



Oakland Fund for Children and Youth Meeting of the Planning and Oversight Committee (POC)

September 2nd, 2015 – 6:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m.

Oakland City Hall, Hearing Room 4
1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 2nd Floor
Oakland, CA 94612

AGENDA

1. Call to Order
 - *Introductions & Announcements*
 - *Agenda Review/Modifications*
2. Open Forum
3. Adoption of Prior Meeting Minutes from May 20th and June 24th 2015 *action*
4. Presentation on the Oakland Promise Initiative by David Silver, Director of Education, Office of the Mayor *discussion*
5. Review and Adoption of FY2016-2019 Strategic Investment Plan *action*
6. Election of POC Co-Chairs for FY2015-2016 *action*
7. Review and Revision of OFCY By-Laws *action*
8. Administrative Matters
 - *General Announcements*
 - *Upcoming Meetings/Scheduling*
9. Adjournment

Public Comment: The POC welcomes you to its meetings and your interest is appreciated.

- If you wish to speak before the POC, please fill out a speaker card and hand it to the staff of the POC.
- If you wish to speak on a matter not on the agenda, please sign up for Open Forum and wait for your name to be called.
- If you wish to speak on a matter on the agenda, please approach the Committee when called, give your name, and your comments.

Please be brief and limit your comments to the specific subject under discussion. Only matters within the POC's jurisdiction may be addressed. Time limitations shall be at the discretion of the Chair.

In compliance with Oakland's policy for people with chemical allergies, please refrain from wearing strongly scented products to meetings. In compliance with the American Disabilities Act, if you need assistance to participate in the meetings for the Oakland Fund for Children and Youth Planning & Oversight Committee, please contact the Oakland Fund for Children and Youth at 510-238-6379. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City of Oakland to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility. If you have questions regarding this agenda or related materials, please contact our office at the number above.

MINUTES TO BE APPROVED
Oakland Fund for Children and Youth (OFCY)
Planning and Oversight Committee (POC) Meeting
Oakland City Hall, 1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Hearing Room 4, Oakland, CA 94612
Wednesday, May 20, 2015
6:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m.

Committee Members present: Kevin Wong, Kathy Teng Dwyer, Steven Wirt, Issac Ruelas, Derrick Muhammad, Julie Waters and Marcus Montague.

Committee Members absent: Bolor-Erdene Erdenebat, Sheilagh Polk, Ajani Torres-Cedillo, Kenna Castillo, Brandon Aninipot, Kisha Jackson and Briana Dunn

Staff Members present: Sandy Taylor, Mike Wetzel, Scott Kim and Tabia Norris.

I. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:20 p.m.

II. Open Forum for Youth or Families with Small Children

There were two speakers for Open Forum.

III. Adoption of Prior Meeting Minutes from April 1st 2015

The action of adopting the prior meeting minutes was tabled due to lack of quorum.

IV. Update on FY2016-2019 Strategic Planning

Brightstar Olsen of Bright Research Group provided an update on the status of the strategic plan process. The details on the developed draft strategies and allocations would take place under action item 7.

OFCY Manager Sandy Taylor shared updates from the May 13 ad-hoc POC strategic planning subcommittee meetings, where strategies were first presented and received public comment.

Steven Wirt, chair of the POC strategic plan subcommittee, thanked the community for support and input. The committee took a deep look at how it addresses equity, which is evident in the draft strategy. In addition, the plan includes more streamlined strategies that may encourage a broader group of organizations to apply.

Issac Ruelas commented positively on seeing the community involvement and input reflected in the draft plan and the impact of people's participation in the process.

V. Presentation by Urban Strategies Council on the Oakland-Alameda County Alliance for Boys and Men of Color

Presented by Junious Williams, the CEO of Urban Strategies Council, provided an overview of the work of the Oakland-Alameda County Alliance for Boys and Men of Color, supported by the California Endowment's Building Healthy Communities Initiative. The focus of the Oakland-Alameda County Alliance is to improve health, education and employment for boys and men of color. The four projects currently in operation are the Oakland-Alameda County Opportunity Youth Initiative (workforce development),

Manhood Development Project, BMoC Trauma Intervention Project, and BMoC Early Childhood Health and Education Project. The ultimate goal is to reach youth, reconnect them to services provided, get them stabilized and get them on the right career path.

There were no public comments.

VI. Update on Kids First! In the City Of Oakland Proposed Budget 2015-2017

The OFCY budget has increased from \$12.6M in the current two-year budget to a projected \$13.9M annually in 2015-2017. OFCY funding represents 3% of the City of Oakland's unrestricted general fund.

There were no public comments.

VII. Review of Funding Strategies and Allocations for 2016-2019

Staff and Brightstar presented the FY2015-2017 Funding Allocations by Strategy.

There were four speakers for public comment.

VIII. Administrative Matters

There are currently three open vacancies on the Planning and Oversight Committee.

The next POC meeting is scheduled for June 24, 2015.

IX. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 8:11 p.m.

MINUTES TO BE APPROVED
Oakland Fund for Children and Youth (OFCY)
Planning and Oversight Committee (POC) Meeting
Oakland City Hall, 1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Hearing Room 4, Oakland, CA 94612
Wednesday, June 24, 2015
6:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m.

Committee Members present: Kathy Teng Dwyer, Sheilagh Polk, Steven Wirt, Kenna Castillo, Issac Ruelas, Derrick Muhammad, Julie Waters and Kisha Jackson.

Committee Members absent: Bolor-Erdene Erdenebat, Kevin Wong, Ajani Torres-Cedillo, Brandon Aninipot, Briana Dunn and Marcus Montague.

Staff Members present: Sandy Taylor, Mike Wetzel, Scott Kim and Tabia Norris.

I. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:21 p.m.

II. Open Forum for Youth or Families with Small Children

There were no speakers.

III. Adoption of Prior Meeting Minutes from May 20, 2015

The action of adopting the prior meeting minutes was tabled due to lack of quorum.

IV. OFCY Recognition of POC Members Term of Service & Presentation to Youth

OFCY Manager Sandy Taylor recognized the youth that participated on the FY2014-2015 POC. OFCY Staff Scott Kim informed the committee what schools the graduating youth members would attend in the Fall. He also commented on vacancies within the POC that will need to be filled.

Kathy Teng Dwyer recommended that all committee members talk to their relevant appointers regarding re-appointment for next year's POC.

V. Review and Adoption of FY2016-2019 Strategic Investment Plan

Brightstar Olsen of Bright Research Group provided an overview of the Strategic Investment Plan for 2016-2019. Due to lack of quorum, the plan will be presented to the POC again in September 2015 for adoption. The eight POC members in attendance all voiced their support for the plan as presented.

There were three speakers for public comment.

VI. Administrative Matters

There are currently three open vacancies on the Planning and Oversight Committee.

The next POC meeting is scheduled for September 2, 2015.

VII. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 7:40 p.m.

THE OAKLAND PROMISE *Cradle to Career*

0-5

K-12

COLLEGE/CAREER

A. OUTCOMES



HOPE: COLLEGE AS EXPECTATION

3RD GRADE LITERACY

HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION

COLLEGE GRADUATION

KINDERGARTEN READINESS

8TH GRADE ALGEBRA

COLLEGE ENROLLMENT

CAREER OUTCOME TBD



B. OAKLAND PROMISE INITIATIVES

Brilliant Baby

K2College

Future Centers

College Scholarships

College Completion

C. SUPPORTING INITIATIVES to reach goal of college graduation and career success

Alameda County Home Visiting Program
Talk Read Sing (0-3)
Oakland Starting Smart and Strong Initiative
High Quality, Accessible Pre-K
Early Head Start / Head Start
Oakland Starting Smart and Strong Initiative

Techquity
Restorative Justice
Collective Impact
Oakland Reads 2020
Access to Schools & Libraries
Measure N
Linked Learning
Intensive Support Schools Initiative
Oakland Community Schools
African American Male Achievement

Alameda County Alliance for Men and Boys of Color
Social Emotional Learning & Restorative Practices
Latino Men and Boys initiative
African American Young Women and Girls Initiative

Classrooms2Careers
Oakland 100
Pathways



OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Community Schools, Thriving Students



We will also work with a range of partners to help us reach our goals.

THE OAKLAND PROMISE

Cradle to Career

OUR VISION: We as a community will ensure every child in Oakland graduates high school with the expectations, resources, and skills to complete college and be successful in the career of their choice.



OAKLAND UNIFIED
SCHOOL DISTRICT
Community Schools, Thriving Students





Oakland Fund for Children and Youth Strategic Investment Plan 2016–2019

About the Oakland Fund for Children and Youth

The Oakland Fund for Children and Youth (OFCY) was established in 1996 as a result of a community-led drive to create a City fund expressly for the benefit of children and youth. OFCY provides strategic funding to support Oakland's children and youth from birth to 20 years of age to help them become healthy, happy, educated, engaged, powerful, and loved community members. The Oakland Fund for Children and Youth is a program of the Human Services Department within the City of Oakland. The Planning and Oversight Committee (POC) provides direction to the Fund.

Oakland Fund for Children and Youth
City of Oakland Human Services Department
150 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 4216
Oakland, CA 94612
510-238-6379
www.ofcy.org



About Bright Research Group

This report was prepared by Bright Research Group. An Oakland-based, women- and minority-owned firm, Bright Research Group (BRG) specializes in evaluation, community engagement, and strategic planning for the public sector, nonprofit organizations, collaboratives, and private entities working to achieve greater social impact and equity.

www.brightresearchgroup.com



Table of Contents

Executive Summary	4
1. Introduction	7
1.1 Background	7
1.2 About OFCY	7
1.3 The Planning and Oversight Committee.....	7
1.4 Overview of Strategic Investment Plan for FY 2016–2019.....	7
1.5 OFCY’s Goals, Vision, Mission, and Values.....	8
1.6 Who OFCY Aims to Serve.....	10
2. The Change OFCY Seeks to Achieve	11
2.1 Investment Guidelines	12
2.2 Changes in Youth Population and Youth Needs.....	13
3. Summary of Community Input.....	16
3.1 Community Input Activities	16
3.2 Key Themes	17
3.3 Resource Requirements.....	18
4. Early Childhood Strategies.....	19
<u>Strategy 1</u> : Engage and Support Parents in the Healthy Development of their Children.....	20
<u>Strategy 2</u> : Strengthen Preschool Quality through Consultation with Early Childhood Educators...	22
5. Student Success in School Strategies.....	23
<u>Strategy 3</u> : Ensure Youth Access to High-Quality School-Based After-School Programming	24
<u>Strategy 4</u> : Strengthen Student Engagement in Learning.....	25
6. Youth Development and Empowerment Strategies	26
<u>Strategy 5</u> : Create Access to Youth Development and Empowerment Opportunities Year-Round	27
<u>Strategy 6</u> : Create Access to Academic Support and Enrichment during the Summer Months	28
7. Transitions to Adulthood Strategies	30
<u>Strategy 7</u> : Expand Access to Career Awareness and Academic Support for Older Youth.....	31
8. Endnotes	33
Appendix: Summary of Community Input	
Appendix: Oakland Youth Demographic Profile	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

For nearly 20 years, the Oakland Fund for Children and Youth (OFCY) has directed over \$173 million in grants to community-based organizations and public agencies to deliver services that directly benefit children and youth from birth to age 20 in Oakland. Approved by voters in 1996, the Oakland Kids First! Initiative allocates a portion of the City’s unrestricted funds to support the development of children and youth in four goal areas: Early Childhood, Student Success in School, Youth Development and Empowerment, and Transitions to Productive Adulthood. Every three years, under the direction of the Planning and Oversight Committee (POC), OFCY develops a strategic investment plan to guide grant making and fund allocation.

This Strategic Investment Plan covers the fiscal years of 2016–2019 and situates OFCY’s investments within the broader landscape of other systems and investors in Oakland. OFCY undertook a comprehensive strategic planning process that began with a situational analysis and demographic data analysis, followed by a community input process. The Plan was developed with an understanding of what is changing for children and youth in Oakland, as well as for the systems that support them. OFCY also aligns strategies in the landscape of other system investors and funders to ensure that funding strategies support necessary services and maximize impact.

In recent years, Oakland has seen an increase in philanthropic and public investments to improve the quality of preschool and public education. Oakland’s revitalized and growing economy has led to annual increases in general fund revenues in recent years, resulting in an increase in the funds available for OFCY investments. At the same time, more children and youth are living in poverty and the cost of living is becoming prohibitive for more families. OFCY’s investments remain relevant but are increasingly considered in the context of other targeted investments for children and youth.


OFCY will direct investments in seven strategies across four goal areas. OFCY anticipates awarding approximately \$13.5 million in funds annually in 2016–2019. These strategies reflect OFCY’s values of social and economic equity, child and youth development, and community and collaboration.

Early Childhood	2016–2017 Funding Allocation (approximate)
Strategy 1. Parent Engagement and Support	16%
Strategy 2. Early Childhood Mental Health Consultation	
Student Success in School	
Strategy 3. High-Quality School-Based After-School Programs	42%
Strategy 4. Student Engagement in Learning	
Youth Development and Empowerment	
Strategy 5. Youth Development and Empowerment Year-Round	28%
Strategy 6: Youth Development and Empowerment in Summer	
Transitions to Productive Adulthood	
Strategy 7: Career Awareness and Academic Support	14%
Total Estimated 2016–2017 Grant Funding:	\$13.5M

Due to Oakland’s improving economy, OFCY anticipates an increase in revenues to \$14 million annually beginning in 2015–2016, and a subsequent increase in available funding for grants in the 2016–2019 grant years. Of these funds, 90% are distributed through grant-making efforts, and the remaining 10% of funds are used for administration, planning, and evaluation. Accordingly, annual funding to support programming in all goal areas will increase.

- To support Early Childhood, OFCY directs additional funding to support and expand parent engagement in early learning programming in high-priority neighborhoods and to support Family Resource Centers in partnership with other system partners and investors.
- For the School-Based After-School strategy, OFCY will increase the base allocation for each eligible school site and create a supplemental funding pool to direct additional funding to schools with the highest proportion of children on Free and/or Reduced Lunch (FRL).
- For the Youth Development and Empowerment goal area, OFCY will increase the overall allocation to this strategy to fund a wide range of positive child and youth development programming. Investments in specific populations, including LGBTQ youth, boys of color, unaccompanied minors, and youth exposed to violence, are now held within this goal area.
- For the Transitions to Adulthood strategy, increased funding will allow for greater support for academic support and workforce exposure.

