Of the OUSD newcomers, 226 are refugee students, 267 are asylees, and 632 are unaccompanied immigrant youth. These data likely underrepresent the true number of youth experiencing the challenges facing newcomers, as it just accounts for students enrolled in OUSD, and fears of discrimination and legal challenges or deportation contribute to underreporting.

![Figure C-12: OUSD Newcomer Youth](source: Oakland Unified School District Data)
Newcomer youth and their families can face a myriad of challenges, ranging from language and cultural barriers, unfamiliarity with navigating the complex government, educational, and social systems, and establishing a connection to their new community. This is on top of some of the most basic elements of establishing stability -- finding housing, stable and sustaining employment, enrolling in school, obtaining an ID and bank account, etc. The legal requirements regarding immigration, refugee, and asylee status can pose challenges at each of these stages.

While the unaccompanied immigrant youth in OUSD come from dozens of countries around the world, most come from Central America. Many unaccompanied and other newcomer youth have had traumatic experiences in their native country and on the way to the United States. Some suffer from post-traumatic stress disorder or experience other negative results borne of trauma. Here in this country, often separated from their loved ones, unaccompanied youth frequently struggle to acculturate to the new environments (home, school, community), may have no attachment to their host family, and may wrestle with issues of trust and inability to communicate due to language, cultural, and emotional barriers. For those who are undocumented, their families are often reluctant to seek services and resources they may need for fear of deportation.

**Monolingual Families**

Whether it was due to pandemic-related financial stress, recent immigration to the US, or other family needs, parents who are not fluent in English reported a strong demand during focus groups for bilingual resource navigation, parent support, and peer support. Parents are looking for resources and information to address their families’ basic needs, including guidance for enrolling in public benefits, navigating the American educational system, obtaining legal status, or trying to access a service or community resource. Also, parents who had recently immigrated to the US highlighted the need for legal services to assist with the immigration process. The absence of translation, particularly in Cantonese and Arabic, makes system navigation impenetrable for these families, with young people often playing the role of translator or families going without support.

Some participants in OFCY community engagement emphasized that Oakland benefits from a deep well of resources, programs, and benefits that are designed to help low-income families. The challenge, they explained, is that some families do not know that these resources exist or find navigating them with limited English proficiency particularly challenging. Many immigrant families cited the internet, Facebook, other social media platforms, along with friends, family members, schools, and community-based organizations, as the primary channels through which they seek information and community resources. Latinx parents expressed confidence in getting the information they need through these channels. This was not the case for Cantonese-speaking Chinese parents in particular; they came to the OFCY Parents and Caregivers workshop in search of information about COVID-19, vaccines, returning to school, and public benefits and resources. For this group, the pandemic had left them more isolated, with fewer options for accessing information.
Immigrating children, as well as U.S.-born children of immigrants, often bear a disproportionate share of the burden for navigating their new environment and as a result develop high levels of resilience and social-emotional skills as they strive to adapt to new lives. They may play a large supporting role in their family, such as translating for their older relatives or earning income to help support their family from a young age. They may contribute insightful perspectives and leadership in group settings. Oakland’s ongoing role as a destination for immigrating youth and families enriches Oakland’s communities and schools, and is a fundamental part of the city’s multicultural vibrancy.

**LGBTQ Youth**

It is difficult to estimate the number of children and youth who are LGBTQ in Oakland, even though children today are identifying their sexual orientation and gender identity at a younger age than in previous decades. Figure C-13 below presents data from recent surveys of OUSD students, including 5,053 students in grades 6-8 (72% of all students in those grades) and 4,646 students in grades 9-12 (54% of 9th-12th graders). Students who did not self-identify any of the choices shown in the Figure indicated “I am not sure yet,” “Something else,” or declined to respond.