Selected investments will reach youth from birth to age 20. OFCY investments will reach children and youth in high-priority neighborhoods, which are low-income neighborhoods that have moderate to high levels of stress, as outlined in the Oakland Unite (Measure Z) stressor map. The strategies ensure that specific populations are also prioritized for funding.



OFCY Funding Strategies for FY 2016–2019

OFCY Strategy Area 1: Early Childhood

1. *Engage and Support Parents in the Healthy Development of their Children:* Invests in programs that are designed to meet the holistic needs of young children by building parenting skills and knowledge and providing services and supports in community locations that are accessible, safe, and desired by families. OFCY supports parent engagement, parent leadership, home visiting, and peer connection opportunities, including Family Resource Center development or programming in high-priority neighborhoods. Parent supportive programming includes efforts acknowledging the importance of financial security by supporting parents around financial skills and savings to support children's access to college, and to help parents navigate access to services supporting the basic needs of families, including child care and education, health, housing, medical or legal resources. This strategy also supports efforts to increase the school readiness of children, including summer pre-kindergarten and early literacy efforts.
2. *Strengthen Preschool Quality through Consultation with Early Childhood Educators:* Strengthens the capacity of early childhood educators and parents to meet young children's behavioral, social-emotional, and developmental needs through the delivery of mental health and developmental consultations by licensed mental health professionals. Promotes the social-emotional well-being of parents and young children through the delivery of trauma-informed and culturally relevant services and supports.

OFCY Strategy Area 2: Student Success in School

3. *Ensure Youth Access to High-Quality School-Based After-School Programs:* Supports access to after-school programming and free or low-cost academic and enrichment programs at elementary and middle school sites. Provides local match funding to deliver programming at school sites that receive state After School Education & Safety Program (ASES) funding and where at least half the students are eligible for Free and/or Reduced Lunch (FRL). Directs supplemental funding to sites with high concentrations of low-income students.
4. *Strengthen Student Engagement in Learning:* Directs funds to programming designed to support child and youth attachment to school and achievements in learning in coordination with OUSD. Invests in culturally responsive and targeted models to meet the needs of specific populations, including youth who are at risk of not graduating or who are experiencing disparities in academic outcomes.

OFCY Strategy Area 3: Youth Development and Empowerment

5. *Create Access to Youth Development and Empowerment Opportunities Year-Round:* Creates access to year-round activities that empower children and youth to develop leadership skills, build on their strengths, improve their connections to adults and peers, and contribute to their communities through arts, technology, entrepreneurship, sports, and other enrichment programming. Supports access to comprehensive services for specific populations.
6. *Create Access to Enrichment and Academic Support during the Summer Months:* Invests in programs that provide enrichment and academic opportunities for children and youth during the summer months to help them stay engaged in learning, retain academic skills and knowledge, develop their voice and leadership skills, and make meaningful contributions to their communities.

OFCY Strategy Area 4: Transitions to Adulthood

7. *Expand Access to Career Awareness and Academic Support for Older Youth:* Supports programming that provides older youth (ages 15–20) with career awareness, exploration, and preparation within high-priority industries, as well as college and career advising and other academic supports to facilitate the transition to and persistence in college and to a career.

I. INTRODUCTION

I.1 Background

In 1996, Oakland voters approved the Oakland Kids First! Initiative, which set aside a portion of the City's annual unrestricted General Purpose Fund revenues to support services that directly benefit children and youth under the age of 21. Housed in the City of Oakland Human Services Department, the Oakland Fund for Children and Youth (OFCY) administers these funds under the direction of the OFCY Planning and Oversight Committee (POC). In 2009, Oakland voters reauthorized the Oakland Kids First! Initiative. The updated legislation allocates 3% of the City's general fund revenues to OFCY and requires the development of a three-year strategic investment plan to guide the allocation of these funds. The Oakland Kids First! Initiative is authorized until 2020.



I.2 About OFCY

Since 1996, OFCY has invested approximately \$173 million in services and programs that support children and youth in Oakland. As a grant maker, OFCY administers funding to community-based organizations and public agencies to provide services that support children and youth in leading safe, healthy, and productive lives. Supported programs reach approximately 25,000 children and youth a year. Guided by a child and youth development framework, OFCY aims to support high-quality programming that builds on the strengths and resiliency of children, youth, and their families and communities. OFCY partners and aligns with other key system investors in Oakland to support core programming for children and youth in Oakland.

I.3 The Planning and Oversight Committee

The OFCY's Planning and Oversight Committee (POC) was established to provide oversight for the Oakland Kids First! Initiative and to guide strategic planning, funding recommendations, and evaluation of funded programs. The Strategic Planning Subcommittee guided the development of this Plan.

I.4 Overview of Strategic Investment Plan for FY 2016–2019

This Strategic Investment Plan establishes OFCY's funding strategies, activities, and outcomes for the next three-year funding cycle of fiscal years 2016–2019. As required by the legislation, the Plan identifies current service needs and gaps, describes specific three-year program initiatives, and details how these initiatives are aligned with other public and private resources to achieve maximum service performance and outcomes for children and youth. A request for proposals (RFP) based on this Plan will be released in late 2015 to distribute three-year grants to qualifying organizations and public agencies.

OFCY has undertaken a comprehensive planning process to inform the development of the selected strategies. Under the direction of the POC, the process began with a situational analysis of current youth needs, outcomes of OFCY's existing investments, and a review of research, evaluation results, and

promising practices within each of the legislated goal areas. An analysis of available data about Oakland’s youth population was conducted to understand what was changing for children and youth in Oakland. The *Oakland Youth Demographic Profile* summarizes these findings and is provided in the Appendix.

OFCY also conducted a community and stakeholder engagement process to hear from residents, youth, service providers, funders, and other system investors about the strengths and needs of Oakland’s communities, gaps in services, and areas where OFCY could strategically target funding to maximize the benefit for children and youth. A summary of highlights and major themes from community input is included in the *Summary of Community Input* in the Appendix.

1.5 OFCY’s Goals, Vision, Mission, and Values

The Oakland Kids First! Initiative—in Article XIII of the City Charter of the City of Oakland—establishes four key goals for OFCY funding.

Oakland Kids First! Goals	
	<p>1. <i>Support the Healthy Development of Young Children</i> through preschool education, school readiness programs, physical and behavioral health services, parent education, and case management.</p>
	<p>2. <i>Help Children and Youth Succeed in School and Graduate from High School</i> by expanding access to after-school academic support and college readiness programs, arts, music, sports, internships, work experience, parent education, and leadership development, including civic engagement, service learning, and arts expression.</p>
	<p>3. <i>Prevent and Reduce Violence, Crime, and Gang Involvement among Young People</i> through case management, physical and behavioral health services, internships, work experience, outdoor education, and leadership development, including civic engagement, service learning, and arts expression.</p>
	<p>4. <i>Prepare Young People for a Healthy and Productive Adulthood</i> through case management, physical and behavioral health services, hard-skills training and job placement in high-demand industries, internships, work experience, and leadership development, including civic engagement, service learning, and arts expression.</p>

Oakland Fund for Children and Youth Vision, Mission, and Values

Vision

All children and youth in Oakland will thrive and have the support of the entire community to lead safe, healthy, and productive lives.

Mission

We provide strategic funding to support Oakland's children and youth from birth to 20 years of age to become healthy, happy, educated, engaged, powerful, and loved community members. Leveraging our efforts with partners with greater collective impact towards social and economic equity, we build the capacity of community agencies to work together to fully develop each child's potential, achieve positive outcomes, and promote the positive contributions of children and youth to Oakland's greatness.

Values



Social and Economic Equity

All children and youth have a fundamental right to a safe and healthy life and a quality education. We value the vigorous promotion of equality, justice, and accountability and the concerted application of our resources toward those youth in greatest need.

Child and Youth Development


We support efforts to promote the social, emotional, physical, cognitive, and spiritual development of children to instill individual and community pride and leadership. We believe that youth development requires the collective responsibility of the community and the active engagement of family and caregivers for children and youth to achieve their full expression of potential.

Community and Collaboration

We embrace the idea that by pooling our resources and working together, we can accomplish great things. We support strengthening families within our communities to make our children and our city strong. We see that the well-being of our youth is dependent on the strength of their families and the strength of the families is dependent on the strength of the community. Strong communities can provide stability in a time of change in the lives of children and youth and help them grow into loving and powerful adults.


1.6 Who OFCY Aims to Serve

OFCY seeks to support programming that benefits children from birth to 20 years of age. However, OFCY also recognizes that children and youth who live in Oakland’s lowest-income neighborhoods have less access to enriching opportunities. In alignment with the Fund’s guiding value of social and economic equity, OFCY directs funding to children and youth who are living in high-priority neighborhoods, while also investing in specific populations that benefit from targeted programming. High-priority neighborhoods have moderate to high levels of stress, as outlined in the Oakland Unite stressor map below.



High-Priority Neighborhoods

The Oakland Human Services Department’s Oakland Unite has developed a neighborhood stressor index. The data is based on rates of arrest, crime incidence, food stamp participation rates, youth incarceration and probation rates, rates of violent suspensions, and chronic absence among Oakland Unified School District (OUSD) students. This stressor index is mapped to the City’s 57 police beats to inform community policing efforts.



2. THE CHANGE OFCY SEEKS TO ACHIEVE

In support of the legislated goals, OFCY invests in strategies that support positive child and youth development and contribute toward achievement of the following outcomes for children and youth.

Population	Short-Term Outcomes	Long-Term Outcomes
 <p>Young Children</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Receive the services, supports, and treatments they need to participate fully in learning. • Interact with early childhood educators, who are better prepared to address developmental and social-emotional growth as well as behavior. • Are supported by parents with the skills and capacity to promote their child’s social and emotional well-being. 	<p>Children are safe and healthy.</p> <p>Young children are ready for school.</p>
 <p>School-Age Children and Youth</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feel safe and connected to their schools. • Acquire social-emotional skills. • Form caring relationships with peers and adults. • Attend school regularly. • Are supported academically and retain academic skills and knowledge. • Have meaningful opportunities for involvement and leadership. • Participate in challenging and engaging activities and learning experiences. • Develop new skills. • Are healthy, active, and fit. 	<p>Children and youth are safe and healthy.</p> <p>Children are reading at grade level.</p> <p>Children and youth thrive in positive school cultures.</p> <p>Children and youth have access to strengths-based, positive youth development activities.</p> <p>The achievement gap closes.</p> <p>Children and youth succeed in school and graduate from high school.</p>
 <p>Older Youth</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are aware of job and career options. • Access and complete internships and other work experiences, and achieve work-based skills and job readiness. • Have access to information about educational and career opportunities that motivate and interest them. 	<p>Youth graduate from high school, receive a GED, and/or enroll in postsecondary training or educational programs.</p> <p>Youth are ready for college or a career.</p> <p>Youth are able to lead safe, healthy, and productive lives.</p>

2.1 Investment Guidelines

The development of this Strategic Investment Plan was informed by the Fund's investment guidelines:

Mission, Vision, and Values: At the foundation of all funding strategies is OFCY commitment to aligning funding strategies with the Fund's mission, vision, and values and the priorities of Oakland's voters, as captured in the Oakland Kids First! Initiative.

Building on What Works: For nearly 20 years, children, youth, and their families have come to value and rely upon programming supported by OFCY. OFCY is committed to continuous investment in programming that yields positive outcomes for children and youth. OFCY is informed by the existing literature and research base on activities that support children and youth, as well as lessons learned from external program evaluations of OFCY investments.

Supporting Small and Emerging Initiatives:


Many great ideas for supporting children and youth were started in Oakland by Oakland-based organizations with seed funding from OFCY. OFCY is committed to supporting small and emerging initiatives that bring youth new opportunities and experiences.

The Changing Youth Population: OFCY recognizes the shifting demographics of Oakland's children and youth and the new challenges that face them. OFCY aims to be responsive to these changes.

Youth and Community Priorities: OFCY is the creation and will of Oakland's voters and communities. OFCY is committed to providing meaningful opportunities for youth and community members to inform strategies and priorities. OFCY elevates the voice of youth in planning and strategy considerations to ensure that activities are supported that fulfill the self-identified needs and interests of youth.

Context: OFCY funds programming that directly benefits children and youth, while also recognizing that children and youth thrive in the context of their families, communities, and the public systems with which they interact. Investments aim to build the capacity of families, communities, and systems to support children and youth.

Alignment with System Partners: OFCY partners with other systems to expand access to high-quality experiences for children and youth. OFCY seeks to identify and meet service needs, gaps, and opportunities in alignment with other investors but does not supplant existing public-system investments and roles. OFCY works closely with other City investors, including Oakland Unite, which focuses on supporting violence intervention and targeted prevention services, while OFCY invests in violence prevention programming. OFCY investments create positive opportunities that support youth development and prevent youth exposure to violence.



Provider Capacity: Oakland is home to a rich network of community-based providers with deep local expertise. OFCY taps into the knowledge, resources, and capacity of providers to inform the development of strategies. OFCY also gives consideration to the landscape of partners who are available to implement high-quality services in each goal area.

2.2 Changes in Youth Population and Youth Needs

An analysis of data on the changing needs and demographics of children and youth in Oakland informed the development of this Strategic Investment Plan. A comprehensive *Oakland Youth Demographic Profile* summarizes the key findings of this analysis and is contained in the Appendix. OFCY uses the results of this analysis in two ways. The first is as a criterion in guiding the selection of strategies and specific populations during the strategic planning process. The second is as a factor in the selection of grantees during the RFP process.

Understanding what is changing for youth can be achieved only within the context of what is changing in Oakland more broadly and how this change impacts families. Youth represent 24% of Oakland’s population in 2013, or 94,489 youth—a nearly 11% decline in their proportion of the population since 2005.¹ Following broader demographic shifts in California over the last 10 years, Oakland has seen growth in the Latino youth population and a decline in White and African American youth populations.² Latino youth now represent the largest ethnic group in Oakland for youth under the age of 20.³

While Oakland is experiencing economic growth, there are more children living in poverty in Oakland now than in 2005. In 2013, 30% of children in Oakland lived in households with incomes below the federal poverty level, compared to 25% in 2005.⁴ There is a broad body of research showing that children who grow up in poverty are less successful in school, less likely to graduate from high school, and have lower lifetime earnings than children who don’t grow up in poverty.


The Changing Demographics of Youth in Oakland 2005-2013			
	2005	2013	% Change
Youth as Proportion of Total Population in Oakland	27%	24%	-11%
Latino Youth Population	25%	39%	+56%
African American Youth Population	31%	27%	-13%
Youth Living Below Poverty Line	25%	30%	+16%

Data Source: American Community Survey, 2005 & 2013 (5 year estimates)

Since the last strategic planning process, Oakland has emerged from the recession as a place of innovation, entrepreneurship, and economic revitalization. Oakland is increasingly known for its burgeoning restaurant and food culture, its thriving maker movement, and its growing arts scene. However, this economic growth has not benefited all communities and neighborhoods in Oakland.

Youth and community members have expressed concerns about the affordability of Oakland and the high level of violent crime that still affects many communities in the City. Rents are steadily increasing in traditionally working class and low-income neighborhoods, displacing families and leaving them with fewer affordable alternatives in Oakland. Between January 2014 and January 2015, average rents in

Oakland increased by 12.1%, resulting in the second highest increase in rental rates in the country, even ahead of San Francisco (at 11.6%).⁵ Oakland’s average rent for a one-bedroom apartment exceeds \$1,800 per month.⁶ In addition, violent crime continues to affect many of these neighborhoods, exposing many youth or their families and friends to violence or other trauma.



Indicators of Academic Success: Children who start school with basic skills in math and reading are more likely to succeed in school, attain higher levels of education, and secure employment.⁷ However, a minority of Oakland’s children are meeting key educational milestones like proficiency in kindergarten readiness and third-grade reading level, which are predictive of future academic success.

On most metrics, the achievement gap persists when comparing African American and Latino to White and Asian students. While Oakland’s graduation rate has improved in recent years to 63%, it still lags behind the average graduation rate of 80% for Alameda County and California.⁸

Specific Populations

To meet the value of social and economic equity, OFCY considers the needs of specific populations in developing strategies. Selected information on high-priority populations is provided here. (Detailed information can be found in the *Oakland Youth Demographic Profile* in the Appendix.)

Boys of Color: Three in four males under the age of 18 in Alameda County are boys of color.⁹ There is ample data showing that boys and men of color are less likely to meet academic milestones, such

Child and Youth Status Report: Community Indicators		
Preschool Attendance	70%	
Proficiency in Kindergarten Readiness	40%	
Reading at Grade Level in Third Grade	38%	
	White Students	77%
	African American Students	27%
	Latino Students	21%
Chronic Absence (all grades)	11%	
High School Graduation	63%	
High School Dropout	22%	

Data Source: Preschool Attendance and Proficiency in K-Readiness, Oakland Achieves 2014 Report. Third Grade Reading Level and Chronic Absence, Oakland Reads 2020 Baseline Report, released April 2014. High School Graduation and Dropout, California Department of Education 2012-2013.

as kindergarten readiness and third-grade reading levels; more likely to be suspended; more likely to be incarcerated; and more likely to be unemployed.¹⁰ These disparities are particularly acute for African American boys and young men.

Unaccompanied Minors: From October 2013 to July 2014, more than 62,000 Central American children fled from their home countries to the United States.¹¹ Since June 2013, OUSD has enrolled over 200 unaccompanied minors in classes. Approximately 75% are in high school, 10% in middle school, and 15% in elementary school. Forty-nine percent are from Guatemala, 33% from El Salvador, and 18% from Honduras.¹²

LGBTQ Youth: National studies of adolescent youth indicate that between 3% and 6% of youth identified as LGBTQ, reported same-sex attraction, or engaged in same-sex sexual activity.¹³ In Oakland, that estimates to approximately 1,400 to 2,700 LGBTQ youth ages 10–19. Family rejection, discrimination, low self-esteem, and homelessness are threats to the health and well-being of LGBTQ youth in Oakland.

Foster Care Youth: There are 247 foster youth in grades K–12 in OUSD.¹⁴ There are significant gaps in academic outcomes for foster youth in Oakland. By ninth grade, 13% of foster youth at OUSD are reading at grade level, compared to 54% across the District.¹⁵

Homeless Youth: The most recently available data on the homeless population (2013) indicates that there were 356 homeless households with minors under the age of 17 in Oakland.¹⁶ This data does not reflect the number of young people who are homeless and disconnected from their families.

3. SUMMARY OF COMMUNITY INPUT

3.1 Community Input Activities

As part of the development of the Strategic Investment Plan, OFCY conducted a comprehensive community, stakeholder, and youth engagement process, as outlined below. The goal of gathering community input was to solicit perspectives on the needs and opportunities for strengthening the well-being of children and youth and to identify gaps and opportunities in programming for children and youth. OFCY also used community input forums to share preliminary strategic directions and proposed strategies to solicit stakeholder ideas and feedback on these directions.


Community and Stakeholder Input Activities	
	Oakland Youth Commission Public Hearing for youth input into strategy development (in partnership with Oakland Unite)
	Community Forum for Early Childhood and Student Success Community Forum for Youth Development and Transitions
	Webinar for Youth Development Practitioners and Advocates Webinar for Transitions to Adulthood Practitioners and Advocates
	Dozens of stakeholder and provider meetings, such as the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The David and Lucile Packard Foundation Starting Smart and Strong Initiative • Meetings of early childhood, after-school, and youth service providers • Survey of after-school providers • Oakland Unite • Private philanthropy supporting child and youth development in Oakland • Individual and panel meetings with key stakeholders at City of Oakland, OUSD, Alameda County, First 5, Interagency Children’s Policy Council, Oakland Workforce Investment Board, Peralta Community College District, and others

3.2 Key Themes

Community input from a wide range of stakeholders shaped and informed the selected strategies.

OFCY Heard Strong Support for Building Children, Youth, and Family Strengths and Assets: Over 120 young people attended the Oakland Youth Commission Public Hearing, which was jointly sponsored by Oakland Unite and OFCY. Young people shared their emphatic support and desire for enrichment, leadership, and youth development opportunities in their neighborhoods that build on their strengths and assets.

Young people noted that they are too often identified by their deficits rather than their assets. At community input forums, providers and community members spoke of the value of programs that strengthen families and focus on empowering youth and parents as co-creators in service design and as leaders in their communities. Other funders and system partners cited OFCY as Oakland's lead youth development funder and an important source of seed funding for innovative and emergent programs.



Stakeholders Cited the Need for Trauma-Informed Care and Equity in Addressing the Needs of Specific Populations: Providers and community leaders recommended that OFCY prioritize the integration of a trauma-informed approach to better meet the needs of children and young people exposed to violence. While OFCY heard about the needs of many specific populations, there was significant community input to support homeless youth, LGBTQ youth, boys of color, and unaccompanied minors.


OFCY Heard Support for Continued Collaboration and Alignment with Other Public-System Investors in Children and Youth: Public-system investors and other funders in youth services cited OFCY's investments as critical to supporting system-level changes and also providing critical—and otherwise unfunded—programming for children and youth. Stakeholders cited the need for continued OFCY investments to ensure access to school-based after-school programming, strengthen preschool and school quality, and engage parents and families in supporting young people's success.

See the *Community Input Appendix* and each of OFCY's four goal areas for additional details on the community input process and feedback.

3.3 Resource Requirements

OFCY revenues have increased from less than \$5 million annually to over \$12 million in 2014–2015. Of these funds, 90% are distributed through grant-making efforts, and the remaining 10% of funds are used for administration, planning, and evaluation. Since inception in 1996, OFCY has administered approximately \$173 million dollars in grants to community-based organizations and public agencies.

Due to Oakland’s improving economy, OFCY anticipates an increase in revenues to \$14 million annually beginning in 2015–2016, and a subsequent increase in available funding for grants in the 2016–2019 grant years. As a result, annual funding allocations in all goal areas will increase, with the largest increases directed to Early Childhood, School-Based After-School, and Youth Development and Empowerment programming.



4. EARLY CHILDHOOD STRATEGIES

OFCY’s investments in Early Childhood are guided by a wide body of research showing that strengthening families, empowering parents, and building parent capacity and connections are the most effective ways to support the social and emotional development of young children. Strong parental attachment in early childhood is critical in building protective factors, social-emotional skills, and resiliency. Building parental knowledge and skills and connecting parents with resources and supports in their communities helps young children reach developmental milestones.¹⁷ Investments are also supported by research indicating that attending a high-quality preschool improves children’s school readiness and enriches their family’s engagement in schools.¹⁸

Strategic Investments: OFCY will direct investments toward engaging parents in enhancing the healthy development of their children in their neighborhoods and communities and toward supporting preschool quality through early childhood mental health consultation to early childhood educators.

Strategy	Target Population	Annual Allocation
1. Engage and Support Parents in the Healthy Development of their Children	Young children and their parents, families, and caregivers who reside in high-priority neighborhoods	\$2M–\$2.25M
2. Strengthen Preschool Quality through Consultation with Early Childhood Educators	Young children participating in Head Start, Early Head Start, Childhood Development Centers, and other informal settings	

Alignment with Other Systems: OFCY has directed funding to program efforts that engage and support parents in their neighborhoods. These opportunities provide parents with access to services and supports in their communities and help link families to resources provided by other agencies such as First 5 of Alameda County. State, federal, and local funding and philanthropic initiatives in support of early childhood development and learning have increased in recent years. OFCY funding strategies will complement these major investments—such as the Quality Rating Improvement System, Talk, Read, Sing Campaign, and Help Me Grow Program—to strengthen supports for young children and their families. OFCY supports the movement for higher-quality early education and kindergarten readiness by partnering with OUSD and City of Oakland Head Start programs and aligning with public and private initiatives to strengthen and improve the early care and education system in Oakland.

Community Input: Selected strategies were informed by the input of community members, providers, and stakeholders, who emphasized the need for services that support parents and caregivers in their neighborhoods and communities and increase parent involvement and leadership in designing programming to support families and strengthen communities. Stakeholders cited the need to build the capacity of early childhood educators to meet the behavioral and social-emotional needs of young children, as well as for trauma-informed services and vision and developmental screening to improve school readiness outcomes for young children.

Strategy I: Engage and Support Parents in the Healthy Development of their Children

Strategy: This strategy invests in programs that are designed to meet the holistic needs of young children by building parenting skills and knowledge and providing services and supports in community locations that are accessible, safe, and desired by families. OFCY supports parent engagement, parent leadership, home visiting, and peer connection opportunities for parents to learn from and connect with other families in their communities, including Family Resource Center development or programming in high-priority neighborhoods. Parent supportive programming includes efforts acknowledging the importance of financial security by supporting parents around financial skills and savings to support children's access to college, and to help parents navigate access to services supporting the basic needs of families, including child care and education, health, housing, medical or legal resources. This strategy also supports efforts to increase the school readiness of children, including summer pre-kindergarten and early literacy efforts.

Supported Activities: In light of the powerful role parents play in supporting their young child's healthy development, OFCY supports parent-supportive programming to improve outcomes for young children, including the following:

- Parent and child interactive activities or playgroups in community locations that provide parents with the opportunity to play with their infant or toddler
- Supporting parents around financial skills and early savings programs to support children's access to college later in life
- Opportunities for parents to take on leadership roles and plan and/or facilitate activities and programs with program staff
- Peer connection and supports that allow parents to learn from and connect with other families in their communities and to give and receive peer support
- Home visiting services and collaborations for children's healthy development
- Coordinated services in more comprehensive Family Resource Center models and collaboration with existing services to strengthen family supports
- Supports or linkage to county or community resources, such as screening and referral, mental health and trauma-informed resources and services, or supports for special-needs children and children with disabilities
- Information and education about parenting and child development provided in accessible locations (including community sites, family resource centers, schools, medical offices)
- Summer pre-kindergarten camp for children who have not attended preschool
- Other collaborations to increase early literacy and school readiness for young children

Services and supports are delivered in schools and community locations, or at Family Resource Centers, that are accessible, safe, and frequented by parents. OFCY also invests in the development and expansion of comprehensive Family Resource Centers in high-priority neighborhoods. Funding supports efforts to develop new or to expand existing Family Resource Centers and costs associated with the delivery of specific services and supports. Programming reflects the needs, wants, and strengths of the community and spans a broad continuum, from prevention to early intervention to safety-net services.

OFCY will invest in services and supports that do the following:

- Deliver services in the community or neighborhood where parents of young children live
- Utilize a strengths-based or “Strengthening Families” approach
- Design and deliver services based on a partnership with parents and staff
- Capitalize on and leverage existing community resources and assets
- Support parents and children to develop kindergarten readiness among young children

Target Populations: Young children and their parents/families/caregivers who reside in high-priority neighborhoods.

Outcomes:

- Young children learn social skills and develop emotional literacy.
- Young children are ready for kindergarten.
- Young children receive the services, supports, and treatments they need to participate fully in learning.
- Parents are connected to and able to navigate their child’s school.
- Parents have access to information about and understand early childhood development.
- Parents access available community resources.
- Parent-and-child relationships, attachments, and interactions are strengthened.
- Parents ask for help in a time of need and give support to others during a time of need.
- Parents are connected with their peers.
- Parents experience less parental stress.
- Parents have the skills and capacity to support their child’s social and emotional well-being.
- Parents take on leadership roles.

Rationale: Early Childhood investments are based on the wide body of research showing that the first five years of life are the building blocks for future development. Parent/child interaction and attachment are critical factors in the healthy brain development of infants and toddlers. Research shows that providing parents with information about child development and with opportunities to connect with other parents in their community through group-learning opportunities provides parents with the skills to support the healthy development of their young child. Community-based programming engages more parents and strengthens informal community ties. Partnering with parents in the design and delivery of services results in services that are more responsive to their needs and preferences.

Strategy 2: Strengthen Preschool Quality through Consultation with Early Childhood Educators

Strategy: This strategy strengthens the capacity of early childhood educators and parents to meet young children's behavioral, social-emotional, and developmental needs through the delivery of mental health and developmental consultations by licensed mental health professionals. OFCY investments promote the social-emotional well-being of parents and young children through the delivery of trauma-informed and culturally relevant services and supports. This strategy continues services at Head Start, Early Head Start, and OUSD Childhood Development Centers, and expands eligible sites to include informal settings.

Supported Activities: Supported activities consist of the provision of mental health and developmental consultations to early childhood educators; workshops for parents on early childhood development, parenting, and mental health topics; linkages to community resources, such as Help Me Grow; trauma-informed mental health services; and individual consultation and treatment for young children.