**Figure C-13. Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity among youth in OUSD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sexual orientation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight (not gay)</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gay or lesbian</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender Identify</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, I am not transgender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, I am transgender</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


In an earlier survey of 11th grade students in OUSD, female students were four times as likely to be out as gay/lesbian/bisexual than their male counterparts. Indigenous students were the most likely to report being out as gay, lesbian, or bisexual.55

LGBTQ+ youth are at elevated risk for bullying and violence, victimization, mental health problems, drug and alcohol use, and poor performance in school, and receive substantially less social support from teachers and peers at schools than their counterparts who do not identify as LGBTQ.56 In Oakland Unified, LGBTQ+ youth are more likely than their straight peers to report experiences with bullying and harassment as well as feelings of depression.:

- 53.6% of youth who identified as gay, lesbian, or bisexual reported being bullied or harassed, compared to 24.4% of straight youth.
- 56.3% of gay, lesbian, or bisexual youth reported experiencing chronic depression, compared to 23.9% of straight youth.\textsuperscript{57}

As noted earlier, 1% of OUSD high school youth identify as transgender. According to a report by the Trevor Project, which highlights data from the Center for Disease Control and Prevention’s 2017 Youth Risk Behavior Survey, “significantly increased rates of depression, suicidality, and victimization” as compared to their peers. One in three of the transgender youth reported having attempted suicide in the previous year.\textsuperscript{58}

**Foster Youth**

Figure C-14, below, shows the number of children in foster care in Alameda County by race, over time from 2010 to 2018. The number of Alameda County youth in foster care is declining - from 1,825 in 2010 to 1,411 in 2018. Black/African American youth represent the largest racial group of all foster youth in the county, comprising 60% of the total population in 2010 and 50% in 2018.

**Figure C-14: Children in Foster Care in Alameda County by Race, 2010 to 2018 Comparison**

![Bar chart showing the number of children in foster care in Alameda County by race from 2010 to 2018.](https://www.kidsdata.org/topic/22/foster-care-race/table)


There were 290 OUSD students in foster care in 2020-21, down from 345 in the previous year. In 2019-20, 15% were designated English Learners, 33% were enrolled in special education, and 43% were chronically absent from school. Only 11% met or exceeded state academic standards in English Language Arts and 7.3% met or exceeded standards in math.\textsuperscript{59}

In Oakland the biggest reason for foster care placement was neglect (73.5%) followed by physical abuse (14.3%) and sexual abuse (8.8%).
Youth in foster care often experience difficulty in transitioning out of foster care given the probability that there may not be ongoing family support as they become independent adults. Transitional age youth are at increased risk of homelessness and/or commercial sexual exploitation, and are an important priority population for OFCY.

Youth with Disabilities

In 2020-21, there were 5,369 students identified with disabilities enrolled in Oakland Unified School District, making up 15.1% of the total 35,565 enrollment. Comparatively, 11.7% of all Oakland residents identified as having a disability.

The figures below illustrate a breakdown of special needs by type and race for the 2018-19 school year (most recent complete data available). Special needs included learning disabilities, emotional and behavioral disorders, physical disabilities, and developmental disabilities. In 2018-19, the majority of students enrolled in special education in OUSD had specific learning disabilities (36%), speech or language impairment (19%), autism (17%), and other health impairments (12%). Of all the students enrolled in Special Education in OUSD 2018-19, the largest proportion were Hispanic/Latinx (41%) followed by Black/African American (36%).
Figure C-16: OUSD Special Needs by Disability, 2018-19

Source: CA Department of Education, Dataquest Special Education Enrollment, 2018-19.

Figure C-17: OUSD Youth with Special Needs by Race, 2018-2019

Source: CA Department of Education, Dataquest Special Education Enrollment, 2018-19.
Commercially-Sexually Exploited Youth

Oakland has long struggled with the commercial sex trafficking of children given the large populations of youth at risk of system involvement and/or exploitation. Oakland also attracts runaway youth from all over the country who are vulnerable to commercial exploitation. At the same time, Oakland providers have built strong collaborations and strategies to address this issue for well over a decade.