Target Populations: Young children participating in Head Start, Early Head Start, Childhood Development Centers, or other informal settings.

Outcomes:

- Early childhood educators have access to information and understand early childhood development, trauma-informed approaches, and early childhood mental health.
- Early childhood educators have the skills and capacity to address developmental, social-emotional, and behavioral challenges in the classroom.
- Parents have access to information about and understand early childhood development.
- Parents have the skills and capacity to support their child's social and emotional well-being.
- Young children receive the services, supports, and treatments they need to participate fully in learning.
- Young children learn social skills and develop emotional literacy.
- Young children develop caring relationships with their peers, teachers, and parents.
- Young children are ready for kindergarten.

Rationale: Attending a high-quality preschool improves children's school readiness skills and helps young children learn about the structure and routine of school, develop pre-literacy skills, and interact with their peers. Early childhood educators play an important role in preparing young children for successful entry into kindergarten by creating learning environments that support their academic, social-emotional, and developmental progress. They also face enormous pressure in responding to the diverse needs and challenges that young children and their families may be facing, including exposure to trauma, poverty, community and family violence, behavioral challenges, and undiagnosed developmental delays and/or mental health challenges. Providing early childhood educators with mental health and developmental consultation helps them acquire skills and practices that allow them to respond effectively to behavioral challenges and to support social-emotional competencies in young children. Children then receive the supports they need to participate fully in classroom learning and, if warranted, the appropriate treatment and services.

5. STUDENT SUCCESS IN SCHOOL STRATEGIES

OFCY’s investments in this goal area aim to ensure that children and youth ages 5 to 20 are connected to and engaged at school, attend high-quality schools, and have access to safe spaces and enriching experiences during out-of-school time. At a community level, OFCY investments contribute toward students’ academic and social development across their educational journey to ensure that they meet key academic milestones along the way, such as reading at grade level, coming to school regularly, and graduating from high school ready for college or a career.

Strategic Investments: To further the broader goal of student success in school, OFCY will direct investments toward ensuring access to high-quality after-school programming as well as efforts to engage middle school and high school students in school settings.

Strategy	Population	Annual Allocation
3. Ensure Youth Access to High-Quality School-Based After-School Programs	Elementary and middle school students (K–8) who attend public schools with Free and/or Reduced rates of 50% or higher	\$5.5M–\$5.75M
4. Strengthen Student Engagement in Learning	Children and youth enrolled in grades K–12 in Oakland. Targeted populations: boys of color, youth transitioning to high school, and other specific youth populations; parents and educators	

Alignment with Other Systems and Investors: OFCY’s partnership with OUSD supports free/low-cost and high-quality after-school programming to 10,000 students annually. Access to high-quality after-school program contributes to OUSD’s broader goal to develop high-quality schools in every neighborhood. The strategies supported through OFCY’s investments in this goal area leverage school resources and align with OUSD’s strategic direction toward becoming a Full-Service Community Schools district, as well as with the Oakland Reads 2020 initiative to strengthen literacy. OFCY’s model of providing matching funds to schools eligible for state after-school funding expands each site’s capacity to engage diverse community-based organizations that provide enriching after-school programming to students.

Summary of Community Input: The selected investments reflect input from community members, providers, system investors, and philanthropists. In regard to School-Based After-School programming, stakeholders concurred that OFCY investments bring community-based organizations into school sites, supporting the vision of schools as a hub for student and family needs and resources. For many, OFCY’s investments reflect a recognition of the benefits of positive youth development programming in supporting student success in school. However, stakeholders noted that programs are at capacity and that the lowest-income schools have higher security costs that impact funding available for programming. Stakeholders also emphasized the needs of specific populations, particularly boys of color, who experience the greatest disparities in outcomes leading to student success, including kindergarten readiness, third-grade literacy and numeracy proficiency, and high school graduation and college readiness.

Strategy 3: Ensure Youth Access to High-Quality School-Based After-School Programming

Strategy: This strategy supports access to school-based after-school programming and free or low-cost academic and enrichment programs at elementary and middle school sites. OFCY provides local match funding to deliver programming at school sites that receive state After School Education & Safety Program (ASES) funding and where at least half the students are eligible for FRL.

- *Base Funding:* OFCY will increase base funding from \$67,000 to \$72,000 per elementary school site and increase funding from \$82,000 to \$85,000 per middle school site.
- *Supplemental Funding:* Recognizing the higher costs and demand for services in schools with the highest FRL rates, these schools will be eligible for a supplemental funding grant of up to \$20,000.

Supported Activities: This strategy provides base funding to elementary schools to deliver enrichment, academic support, arts, sports, technology, literacy, and other youth development and leadership programming. Middle school funding invests in innovative after-school programming to middle school students, including science, technology, arts, sports, linked learning, and other school-based enrichment programming, which build on youth interests and assets and build a positive attachment between young people and their schools. At sites with high levels of students qualifying for FRL, supplemental funding will support enrichment programming, such as arts, STEM (science, technology, engineering, and math), literacy, and gardening; expand program capacity; and/or meet other site needs.

Target Populations: Elementary and middle school students (K–8) who attend schools with FRL rates of 50% or more.

Outcomes:

- Children and youth feel safe and connected to their school.
- Children and youth form caring relationships with peers and adults.
- Children and youth acquire social-emotional skills.
- Children and youth attend school regularly.
- Children and youth are supported academically.
- Low-income children and youth gain access to high-quality school-based after-school programming.
- Children and youth have expanded access to literacy, arts, technology, and other enrichment.

Rationale: Children and youth (ages 5–15) thrive in school when they feel safe, supported, and connected to their school communities. Providing young people with access to enrichment, academic support, and recreation programming that responds to their needs, interests, and strengths helps to create a positive attachment with the school. Over time, creating a nurturing and supportive school environment fosters the development of children during their early school years and provides them with the support they need to succeed in school and later in life. Local and national studies have demonstrated that participation in high-quality after-school programs provides students with safe places to be, relationships with caring adults, and access to academic support and enrichment experiences, all of which contribute to their academic, social, and personal growth.

Strategy 4: Strengthen Student Engagement in Learning

Strategy: Directs funds to programming designed to support children and youth's attachment to school and achievements in learning in coordination with OUSD. The strategy includes investments in culturally responsive and targeted models to meet the needs of specific populations, including youth who are at risk of not graduating or who are experiencing disparities in academic outcomes.

Supported Activities: OFCY invests in programming and activities designed to meet the needs of targeted groups that experience barriers to engaging in school. Supported activities include restorative justice or other programming that creates inclusive learning environments for all students (especially middle and high school youth), activities that promote successful transition to ninth grade, and other experiences that engage young people in learning and/or address early warning indicators, such as chronic absences, suspensions, and academic performance issues. OFCY also funds partnerships between community-based organizations and OUSD or school sites to engage families in supporting literacy during the elementary school years and provide literacy activities with school-age youth. Services and supports will align with school-site and OUSD priorities and aim to strengthen children, youth and families.

Target Populations:

- Children and youth enrolled in grades K–12 in Oakland
- Targeted populations: boys of color, youth transitioning to high school, and other specific populations

Outcomes:

- Children and youth feel safe and connected to their school.
- Children and youth form caring relationships with peers and adults.
- Children and youth attend school regularly.
- Students and school staff solve conflicts using restorative-justice techniques.
- Children and youth exhibit fewer early warning indicators (chronic absence, suspension, and academic performance issues) over time.

Rationale: Research shows that young people who eventually drop out from high school display early warning indicators in the third grade, middle school, and the ninth grade.¹⁹ Students who exhibit these early warning indicators—chronic absence, at least one suspension, and/or failing a core course—are more likely to drop out of high school in the ninth grade. Partnerships between families, schools and community-based organizations can strengthen early academic achievement and mitigate early warning indicators that appear in middle school or worsen during the transition to high school. For students of color in particular, a punitive school climate can have a negative impact on their engagement, motivation, self-concept, and achievement in school. Participation in culturally relevant services leads to caring relationships between youth and adults and helps youth develop protective factors that support success in school. Developing alternatives to suspension and focusing on student assets can support an inclusive and positive school climate.

6. YOUTH DEVELOPMENT AND EMPOWERMENT STRATEGIES

OFCY’s investments in this goal area aim to promote the social, emotional, physical, and cognitive development of children and youth. Youth thrive when they feel safe, develop supportive relationships with adults and peers, have meaningful opportunities for involvement and leadership, and access challenging and engaging activities and learning experiences. However, only 40% of Oakland’s youth report that they have opportunities for meaningful participation in their communities.²⁰ OFCY’s investments are based on a wide body of research showing that access to positive youth development programming promotes the healthy development and academic success of young people and is an effective strategy for reducing violence.

Strategic Investments: To contribute toward the long-term goal of supporting youth development in all domains, OFCY will direct investments toward year-round and summer programming that build on youth interests and assets delivered in community-based or school settings.

Strategy	Target Population	Annual Allocation
5. Create Access to Youth Development and Empowerment Opportunities Year-Round	Children and youth ages 5–20 and specific populations: LGBTQ youth, boys of color, unaccompanied minors, and youth impacted by violence	\$3.6M–\$3.85M
6. Create Access to Enrichment and Academic Support during the Summer Months		

Alignment with Other Systems: With no dedicated state or federal funding streams to support youth development activities and a shift in private funding toward literacy and academic support over the past 10 years, OFCY is the primary funder of youth development and leadership activities in Oakland. OFCY focuses on youth development activities that increase protective factors for youth, and reduce risky behaviors and situations where they may be victims of violence. OFCY youth development investments complement the violence intervention activities that are supported by Oakland Unite. Selected strategies align with shared citywide efforts to strengthen achievement for youth and reduce summer learning loss in low-income areas and for youth impacted by trauma, boys of color, and youth with specific needs. Programs will leverage City assets such as parks and libraries to deliver community-based programming.

Community Input: The strategic investments in this goal area reflect input from young people regarding the need for enriching programs that build on their assets and strengths, not just their risk factors or deficits. The community and stakeholders echoed support for expanding access to enrichment programming for low-income children and youth. Stakeholders encouraged OFCY to align with community efforts designed to support literacy and mitigate summer learning loss.

Strategy 5: Create Access to Youth Development and Empowerment Opportunities Year-Round

Strategy: This strategy creates access to year-round activities that empower children and youth to develop leadership skills, build on their strengths, improve their connections to adults and peers, and contribute to their communities through arts, technology, entrepreneurship, sports, and other enrichment programming. This investment supports access to comprehensive services and trauma-informed supports that meet the needs of specific populations, such as LGBTQ youth, boys of color, unaccompanied minors, and youth exposed to violence.

Supported Activities: Programming within this strategy includes activities and experiences that build on youth interests, strengths, and assets, including academic and literacy support, arts and enrichment, youth and peer leadership, and innovation and technology. Services are delivered in the community or in school-based settings and may range from small and emerging programs to larger community collaborations. Services may leverage existing community and City assets.

Supported youth development and empowerment programming includes the following:

- *Academic and Literacy Support:* Programming that promotes positive attachment to school, provides youth with academic support, and develops literacy in alignment with the broader goals of Oakland Reads 2020.
- *Arts and Enrichment:* Programming that provides youth with opportunities to develop their voice through arts, literature, sports, or other forms of expression to promote the social-emotional, cultural, physical, and cognitive development of young people.
- *Youth and Peer Leadership:* Peer mentoring, community advocacy, or other youth leadership activities in which youth receive targeted training and development and hold roles of responsibility. OFCY will invest in programs that empower youth to participate in the design and delivery of programming to grow their leadership skills and experience, which can boost youth's career awareness and prospects.
- *Innovation and Technology:* Programming that provides experiences and exposure to STEM (science, technology, engineering, and math), coding, and computer science, or that provides project-based or hands-on learning opportunities such as maker projects or do-it-yourself (DIY) projects. OFCY will invest in programming that promotes youth skills and interests in technology, innovation, and entrepreneurship.

This strategy also invests in the delivery of comprehensive services and supports to children and young people who are impacted by trauma in their families or communities or have population-specific needs, such as LGBTQ youth, boys of color, unaccompanied minors, and youth exposed to violence. Investments are directed to supports and services that are culturally relevant, asset based, and trauma informed, including mental health services and supports, positive youth development and empowerment opportunities, family support, and advocacy.

Target Populations: Oakland children and youth who are ages 5–20 from high-priority neighborhoods. Specific populations include LGBTQ youth, boys of color, unaccompanied minors, and youth exposed to violence.

Outcomes:

- Children and youth form caring relationships with peers and adults.
- Children and youth have meaningful opportunities for involvement and membership.
- Children and youth participate in challenging and engaging activities and learning experiences.
- Children and youth develop new skills.
- Children and youth are healthy, active, and fit.

Rationale: There is a wide body of research showing that children and youth who have access to safe community spaces and meaningful opportunities for enrichment and academic support develop new skills, improve their connections to adults and peers, and make positive contributions to their communities. Young people who have experienced individual, family, or community trauma benefit from targeted, culturally relevant services and supports, which, over time, improve their social-emotional well-being and build protective factors and resiliency.

Strategy 6: Create Access to Academic Support and Enrichment during the Summer Months

Strategy: Invests in programs that provide enrichment and academic opportunities for children and youth during the summer months to help them stay engaged in learning, retain academic skills and knowledge, develop their voice and leadership skills, and make meaningful contributions to their communities. Supported programming prevents children and youth from losing academic knowledge and skills over the summer and leaves them more prepared for a successful start to the new school year.

Supported Activities: Programming within this strategy includes activities and experiences that build on youth interests, strengths, and assets, including academic and literacy support, arts and enrichment, youth and peer leadership, and innovation and technology. Services are delivered in the community and may range from small and emerging programs to larger community collaborations. Services leverage available community and City assets, providing youth with access to high-quality summer activities and new experiences. Supported programming includes the following:

- *Academic and Literacy Support:* School-based services and programming that provide academic support and enrichment to promote positive attachment to school, support reading and literacy, and prevent summer learning loss.
- *Arts and Enrichment:* Programming that provides youth with opportunities to develop their voice through arts, literature, sports, or other forms of expression to promote the social-emotional, cultural, physical, and cognitive development of young people.
- *Youth and Peer Leadership:* Peer mentoring, community advocacy, or other youth leadership activities in which youth receive targeted training and development and hold roles of responsibility. OFCY will invest in programs that empower youth to participate in the design and delivery of programming to grow their leadership skills and experience, which can boost youth's career awareness and prospects.
- *Innovation and Technology:* Programming that provides experiences and exposure to STEM (science, technology, engineering, and math), coding, and computer science, or that provides

project-based or hands-on learning opportunities such as maker projects or do-it-yourself (DIY) projects. OFCY will invest in programming that promotes youth skills and interests in technology, innovation, and entrepreneurship.