Due to the nature of commercial sexual exploitation of children (CSEC), complete and accurate quantitative data is difficult if not impossible to gather. Much of what we know comes from the experience and breadth of leading community providers working with commercially-sexually exploited youth, who reach hundreds of girls victimized by commercial sexual exploitation each year or who are highly vulnerable to it. Some of these girls are as young as 11 years old. Many of the girls have run away from home or foster placements multiple times. In one sample of 330 minors who were sex trafficked in Alameda County in 2011-2013, 83% had a runaway history. Running away from home, typically because of abuse, makes youth vulnerable to further harm, and is consistently found to be linked with exploitation.

OUSD and community partners report seeing that, along with African American and Native American girls, unaccompanied immigrant youth are at the next highest risk of being trafficked sexually (primarily cisgender girls and nonbinary or trans youth) and/or for labor purposes (all genders). OUSD is seeing multiple cases in which newcomer youth, to help support their families or sponsors, start to disengage from school, have frequent absences, and sometimes “disappear,” having been coerced into working in sectors such as cleaning (houses, hotels), child care, construction, agriculture, and nightclubs. Or newcomer girls drop out of school as they are sexually exploited by their “boyfriends,” believing they do not have an alternative.

Among OUSD high school students completing the California Healthy Kids Survey (n=4074), 4% report that they have had sex with someone in exchange for money, drugs, food, a place to sleep, etc., and 8% reported that someone they were dating forced them to have sexual contact they did not want to have.

Disconnected/Opportunity Youth

Disconnected youth, also referred to as opportunity youth, are defined as older teens ages 16-19 who are not enrolled in school and not employed. Those who become disconnected from school and work may have a harder time transitioning from adolescence to adulthood. Opportunity youth are more likely to experience outcomes such as poor health, lower incomes, unemployment, and incarceration as adults. States with higher rates of disconnected youth also see a lower youth voter turnout, as one proxy for level of civic engagement. There are many complex factors that can contribute to disconnection, ranging from growing up in families with low economic resources or educational attainment, having a disability, living in neighborhoods with high rates of poverty, unemployment, and racial segregation, being involved in the foster care or criminal justice system, and having caregiving responsibilities at
home, among others.\textsuperscript{66} OFCY has prioritized strategies that address the needs of disconnected youth.

Data for disconnected and opportunity youth is particularly difficult to find, due to the very nature of disconnection as youth age out of systems that might otherwise collect that data (e.g. schools, foster care system, etc.). The data displayed below shows what is available from the U.S. Census Bureau’s American Community Survey and does not represent a detailed breakdown of racial and ethnic groups, nor by TAY age subset.

Looking more closely at Oakland, there were an estimated 20,695 youth ages 16-19 not in school or working in 2019; a rate of 6.5%, higher than the state average of 4.8% in the same year.\textsuperscript{67} As with the region, this rate varies significantly by race, with African Americans most represented among opportunity youth.

\textit{Figure C-18: Oakland Disconnected Youth Trends Over Time, 2015-2019}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure_c18.png}
\caption{Oakland Disconnected Youth Trends Over Time, 2015-2019}
\end{figure}

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\hline
Black & 9.7\% & 10.0\% & 10.5\% & 13.9\% & 13.1\% \\
\hline
White alone not Hispanic & 3.5\% & 3.6\% & 4.2\% & 3.8\% & 5.1\% \\
\hline
Hispanic/ Latinx & 5.5\% & 6.7\% & 6.3\% & 6.7\% & 4.1\% \\
\hline
TOTAL \% & 6.2\% & 6.4\% & 6.3\% & 7.3\% & 6.5\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Oakland Disconnected Youth Trends Over Time, 2015-2019}
\end{table}

Source: American Community Survey S0902 Oakland City, CA 5 Year Estimates, 2019
Figure C-19: Opportunity Youth in Oakland and California, 2019

Source: American Community Survey S0902 Oakland City and CA 5 Year Estimates, 2019
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