Target Populations: Oakland children and youth who are ages 5–20 from high-priority neighborhoods. Specific populations include LGBTQ youth, boys of color, unaccompanied minors, and youth exposed to violence.

Outcomes:

- Children and youth retain academic skills and knowledge.
- Children and youth form caring relationships with peers and adults.
- Children and youth have meaningful opportunities for involvement and membership.
- Children and youth participate in challenging and engaging activities and learning experiences.
- Children and youth develop new skills.
- Children and youth are healthy, active, and fit.

Rationale: This strategy reflects the literature in the field of youth development showing that children and youth who have access to safe community spaces and meaningful opportunities for enrichment and academic support develop new skills, improve their connection to adults and peers, and make positive contributions to their communities. Summer programs that incorporate academic support and enrichment programming successfully motivate children and youth to attend school regularly by building on their interests, while supporting the retention of skills and content learned during the school year. Access to academic programming can help to offset summer learning loss and strengthen literacy.

7. TRANSITIONS TO ADULTHOOD STRATEGIES

OFCY aims to support older youth in their transition to adulthood and contribute to the broader community goal that older youth graduate from high school ready for college and a career. Exposing youth to the workplace, entry-level work readiness training, and work experience enhances their employability skills and raises their awareness of careers or vocational opportunities. Work-based learning opportunities have been found to increase school attendance, decrease dropout rates, reduce school suspensions, increase school engagement, and support young people’s overall growth and capacity as they develop into young adults.²¹ Programming that offers academic support in tandem with career exposure has been effective at re-engaging opportunity youth.²²

Strategic Investments: To contribute toward the broader goal of college and career readiness, OFCY will invest in programming that exposes young people to careers and delivers academic support to older youth to ensure that all youth graduate from high school and are able to transition to college and career.

Strategy	Target Population	Annual Allocation
7. Expand Access to Career Awareness and Academic Support for Older Youth	Youth ages 15-20 in high-priority neighborhoods in need of academic and career support, including opportunity youth (i.e., those who are disconnected from school and employment)	\$1.75M–\$2M

Alignment with Other Systems: Over the past year, Oakland has seen an increase in federal, state, local, and private funding intended to build career pathways, including the passage of Measure N, a parcel tax that generates nearly \$13 million annually in funding for OUSD to reduce the drop-out rate and provide Oakland high school students with real-world work and learning opportunities. OFCY’s investments are influenced by recent changes in workforce funding streams; OUSD’s *Pathway to Excellence 2015–2020* Strategic Plan; and Mayor Libby SchAAF’s Oakland Promise Initiative. OFCY’s focus on academic support and career exposure aligns with OUSD’s plans to become a linked-learning district and the broader vision of ensuring that all youth graduate from high school ready for college and a career.

OFCY invests in academic support—including mentoring, tutoring, counseling, and other supports—that allows youth to graduate from high school and start adulthood ready for college, and builds a college-going culture among high school students. In addition, OFCY’s support of youth workforce programming connects nearly 1,000 Oakland youth per year with career support, workplace exposure, paid internships, and other subsidized youth employment opportunities. While other youth workforce funding streams in Oakland are restricted to serving specific populations (e.g. probation or foster youth through Alameda Social Services Agency use of the Title IV:E waiver, or hard-to-serve populations through Oakland Workforce Investment Board [WIB] funding), OFCY funding supports a broader

Key System Partners and Investors

- Oakland Workforce Investment Board
- Oakland Unite
- Oakland Unified School District
- Alameda County Social Services Agency
- Peralta Community College District

population of youth throughout Oakland. OFCY will continue to partner with other public-system investors to ensure alignment of academic support, college readiness, and workforce resources.

Community Input: This investment reflects youth input, emphasizing the need for programming for young people who live in low-income neighborhoods and may be the first to attend college. Stakeholders and community members also noted the need to support young people within the contexts of their families and communities and to expose middle-school aged youth to career-based, project-based, and hands-on learning activities as Oakland moves toward a linked-learning school district. The Mayor's Office has launched the Oakland Promise Initiative with the vision that every child in Oakland graduates high school with the expectations, resources, and skills to complete college and be successful in the career of their choice. System stakeholders also cited the need to ensure that young people have access to supportive services that address non-academic needs such as housing and financial, legal, or family needs that may get in the way of their academic or career success.

Strategy 7: Expand Access to Career Awareness and Academic Support for Older Youth

Strategy: This strategy supports programming that provides older youth (ages 15–20) with career awareness, exploration, and preparation within high-priority industries, as well as college and career advising and other academic supports to facilitate the transition to and persistence in college and to a career.

Supported Activities: The core activities supported by this strategy include the provision of academic support and career-development programming that builds on older youth's strengths and interests.

Career Development Activities: Supported programming includes opportunities for employment and career exploration through job shadowing, short-term paid work experience, soft-skill development, youth entrepreneurship, internships, and other career-development activities that provide exposure to the world of work and broaden their awareness of career options and possibilities. The focus of programming is on providing young people with career-exposure and career-exploration experiences that foster their interest in postsecondary training or educational opportunities. OFCY will support programs that incorporate job readiness, financial-literacy education, and employer support as program components. This strategy prioritizes programming that engages young people in key industries and career pathways supported by the City of Oakland.

Academic Support Activities: OFCY will invest in learning opportunities designed to help youth persist in and graduate from high school; attain a GED or diploma; continue on to college by helping youth complete high school prerequisites; navigate college enrollment; obtain assistance with the college and financial aid application process; and obtain skills that allow them to persist and succeed in college. This strategy also funds academic supports and programming offered in tandem with career exposure/employability opportunities and dropout-recovery programs, including reengagement centers that reach youth who are not currently enrolled in school or working.

Target Populations: Youth ages 15–20 in high priority neighborhoods in need of academic and career support, including opportunity youth (i.e., those who are disconnected from school and employment)

Outcomes:

- Youth are aware of job and career options.
- Youth access and complete internships and other work-experience opportunities, and achieve work-based skills and job readiness.
- Youth graduate from high school, receive a GED, and enroll and persist in postsecondary training or college.

Rationale: Older youth benefit from learning about the world of work and accessing experiences that help get them ready for a job or a career. Exposure to the workplace, entry-level work-readiness training, and work experience enhance employability skills and raise awareness of careers or potential employment opportunities for low-risk and at-risk youth alike. It is well demonstrated that young people who do not graduate from high school, pass the exit exam, or receive a GED have few options when it comes to getting a job or pursuing postsecondary education or vocational training. For many youth who do receive the necessary credentials, because they are often the first to attend college in their families, they need help applying for and enrolling in college or vocational programs.

In addition, students who participate in work-based learning are more likely to attend college or go to work than other peers.²³ Academic-support programming can help young people who are disconnected from school obtain the necessary courses, credentials, or diplomas to access future educational and employment opportunities. Learning about college-entry requirements and receiving assistance with navigating the application process can increase college-enrollment rates for low-income youth of color. For older youth who are disconnected from the workforce and educational systems (i.e., “opportunity youth”), academic support provided in tandem with career exposure can re-engage them on a career or educational path.

8. ENDNOTES

¹ American Community Survey, 2005 and 2013.

² Ibid.

³ Ibid.

⁴ Ibid.

⁵ Trulia Trends, <http://www.trulia.com/trends/2015/02/trulia-price-rent-monitor-jan-2015/>, January 2015

⁶ *Forbes*, “San Francisco Tops Forbes 2015 List of Worst Places for Renters,” April 16, 2015.

⁷ Child Trends, *Early School Readiness*, 2012.

⁸ California Department of Education, 2012–2013.

⁹ Urban Strategies Council for the Oakland-Alameda County Alliance for Boys and Men of Color, *Selected Data on Outcomes for Boys and Men of Color in Oakland and Alameda County*, fall 2014.

¹⁰ Arnold Chandler, *A Life Course Framework for Improving the Lives of Boys and Men of Color*, Forward Change Consulting, 2014.

¹¹ Oakland City Council Report, *Funds for Unaccompanied Children from Central America*, October 14, 2014.

¹² Data on Unaccompanied Children in the OUSD—Lauren Markham, Community School Program Manager at Oakland International High School, and Nate Dunstan, Refugee and Asylee Specialist.

¹³ Cianciotto, Jason, and Sean Cahill. *Education Policy: Issues Affecting Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Youth*. (New York: The National Gay and Lesbian Task Force Policy Institute), 2003.

¹⁴ Oakland Unified School District, *Student Data Handout: Foster Youth*, 2013–2014.

¹⁵ Ibid.

¹⁶ EveryOne Home, *Alameda County 2013 Homeless Count*.

¹⁷ Garner, Andrew S. *Home Visiting and the Biology of Toxic Stress: Opportunities to Address Early Childhood Adversity*. American Academy of Pediatrics, 2013.

¹⁸ Centers for Disease and Control and Prevention, *Low Birth Weight and the Environment*.

¹⁹ Civic Enterprises and the Everyone Graduates Center at Johns Hopkins, *The Use of Early Warning Indicator and Intervention Systems to Build a Grad Nation*, 2011.

²⁰ California Healthy Kids Survey, Meaningful Participation in the Community at OUSD, 2008-2010

²¹ Medrich, E., S. Calderon, and G. Hoachlander. *Contextual Teaching and Learning Strategies in High Schools: Developing a Vision for Support and Evaluation*. (Berkeley: MPR Associates), 2002.

²² CLASP, *Building a Comprehensive Youth Employment Delivery System: Examples of Effective Practice*, February 2010.

²³ Jobs for the Future, *School-to-Career Initiative Demonstrates Significant Impact on Young People*, 1998.

APPENDIX: SUMMARY OF COMMUNITY INPUT

I. PLANNING PROCESS

A key component of OFCY's strategic investment planning process was a community and stakeholder engagement effort to hear from Oakland's residents, youth, service providers, funders, and other system investors about the strengths and needs of Oakland's communities, gaps in services, and areas where OFCY could strategically target its funding to maximize the benefit for Oakland's children and youth. The goal of gathering community input was to solicit perspectives on the needs and opportunities for strengthening the health and well-being of young people and to identify gaps and opportunities in programming for children and youth. OFCY also used community input forums to share preliminary strategic directions and proposed strategies on how to solicit stakeholder ideas and feedback on these directions. To see a list of community input activities, please refer to section 3 of the Strategic Investment Plan.

2. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

2.1 Early Childhood

Strategy 1: Engage and Support Parents in the Healthy Development of their Children

- Parent engagement in early learning programs that are currently funded under OFCY have been very well received by Latino families. Agencies have used creative measures to increase participation from African American families, including linking engagement activities to broader community events to recruit families into playgroups and parent cafes.
- There is significant interest in Family Resource Centers among providers and system stakeholders, though several system partners/investors suggested that further study and mapping of the Family Resource Centers is needed. Stakeholders pointed to specific examples of Family Resource Centers that have flourished in Oakland, including the Hawthorne Family Resource Center and, more recently, Room to Bloom. Stakeholders in the Packard Foundation Starting Smart and Strong Collaborative, a convening of early care and learning investors and providers, identified a need to conduct a full assessment of the landscape of Family Resource Centers in Oakland.
- Stakeholders agreed on the value of investing in place-based strategies in underserved neighborhoods. Stakeholders felt that Full Service Community Schools at Oakland Unified School District should include parent engagement work and early childhood support at their sites.
- System partners and providers reported that Help Me Grow was a useful referral resource.
- Parents want places where they can socialize and enjoy their families in their communities, which decreases their overall level of stress.

- Stakeholders and providers agreed that families must be empowered and engaged in designing and delivering services.
- Stakeholders emphasized the need to focus on engaging fathers.
- There is a need to continue to support experiences for preschool-age children who do not participate in preschool.

Strategy 2: Strengthen Preschool Quality through Consultation with Early Childhood Educators

- Provider agencies wanted more flexibility to choose specific sites where they could provide services. They shared the fact that sites had varying degrees of readiness or preparation for fully integrating and using mental health consultations effectively. Some providers felt that less formal settings, such as playgroups, could benefit from developmental consultations, and that individual mental health consultations with children are needed.
- Many providers and system partners agreed that there is a need for more trauma-informed approaches in working with underserved or under-resourced neighborhoods.
- Stakeholders suggested that the early childhood mental health strategy provides an important service to the early childhood system (Head Start and OUSD) that would not otherwise be funded, but that services could be provided with a more intentional focus (i.e., based on an assessment of site needs and willingness to participate) to enhance their effectiveness.
- Stakeholders also felt that this approach improves teachers' ability to manage the classroom and helps teachers implement Center for Social and Emotional Foundations for Early Learning (CSEFEL) and Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS) standards.

2.2 Student Success in School

Strategy 3: Ensure Youth Access to High-Quality School-Based After-School Programming

- OFCY funding and support for after-school programming is seen as necessary for the sustainability of school-based after-school programming by community stakeholders.
- Schools in Oakland's neighborhoods with the highest levels of poverty have higher costs of programming due to the need to cover security officer costs, greater demand for services, and other site needs.
- Stakeholders and funders highlighted the opportunity for collaborations between organizations to support the offering of enrichment, technology, arts, sports, and other programming that youth enjoy. Providers described the importance of using subcontractors to provide specialized curriculum and instruction in arts, literacy, sports, and other culturally relevant programming. However, they shared that funding levels currently do not support robust subcontracting. Providers wanted additional flexibility in engaging with partner agencies rather than having to train their own staff to become content experts in each of the areas of the curriculum requested by OUSD and OFCY. Additional financial support would strengthen agency collaborations.
- High-quality programming at schools requires greater flexibility in programming and additional funding to support after-school instructors and additional enrichment staff.

- Providers concurred that OUSD is placing additional requirements on lead agencies, without providing additional funding. These pressures make it hard to retain qualified staff, a key factor in delivering a high-quality program.
- Small community-based programs that have culturally competent and real relationships with the community face significant challenges when trying to meet contracting standards with public agencies. Allowing for collaboration and partnership with these CBOs improves the quality and relevancy of programs provided by afterschool providers.
- Most elementary afterschool programs are at capacity year-round, and many have waiting lists of students at the beginning of the year.

Strategy 4: Strengthen Student Engagement in Learning

- Stakeholders noted the need for additional programming for students in middle school.
- Stakeholders and community members emphasized the need to engage parents as partners in student success and learning and for strategies that build system capacity to decrease the disproportionality of suspensions among students.
- Stakeholders expressed the importance of building literacy and numeracy skills in children at a young age as a positive predictor of future success.

2.3 Youth Development and Empowerment

Strategy 5: Create Access to Youth Development and Empowerment Opportunities Year-Round

- Stakeholders identified the need to articulate the role of violence prevention as a strategy within this goal area. Providing a safe space for youth to enjoy and thrive was seen as an important aspect of this strategy.
- Youth want programming that builds on their assets and capabilities, not their deficits.
- Youth want access to programming and suggested the need for additional outreach, especially to a broader range of youth.
- Stakeholders noted the need for supporting small and emerging programs, as well as science, technology, and other innovative programming.
- Stakeholders noted the need to reach specific populations, such as unaccompanied minors, youth exposed to violence and trauma, homeless youth, and LGBTQ youth, but there was some consensus that creating a targeted funding pool was not viable.
- Stakeholders noted the need for trauma-informed approaches.
- Stakeholders expressed the importance of supporting reading and writing programming to support youth voice and expression.
- Stakeholders see OFCY as a resource to support project-based, hands-on learning programming that builds youth skills and their interest in science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM).

Strategy 6: Create Access to Academic Support and Enrichment during the Summer Months

- Young people want access to enriching programs that build on their assets and strengths, not just their risk factors and deficits.
- There is strong community and stakeholder support for expanding access to free or low-cost summer enrichment and academic programming for low-income children and youth. Summer is

a difficult time for childcare for parents; there is a need for activities to keep children and youth busy and engaged in a productive way during the summer. OFCY is the main local supporter of free and low-cost summer programming and plays an integral role in supporting positive summer experiences for children and youth.

- There are several community efforts focused on supporting literacy and mitigating summer learning loss. Summer months provide an opportunity for children and youth to build mastery in a specific area or topic and retain their academic achievements during the school year.

2.4 Transitions to Adulthood

Strategy 7: Expand Access to Career Awareness and Academic Support for Older Youth

- Youth emphasized the need for academic and workforce programming to support a broad section of Oakland's youth, and not just youth who are on probation or parole, in foster care, or disconnected from school and work. While those groups do need services, there is a network of public support for these populations that do not provide any support for the broader populations of youth.
- System stakeholders agreed that other workforce funding streams prioritize enrollment of those with the highest risk.
- There is a need for educational opportunities to develop financial literacy among young people.
- There is a need for programs that support young people within the contexts of their families and communities.
- New workforce funding streams in Oakland allow OFCY greater flexibility. There is a need for coordination among workforce funders.
- Providing middle school youth with project-based, hands-on learning activities will help build their interest and awareness in STEM and help to increase enrollment in STEM career academies.
- Stakeholders noted the opportunity for OFCY to support reengagement centers, where opportunity youth (i.e. disconnected from school and work) could receive academic and workforce support that reengages them to complete high school, attain a GED or higher education, while gaining workforce experience and exposure.
- Stakeholders felt that OFCY should support programming that aligns with City of Oakland priority industries and OUSD career academies.
- Youth need support in both academics and in workforce to successfully transition to adulthood; successful programs are able to address both service needs (i.e. academic and workforce support) to transition-age youth.

Oakland Fund for Children & Youth



Oakland Youth Demographic Profile

2016–2019 Strategic Investment Plan

May 2015



Table of Contents



Oakland’s Children and Youth Population	3
<i>Total Population</i>	
<i>Race and Ethnicity</i>	
<i>Income and Poverty</i>	
<i>High-Stress Neighborhoods</i>	
Oakland’s Student Population	7
<i>OUSD School Enrollment</i>	
<i>Charter Schools</i>	
<i>Race and Ethnicity of Students</i>	
<i>Free and Reduced Lunch (FRL)</i>	
Indicators of Academic Success	12
<i>Preschool</i>	
<i>Transitional Kindergarten</i>	
<i>Kindergarten Readiness and Third-Grade Reading Level</i>	
<i>School Quality</i>	
<i>Chronic Absence</i>	
<i>Suspensions</i>	
<i>Special Education</i>	
<i>Graduation and Dropout Rates</i>	
Specific Populations	19
<i>Boys and Men of Color</i>	
<i>Birthplace, Citizenship Status, and Primary Language</i>	
<i>English Learners in OUSD</i>	
<i>Refugee Population</i>	
<i>Unaccompanied Minors</i>	
<i>Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Population Estimates</i>	
<i>Foster Children and Youth</i>	
<i>Homeless Children and Youth</i>	
Other Indicators	22
<i>School Climate</i>	
<i>School Connectedness</i>	
<i>School Safety</i>	
<i>Meaningful Participation at School and in the Community</i>	
Citations	25



Oakland's Children and Youth Population

Total Population


Oakland's total population is 397,011, with 94,489 children and youth ages 0–19, which accounts for just under one-quarter (24%) of the total population.¹ According to the US Census Bureau, in 2012, 18,580 residents moved to a new home in Oakland from outside Alameda County.² Although Oakland's total population has remained relatively constant since 2000, the number of youth in Oakland has decreased from 109,592 in 2000 to 94,489 in 2013—a 14% decline in the total youth population. Youth represented 27% of Oakland's population in 2000 and 24% in 2013, as shown in figure 1. The decrease in the youth population in Oakland has primarily been among school-age children under the age of 10, as shown in figure 2.






Race and Ethnicity

Oakland is recognized as one of the most ethnically diverse cities in the nation. Within racial and ethnic categories, there is great diversity in nationalities and heritages. Oakland’s Hispanic/Latino population includes Mexicans (19.1%), Puerto Ricans (0.6%), Cubans (0.3%), and other nationalities (4.9%)—representing almost a quarter (24.9%) of Oakland’s population. Oakland’s Asian population is also diverse and includes Chinese (9.2%), Filipino (2.1%), Vietnamese (1.9%), Korean (0.7%), Japanese (0.5%), Asian Indian (0.6%), and other Asian nationalities (2.2%), which together comprise 17.2% of Oakland’s population.






Recent demographic trends have shown growth in the Latino population in Oakland and a decline in the White and African American populations.³ Latino youth now represent the largest ethnic group in Oakland for youth under the age of 20.



Income and Poverty


According to 2013 federal poverty guidelines, a single person earning less than \$11,490 or a family of four earning less than \$23,550 are living in poverty.⁴ In 2013, 30% of children in Oakland lived in households with incomes below the federal poverty level. Nearly 35% of children lived in households that receive some sort of public assistance, such as food stamps, SSI, or cash assistance. The number of children living in poverty in Oakland has increased since 2005, as shown in figure 5 below.



High-Stress Neighborhoods

Youth living in high-stress neighborhoods face profound challenges with respect to their academic success, health, and safety as well as their future transition into adulthood. The Oakland Department of Human Services' Measure Z has developed a neighborhood stressor index using data on arrests, crime reports, food-stamp recipients, youth incarceration and probation, violent suspensions, and chronic absence for OUSD students. This stressor index is mapped to the city's 57 police beats to inform community-policing efforts. OFCY has used this information to prioritize strategies and programs that reach youth living in these high-stress areas.

Figure 6. Oakland's Neighborhood Stressor Index






Oakland's Student Population

OUSD School Enrollment

In the 2013–2014 school year, 47,194 K–12 students were enrolled in OUSD public and charter schools. There are 68,683 school-age youth (ages 5–19) in Oakland, which means that 69% of Oakland's youth are enrolled in OUSD public or charter schools.






Charter Schools


The increase in the number of charter schools in Oakland over the past decade has corresponded with an increase in the number of children enrolled in charter schools. While a large majority of students attend traditional OUSD public schools, approximately one in five (22%) students is now attending OUSD charter schools.⁵ Nearly one in three middle school students attends charter schools in Oakland.

Figure 8. Student Body Enrolled in Public vs. Charter Schools in Oakland, 2013–2014



Source: California Department of Education, 2013–2014

Figure 9. Proportion of Student Body Enrolled in Public and Charter Schools by Grade, 2013–2014




Source: California Department of Education, 2013–2014




Race and Ethnicity of Students

Hispanic/Latino student enrollment in Oakland’s public and charter schools has increased since 2005, while African American student enrollment has declined.




Most children enrolled in traditional public and charter schools are of Hispanic/Latino descent. Charter schools serve a higher proportion of Latino students than traditional public schools do. Approximately 30% of all Latino students in Oakland attend charter schools.⁶






Free and Reduced Lunch

The number of students in Oakland’s schools who come from low-income households has increased significantly since 2005. Three-quarters of all students are eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch (FRL).




In the 2013–2014 school year, a third (34%) of all Oakland public schools had a student population in which 90%–100% of students were eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.





Across OUSD’s public and charter schools, 90%–100% of the student body in 30 elementary schools is enrolled in the Free and Reduced Lunch (FRL) program. Over 70% of the student body in 11 of the 12 charter elementary schools is enrolled in the program.



In addition, 90%–100% of the student body in 54% of OUSD public middle schools is enrolled in the FRL program. In the two charter middle schools in OUSD, 70%–89% of the student body is enrolled in the FRL program. In all but one public high school and one charter high school, 70%–100% of the student body is enrolled in the FRL program.




Indicators of Academic Success

The future success and well-being of youth is often tied to their academic success. Youth success in school is tracked throughout their lives—from preschool through elementary, middle, and high school. In addition to examining the overall success of youth in schools in Oakland, there are significant gaps in achievement for students of color in Oakland.

Preschool

Attending preschool prepares children for kindergarten and future academic success in school. The Oakland Achieves Partnership’s 2014 *Oakland Achieves: A Public Education Progress Report* reveals that approximately 30% of young children in Oakland do not participate in formal preschool, as shown in figure 15 (from the report).⁷ Subsidized preschool options in Oakland include the California State Preschool Program, Head Start, and vouchers that are provided to families enrolled in CalWORKs or other public-assistance programs to apply to any formal or informal child-care provider.

Figure 15. Oakland Achieves 2014 Report Chart on Preschool



A recent analysis from the Alameda County Early Care & Education Planning Council reveals that nearly 2,500 children in Oakland—or 36% of the child population eligible for subsidized preschool—are not served by the current number of slots.⁸ In 13 Oakland zip codes, the number of available slots for subsidized preschool is less than the number of eligible children.⁹

Table 1. Children Served in the California State Preschool Program, by Oakland Zip Code

Zip Code	# of Children who Qualify for CSPP	Total Spaces	% of Children not Served
94601	1272	841	34%
94603	930	605	35%
94621	977	667	32%
94602	374	134	64%
94610	263	58	78%
94611	229	26	89%
94608	360	159	56%
94619	383	213	44%



Zip Code	# of Children who Qualify for CSPP	Total Spaces	% of Children not Served
94605	707	542	23%
94609	211	102	52%
94618	98	5	95%
94612	185	97	48%
94613	4	1	75%
94614	0	0	n/a
94615	0	0	n/a
94620	0	0	n/a
94604	0	2	n/a
94701	0	2	n/a
94606	471	483	-3%
94607	321	389	-21%
TOTAL	6,785	4,326	36%

Transitional Kindergarten (TK)

With the passage of the Kindergarten Readiness Act (SB 1381), Oakland Unified School District started offering transitional kindergarten (TK) in the 2012–2013 school year. TK is an early kindergarten experience for young five-year-olds, or those students whose fifth birthday falls between September 2 and December 2. TK builds on skills that children may learn in preschool, i.e. Preschool Learning Foundations, and creates a bridge to traditional kindergarten curriculum programming by adapting Common Core State Standards for young five-year-olds.

TK provides free early-education slots for young children who are not yet eligible to enroll in kindergarten. As Oakland ramps up its TK program, additional data will be available on whether enrollment in TK is supporting the goals of kindergarten readiness. Preliminary data from an independent survey reveals that 6% of Oakland’s kindergarten population—or 271 students—enrolled in TK in 2012–2013, which is slightly less than the state’s estimated target of 8%.¹⁰


Kindergarten Readiness and Third-Grade Reading Level

Less than half (40%) of kindergarteners are considered proficient in the five core areas used to measure kindergarten readiness—academics, self-care and motor skills, self-regulation, social expression, and overall readiness.¹¹ Fewer than half of all students of color have early literacy skills, compared to 75% of White students.¹² Only 38% of third graders in OUSD are reading at grade level. Significant gaps in reading proficiency exist among children of color. Twenty-one percent of Latino students and 27% of African American students are proficient in third-grade reading, compared to 77% of White students.¹³ OUSD’s “Pathway to Excellence: 2015–2020 Strategic Plan” and the Oakland Reads 2020 initiative are committed to increasing third-grade reading proficiency in Oakland to 85% by 2020.



Figure 16: Third-Grade Reading Proficiency in OUSD, 2014

Chart Source: Oakland Reads 2020 Baseline Report




School Quality

The 2013 average API for all public schools in OUSD is 721, which is a slight decrease from the average score of 728 in 2012.¹⁴ The average API for all charter schools in Oakland is 781, which is higher than the average score at OUSD public schools.¹⁵

Across the district, 27 schools—or 33% of all schools—met their 2013 Growth API target. An additional 10 schools had increases in their average APIs but did not meet their Growth API Targets. However, 44 schools—or 54%—saw no changes or declines in their APIs from the previous year.

Figure 17. API Growth Scores in OUSD, 2013



Source: California Department of Education, 2012–2013



The average API score for public and charter elementary schools is about the same, at 770. There are four charter middle schools in Oakland with an average API score of 933. The average charter high school API is 751, compared to the average of 588 for the 12 district high schools.¹⁶


Chronic Absence

Chronic absence is an early-warning indicator for low literacy levels, other academic risks, and school-dropout rates. The average daily attendance at OUSD is 95.2%.¹⁷ Chronic absence at OUSD has declined from 16% in 2005–2006 to 11% in 2013–2014.¹⁸ An additional 23% of K–3 students in Oakland are considered to have “at risk” attendance for missing 5%–9% of their school days.¹⁹ Overall, this means that 4,658 K–3 students in Oakland are missing between 5% and 10% of the school year and are at risk for low literacy levels and low achievement levels in their academic lives.

Differences in chronic or at-risk absence appear among different race-and-ethnicity and gender profiles of the K–3 student population in Oakland. African American boys and girls in kindergarten have the highest rates of chronic absence. One in four African American kindergarteners is considered chronically absent, and an additional 30% is considered “at risk.”²⁰ The rate of chronic absence in kindergarten among African American children is twice the rate of the next highest group—Latino children (at 13%). Latina kindergarteners have the highest rates of “at risk” attendance, at 33%.

Figure 18: Chronic Absence for Students in all OUSD Schools by Race and Gender

Source: Oakland Reads 2020 Baseline Report



Middle School / High School Attendance

The chronic-absence rate in Oakland is lowest among sixth graders, at a rate of 7%. Chronic absence increases again in high school. An average of 16% of 10th and 11th graders are chronically absent in




Oakland. Students in foster care and students with disabilities have high rates of chronic absence, at 19% and 18%, respectively.²¹

Suspensions

In OUSD, African American youth are twice as likely to be suspended for defiance than any other groups, and 16 times more likely to be suspended for defiance than White students.²² Although female students have lower suspension rates than males, African American females had higher rates of suspension than all other categories, except for African American males and Pacific Islander males.²³ OUSD has led a voluntary effort to reduce suspension rates and address disproportionality in suspensions in its student population. Since 2010, suspensions of African American students have decreased from 14% of all suspensions to 11%.²⁴

Special Education

OUSD served 5,074 students with special needs in the 2013–2014 school year.²⁵ The majority of students enrolled in special education in Oakland have specific learning disabilities (37%), followed by speech or language impairment (25%), autism (12%), intellectual/mental retardation (12%), and emotional disorders (7%). Eighty percent of students enrolled in special education at OUSD are African American or Latino.²⁶ Since 2005, the proportion of Latino students in special education has increased, while the number of African American students in special education has decreased. The proportion of White and Asian students in special education has remained constant.




Graduation and Dropout Rates

Since 2009, the high school graduation rate in Oakland has improved from 55% to 63%. Oakland’s graduation rate remains below the average graduation rate of 80% for the state and county.




Figure 20. High School Outcomes in Oakland, 2008–2009




Source: California Department of Education, 2008–2009

Figure 21. High School Outcomes in Oakland, 2012–2013



Source: California Department of Education, 2012–2013

In Oakland, the proportion of Latino, African American, and White students who dropped out of school without graduating has decreased significantly since 2009. This same trend occurred in Alameda County and across California more broadly. Oakland’s dropout rates are twice those of county and state averages.





Specific Populations

Oakland's Diversity

The following section highlights specific populations and demographics within Oakland that experience disparities in achieving OFCY's vision that all children and youth in Oakland be able to thrive and have the support of the entire community to lead safe, healthy, and productive lives. This is not intended to be all-inclusive of the many diverse populations within the city that also face disproportionate challenges with respect to OFCY's vision.

Boys and Men of Color

The Oakland-Alameda County Alliance for Boys and Men of Color has developed a fact sheet that provides summative information about the current status of boys and men of color in Oakland and Alameda County. These data points include the following:²⁷

- Seventy-seven percent of males under the age of 18 in Alameda County are boys and young men of color. Thirty-two percent of young males under the age of 18 are Latino.
- “In OUSD, Pacific Islander, African American, and Latino students were most likely to test *below* proficient in English Language Arts (79%, 71%, and 70%, respectively) and Math (72%, 73%, and 66%, respectively) on the California Standards Test in 2012–2013.”
- “OUSD’s African American males in grades 6–8 had by far the highest rate of suspensions (26%) in 2012–2013, followed by African American males in high school (21%) and Pacific Islander males in middle school (20%).”
- “African American (6%), Latino (8%), Native (9%), and Pacific Islander (9%) males were less likely to be in the Gifted and Talented Education program than were White (19%), Asian, (18%), and Filipino male students (17%) in OUSD in 2010–2011.”
- “Native American and Pacific Islander males had the lowest graduation rates (38% and 39%, respectively), followed by Latino (54%) and African American males (52%), among males of all racial/ethnic groups in OUSD in 2011–2012.” By comparison, 74% of White males and 75% of Asian males graduated from high school in Oakland.
- In Oakland, “African American and Asian males were almost twice as likely not to be participating in the labor force (i.e., not employed and not looking for work) than their Latino and White counterparts.” Fifty-three percent of African American males and 45% of Asian males in Oakland were either unemployed or not in the labor force (i.e., looking for work).
- White males earn twice the average per capita income of all males in Alameda County. The average per capita income for White males is \$56,267, compared to \$25,356 for African American males, \$28,205 for Asian males, and \$15,179 for Latino males.

Boys and men of color are disproportionately represented among victims and perpetrators of shootings and homicides. In 2010, 30% of people killed in Oakland were young adults between the ages of 18 and 25.²⁸ One in ten victims was under the age of 18.²⁹ Although African Americans account for only 28% of Oakland's population, they accounted for 60% to 78% of homicide victims between 2006 and 2010.³⁰ Nearly 40% of the victims killed were already on probation or parole.³¹

Birthplace, Citizenship Status, and Primary Language

Oakland is one of the first sanctuary cities in the United States and is home to many new immigrants. Over a quarter of Oakland residents are foreign born, and a majority (58%) of those residents are not US citizens. A significant number of Oakland residents do not speak English as their primary language. Forty percent of Oakland residents five years and older speak a language other than English as their primary language at home.³²

English Learners in OUSD

OUSD serves a large number of students who are English Learners. In 2013–2014, 31% of students (approximately 15,000) enrolled in grades K–12 in OUSD were English Learners (EL), while an additional 10,000 students were considered Fluent-English-Proficient (FEP), i.e., students whose primary language is other than English. Over half of English Learner students are in kindergarten through third grade, which is comparable to county and state statistics. English Learners at OUSD speak 41 different languages. By far, the most common language spoken by these students is Spanish, followed by Cantonese and Arabic.³³

Table 2. English Learners in OUSD, 2013–2014

Language	Total	Percent of Total EL	Language	Total	Percent of Total EL
Spanish	11,033	76.16%	Somali	8	0.06%
Cantonese	1,078	7.44%	Tamil	7	0.05%
Arabic	605	4.18%	Turkish	6	0.04%
Other non-English	510	3.52%	Portuguese	6	0.04%
Vietnamese	450	3.11%	Punjabi	5	0.03%
Khmer (Cambodian)	164	1.13%	Armenian	5	0.03%
Tongan	105	0.72%	Russian	4	0.03%
Mien (Yao)	99	0.68%	Samoan	4	0.03%
Filipino (Pilipino/Tagalog)	85	0.59%	Thai	4	0.03%
Mandarin (Putonghua)	72	0.50%	Italian	3	0.02%
Tigrinya	61	0.42%	Bengali	2	0.01%
Burmese	37	0.26%	Serbo-Croatian	2	0.01%
French	33	0.23%	Mixteco	1	0.01%
Lao	19	0.13%	Polish	1	0.01%
Korean	13	0.09%	Urdu	1	0.01%
Japanese	13	0.09%	Chaozhou	1	0.01%
Toishanese	11	0.08%	Greek	1	0.01%
Hindi	10	0.07%	Hebrew	1	0.01%
Farsi (Persian)	8	0.06%	Hungarian	1	0.01%
German	8	0.06%	Ilocano	1	0.01%
Pashto	8	0.06%			



Refugee Population

In the year between October 2013 and September 2014, 642 refugees arrived and were resettled in Alameda County. The majority of the refugees came from Afghanistan (376 individuals, or 58%), followed by Burma (73, or 11%) and Iraq (59 individuals, or 9%).³⁴

Unaccompanied Minors

From October 2013 to July 2014, more than 62,000 Central American children fled from their home countries to the United States.³⁵ Since June 2013, OUSD has enrolled over 200 unaccompanied minors in classes. Approximately 75% are in high school, 10% in middle school, and 15% in elementary school. Forty-nine percent are from Guatemala, 33% from El Salvador, and 18% from Honduras.³⁶

Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Population Estimates

Oakland is also home to many gay and lesbian households, with 1,547 lesbian and 1,187 gay couples according to 2008–2012 American Community Survey data from the US Census.³⁷ National studies of adolescent youth indicate that 3%–6% of youth identified as lesbian, gay, or bisexual (LGB), reported same-sex attraction, or engaged in same-sex sexual activity.³⁸ Recent studies found that the average age at which teenagers first self-identify as gay or lesbian is between 13 and 16, compared to the 1980s, when the age was between 19 and 23. Self-identification at an earlier age can lead to harassment and discrimination exposing youth to rejection at home and at school.^{39, 40}

Foster Children and Youth

Since 2004, the number of foster youth in California has steadily declined by 32%, to 56,577 youth.⁴¹ In Alameda County, 1,614 children are living in foster care.⁴² There are 247 foster youth in grades K–12 in OUSD.⁴³ There are significant gaps in academic outcomes for foster youth in Oakland. Only 40% of foster youth in first grade are reading at grade level, compared to 67% across the district; by ninth grade, only 13% of foster youth are reading at grade level, compared to 54% district-wide.⁴⁴ When foster youth age-out of the system, 65% emancipate without a place to live, less than 3% go to college, 51% are unemployed, and emancipated females are four times more likely to receive public assistance than the general population. Foster children comprise less than 0.3% of the state's population, yet 40% of persons living in homeless shelters are former foster children.⁴⁵

Homeless Children and Youth


The most recently available data on the homeless population (2009) indicates that there were 356 homeless households with minor children under the age of 17 in Oakland.⁴⁶ Homeless families with children make up 32% of the overall homeless population in Alameda County, down from 56% in 2003.⁴⁷ A new homeless count was conducted on January 29, 2015, in Alameda County; updated data on the homeless population will be released in May or June 2015.



Other Indicators

School Climate


According to the most recently available California Healthy Kids Survey and California School Climate Survey data, most students in OUSD public schools agree that they feel as if teachers or other adults at their school care about them. Students in seventh grade were more likely to report a “high” level of agreement with the statement that there are adults at their school who care about them. This level of connectedness with adults at school supports students’ comfort and ability to succeed in the school environment.






School Connectedness

CHKS also measures a “school connectedness” indicator, which is a summary measure based on student reports of being treated fairly, feeling close to people, feeling happy, feeling part of the school, and feeling safe at school. In Oakland, the likelihood that students report a high degree of school connectedness decreases as they age from middle school into high school. In addition, nearly 20% of students in 11th grade reported a “low” level of school connectedness.



School Safety


Youth thrive in environments in which they feel safe and connected to their peers, adults, and community. Students’ feelings of safety in school are important indicators for positive youth development. Students in Oakland are more likely to report that they feel unsafe or very unsafe compared to students in Alameda County, where 8% of students report feeling unsafe.⁴⁸






Meaningful Participation at School and in the Community

Between 20% and 30% of students at OUSD report that they do not feel like they have opportunities for meaningful participation at their schools. This data is similar for students in Alameda County and California, more broadly. Female students in Oakland are more likely than male students to report that they do not have opportunities for meaningful participation. African American, American Indian/Alaskan Native, Asian, and Latino students in Oakland are also more likely to report that they do not have meaningful opportunities to participate at their school; approximately 30% of these student groups report low participation compared to 25% of White students and 23% of Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander students.⁴⁹



Oakland students are more likely to report meaningful participation in their communities than in their schools. Nearly 40% report that they have opportunities to meaningfully participate in their communities. However, these rates are low compared to those for students in Alameda County and California, more broadly, where approximately 50% report high levels of meaningful participation. In Oakland, females are again more likely than males to report low levels of meaningful participation in their communities. Asian, Hispanic/Latino, and African American students are more likely to report low levels of meaningful participation in their communities (30%, 26%, and 20%, respectively), compared to White students (6%).





Citations

1. 2009–2013 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates: DP05: ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates.
2. 2008–2012 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates: DP02: Selected Social Characteristics in the United States.
3. Ibid.
4. Alameda County Public Health Department, *Alameda County Health Data Profile 2014: Community Health Status Assessment for Public Health Accreditation*, 2014.
5. California Department of Education, DataQuest: School Enrollment, 2000–2006, 2008–2009, and 2013–2014.
6. Ibid.
7. Oakland Achieves Partnership, *Oakland Achieves: A Public Education Progress Report 2014*.
8. 2014 Alameda County Zip Code Priorities for General Child Care and California State Preschool Programs Expansion.
9. Ibid.
10. EdSource, *Transitional Kindergarten Enrollment Varies Widely Across Districts*, July 3, 2013. (<http://edsources.org/2013/transitional-kindergarten-enrollment-varies-widely-across-districts-2/63677#.VRHlrMZDaMM>)
11. Oakland Achieves Partnership, *Oakland Achieves: A Public Education Progress Report 2014*.
12. Ibid.
13. Urban Strategies Council for the Rogers Family Foundation, *Oakland Reads 2020 Baseline Report*, April 2014.
14. Ibid.
15. California Charters, *Oakland Charter Schools*, 2013. (<http://www.calcharters.org/blog/2013/09/oakland-charter-high-schools-are-a-bright-spot-in-state-test-results.html>)
16. Ibid.
17. Oakland Unified School District 2013–2014 Fast Facts.
18. Oakland Achieves Partnership, *Attending School Every Day: Making Progress and Taking Action in Public Schools, 2014* (<https://oaklandachieves.files.wordpress.com/2014/09/oakachattendancefinal.pdf>).
19. Urban Strategies Council for the Rogers Family Foundation, *Oakland Reads 2020 Baseline Report*, April 2014.
20. Ibid.
21. Ibid.
22. Oakland Achieves Partnership, *Oakland Achieves: A Public Education Progress Report, 2014* (<http://oaklandachieves.org/2014-report/>).
23. Ibid.
24. Ibid.
25. California Department of Education: DataQuest: Special Education Data, 2013–2014.
26. California Department of Education: DataQuest: Special Education Data, 2013–2014.
27. Urban Strategies Council for the Oakland-Alameda County Alliance for Boys and Men of Color, *Selected Data on Outcomes for Boys and Men of Color in Oakland and Alameda County*, Fall 2014.
28. Urban Strategies Council, *Homicides in Oakland: 2010 Homicide Report: An Analysis of Homicides in Oakland, from January through December 2010*.
29. Ibid.
30. Ibid.
31. Ibid.
32. 2008–2012 ACS 5-Year Estimates: DP02: Selected Social Characteristics in the United States.
33. California Department of Education: DataQuest: English Learner Students by Language and Grade, 2013–2014 and 2010–2011.
34. East Bay Refugee Forum, *Summary of IRC and CCEB Refugee Arrivals into Alameda County*, 2014 data handout.
35. Oakland City Council Report, *Funds for Unaccompanied Children from Central America*, October 14, 2014.
36. Unaccompanied Children in the OUSD—Lauren Markham, Community School Program Manager at Oakland International High School, and Nate Dunstan, Refugee and Asylee Specialist (nathaniel.dunstan@ousd.k12.ca.us).
37. 2008–2012 ACS 5-Year Estimates: B11009: Unmarried-Partner Households By Sex of Partner.
38. Cianciotto, Jason, and Sean Cahill. *Education Policy: Issues Affecting Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Youth*. New York: The National Gay and Lesbian Task Force Policy Institute, 2003.



-
39. Ibid.
 40. Wilber, Shannan, Caitlin Ryan, and Jody Marksamer. *Best Practice Guidelines: Serving LGBT Youth in Out-Of-Home Care*, Child Welfare League of America, 2006.
 41. US Department of Health and Human Services, Children's Bureau, *Numbers of Children in Foster Care on September 30th by State FY 2004-FY 2013*, 2014.
 42. Lucile Packard Foundation for Children's Health, KidsData.org, *Number of Children in Foster Care in Alameda County*, 2013.
 43. Oakland Unified School District, *Student Data Handout: Foster Youth, 2013–2014* (<http://www.ousd.k12.ca.us/Page/11263>).
 44. Ibid.
 45. The Children's Advocacy Institute, *Expanding Transitional Services for Emancipated Foster Youth: An Investment in California's Tomorrow*, California Progress Report, 2007.
 46. Everyone Home, *2013 Alameda County Homeless Count* (http://www.everyonehome.org/resources_homeless_count13.html).
 47. Ibid.
 48. Lucile Packard Foundation for Children's Health, KidsData.org, *Reports of School Safety by Grade Level in Alameda County*, 2008–2010.
 49. California Department of Education, *California Healthy Kids Survey: Meaningful Participation at School in Oakland Unified School District*, 2008–2010.

OAKLAND FUND FOR CHILDREN AND YOUTH PLANNING AND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE BYLAWS

Table of Contents

ARTICLE I – Identification	3
Section 1: Name	3
Section 2: Office	3
Section 3: Authority, Statutory Requirements: and Other Laws and Polices.....	3
ARTICLE II – Mission Statement	3
ARTICLE III – Planning and Oversight Committee	3
Section 1: Number, Appointing Authority and Qualifications	3
Section 2: Term of Appointment	3
Section 3: Qualifications.....	4
Section 4: Vacancy and Removals.....	4
Section 5: Compensation	4
Section 6: Oath of Public Office	4
Section 7: Authority and Functions.....	4
Section 8: Rules, Regulations and Procedures.....	5
Section 9: Role of Individual POC Members	5
Section 10: Conflict of Interest.....	5
ARTICLE IV - Officers	6
Section 1: Election of Officers	6
Section 2: Co-Chairpersons	6
Section 3: Sub-committee Chairpersons	6
ARTICLE V – Planning and Oversight Staff	6
Section 1: City Administrator	6
Section 2: Legal Advisor	7
Section 3: Commission Staff	7
Section 4: Custodian of Records	7
ARTICLE VI – Committees	8
Section 1: Sub-committees	8
Section 2: Standing Sub-committee Meetings	8
Section 3: Sub-committee Quorum.....	8
Section 4: Evaluation Sub-committee	8
ARTICLE VII - Meetings	8
Section 1: Quorum.....	8
Section 2: Motions	9
Section 3: Public Input.....	9
Section 4: Public Input on Agendized Items	9

Section 5:	Public Input on Non-Agendized Items (Open Forum).....	10
Section 6:	Identification of Speaker	10
Section 7:	Chairpersons.....	10
Section 8:	Meeting Minutes	10
Section 9:	Regular Meetings	11
Section 10:	Notice and Conduct of Regular Meetings.....	11
Section 11:	Notice and Conduct of Special Meetings.....	11
Section 12:	Closed Sessions	11
Section 13:	Recess	11
ARTICLE IX VIII- Agenda Requirements		12
Section 1:	Agenda Preparation	12
ARTICLE X IX- Voting		12
Section 1:	Voting, Abstention and Recusal.....	12
Section 2:	Majority Vote Requirement	12
ARTICLE XI X - Parliamentary Procedure		12
ARTICLE XII XI - Representation of the Planning & Oversight Committee		13
ARTICLE XIII XII - Bylaws Amendment		13

ARTICLE I – Identification

Section 1: Name

The Planning and Oversight Committee (“POC”) of the KIDS FIRST! Oakland’s Children’s Fund, DBA the Oakland Fund for Children and Youth.

Section 2: Office

150 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 4th floor, Oakland, CA 94612

Section 3: Authority, Statutory Requirements: and Other Laws and Polices

The POC shall comply with all applicable laws, including but not limited to, the City of Oakland Charter, the Oakland Sunshine Ordinance (Ordinance No. 11957 C.M.S., adopted January 14, 1997), the Ralph M. Brown Act (Government Code sections 54950 et seq.), the Political Reform Act of 1974 (Government Code sections 81000 *et. seq.*), the Public Records Act (Government Code sections 6250 et seq.), the Oakland Conflict of Interest Code (Ordinance # 11979, as amended) and Charter section 1300, Measure “K”, adopted at the November 1996 election and amended July 2009 as Measure D.

ARTICLE II – Mission Statement

The POC provides the oversight of the Kids First! Oakland Children’s Fund as established by the Charter of the City of Oakland (Article XIII) and fulfills the duties of the POC as established therein to ensure that the Fund is used to support the healthy development of young children, help children and youth succeed in school and graduate high school, prevent and reduce violence; crime, and gang involvement, and help youth transition to productive adulthood.

ARTICLE III – Planning and Oversight Committee

Section 1: Number, Appointing Authority and Qualifications

The POC shall consist of seventeen (17) members who shall be Oakland residents. These members shall be appointed as follows: one (1) member appointed by the Mayor, two (2) members appointed by each of the City's Council members, one of whom shall be a resident not older than 21 years. (Oakland City Charter section 1305)

Section 2: Term of Appointment

Members of the POC shall be appointed to one (1) year terms, to commence August 1 except that an appointment to fill a vacancy shall be for the unexpired term only.

Section 3: Qualifications

Each member of the POC shall be a resident of Oakland. Each prospective member shall demonstrate strong interest in children and youth issues, and shall possess sound knowledge of, and expertise in, children and youth policy development and program implementation. (Section 1305)

Section 4: Vacancy and Removals

- (a) A vacancy on the POC will exist whenever a member dies, resigns, is removed, or at the end of a member's term or holdover period. Any vacancy shall be filled immediately after the date that such vacancy occurs by the elected official that appointed the POC member. Councilmembers shall submit the nominations of POC candidate(s) to the Office of the City Clerk at least 20 days before the term expires.
- (b) A member may be removed pursuant to Section 601 of the Charter. Among other things, conviction of a felony, misconduct, incompetence, inattention to or inability to perform duties and absence from three (3) consecutive regularly meetings or four (4) total regularly scheduled meetings per term for any reason, except when absent by permission of the POC, shall constitute cause for removal. Commission Staff will notify appointing authority who may recommend removal. In the absence of cause, no POC members may be removed prior to the expiration of their term, notwithstanding the expiration of the term of the appointing elected official.

Section 5: Compensation

POC members shall serve without compensation, except that youth members may receive scholarship at the end of a term based on full participation on the POC and subcommittee participation.

Section 6: Oath of Public Office

Acceptance of the Oath of Public Office constitutes a POC member's sworn responsibility of public trust. Members are required to serve well and to faithfully discharge their duties and responsibilities diligently and consistent with the laws of the City of Oakland and all pertinent state and federal laws.

Section 7: Authority and Functions

It shall be the function and duty of the POC, for and on behalf of the City of Oakland, its residents, elected officials and officers to:

- (a) Prepare a Three-Year Strategic Investment Plan;

- (b) Solicit funding applications from private non-profit and public agencies through an open and fair application process;
- (c) Submit final funding recommendations to the Oakland City Council, which has the authority to accept or reject the entire package.
- (d) Submit to the Oakland City Council for its adoption annual independent evaluation reports;
- (e) Receive City Auditor annual reports on the Fund's Financial Statement and the Base Spending Requirement.

Section 8: Rules, Regulations and Procedures

Rules, regulations and procedures for the conduct of POC business shall be established by consensus. However, when a consensus is not obtained, or when the Chairpersons or a majority of the members present decide to establish rules, regulations and procedures by a vote, then POC business shall be established by a majority vote of the members present. The POC must vote to adopt any motion or resolution.

Section 9: Role of Individual POC Members

It shall be the duty of individual POC members to:

- (a) Attend monthly POC meetings on a regular and consistent basis;
- (b) Serve on at least one subcommittee;
- (c) Read materials sent in preparation for each meeting;
- (d) Help approve grantees each funding cycle
- (e) Report to the Oakland City Council and/or Mayor on POC work
- (f) Help recruit additional youth and adult members for the Committee
- (g) Represent stakeholder groups, the constituents in the Council District from which each member is appointed, or the community as a whole in providing input which reflects the concerns, visions and ideas of the community
- (h) Evaluate proposals or proposal summaries from private non-profit and public entities
- (i) Engage in analysis and discussion of issues to be brought before the POC;
- (j) Participate in POC activities and functions.

Section 10: Conflict of Interest

Each POC member warrants and represents that he or she, any immediate family member or business associate, has no financial interest in and will not obtain benefit from any program, project, organization, agency or other entity that is seeking or will seek funding approval from the POC. Financial interest or benefit includes, without limitation, salaries, consultant fees, commissions, gifts, gratuities, favors, sales income, rental payments, investment income or other business income. A POC member shall immediately notify the City Manager or designee

and the Chairpersons of any real or possible conflict of interest between membership on the POC and work or other involvement with entities funded or served by the Oakland Fund for Children and Youth.

ARTICLE IV - Officers

Section 1: Election of Officers

Rules, regulations and procedures for the conduct of POC business shall be established by a majority vote of the members present. The POC must vote to adopt any motion or resolution. The POC must transmit to the City Council any rules, regulations and procedures adopted by the POC within seven calendar days of adoption. A rule, regulation or procedure adopted by the POC shall become effective 60 days **after approval and adoption by the POC.**

Section 2: Co-Chairpersons

There shall be at least one youth and one adult Chairperson. The Chairpersons shall preside at all meetings of the POC and are ex-Officio members of all standing Sub-committees. The Chairpersons are accountable to the POC as a whole in setting policy and shall also perform such duties as may be assigned by the POC. The Chairpersons, or the Chairpersons' designee, serves as the liaisons to the media and other departments and agencies as necessary. The Chairpersons, or the Chairpersons' designee, are the official representatives of the POC and are the only individuals authorized to make reports to City Council on behalf of the POC.

Chairpersons shall be elected by majority vote of the POC ~~at prior to~~ its first regular meeting in September. Each Chairperson shall serve a one year (1) term or an unexpired term ending in August. Chairpersons cannot serve more than two (2) consecutive terms. A Chairperson may be removed for cause at a regular or special meeting after ten (10) days notice to the Chairperson by a vote of at least two-thirds of the POC members, but not fewer than seven (7), in attendance at the meeting.

Section 3: Sub-committee Chairpersons

The Sub-committee Chairpersons shall perform the duties and responsibilities that may be delegated by the Chairpersons, and carry out the assignments traditionally assigned to that Sub-committee.

ARTICLE V – Planning and Oversight Staff

Section 1: City Administrator

The City Administrator, or designees thereof, shall provide the POC with staff assistance as necessary to enable the POC to fulfill its functions and duties. The assigned staff shall prepare

agendas, oversee compliance with meeting notice requirements in the Ralph M. Brown Act and the Oakland Sunshine Ordinance, and record minutes for all regularly scheduled POC meetings and standing Sub-committee meetings.

Section 2: Legal Advisor

- (a) The City Attorney is the POC's legal advisor. The City Attorney shall provide the POC with legal assistance; to the extent such assistance does not constitute a conflict of interest. If the City Attorney in consultation with the POC, makes a determination communicated in writing that she or he cannot, consistent with the California State Bar Rules of Professional Conduct, provide advice sought by the POC in any particular case, the City Attorney may retain outside counsel at the City's expense. (Oakland City Charter section 401.)
- (b) Any member of the POC may consult informally with any attorney assigned to the POC on any matter related to POC business. However, a request from a POC member for assistance from the POC's assigned attorney requiring significant legal research, a substantial amount of time and attention or a written response, may be made only through the POC Chairs or by a majority vote of the POC or any of its officially constituted Sub-committees.

Section 3: Commission Staff

The POC's staff shall report to the Chairpersons, who shall be responsible for staff priorities. POC members may consult staff informally, but any request for substantial assistance or a written report must be authorized by the POC, or designee, or by a majority vote of the POC as a whole.

Section 4: Custodian of Records

Pursuant to section 20.020.240 of the Sunshine Ordinance, the POC shall maintain a public records file that is accessible to the public during normal business hours. The City Clerk shall be the official custodian of these public records, which shall be maintained in the manner consistent with records kept by the City Clerk on behalf of all other standing Commissions. In addition, the City Manager, or designee, shall maintain, on behalf of the POC, a set of public records.

ARTICLE VI – Committees

Section 1: Sub-committees

It is the policy of the POC to appoint individual POC members to perform specific tasks or functions. The Chairpersons are authorized to form standing and ad hoc Sub-committees, as deemed necessary to carry out the responsibilities of the POC. With respect to standing Sub-committees that are formed, the Chairpersons shall appoint POC members to serve as Sub-committee chairperson(s) for a period of up to one (1) year, unless otherwise specified. Individuals appointed to ad hoc Sub-committees shall serve for the period specified by the Chairpersons.

Section 2: Standing Sub-committee Meetings

Standing Sub-committees shall meet at a regularly scheduled time or at the call of their chairs, or as deemed necessary by a majority of the Sub-committee membership.

Section 3: Sub-committee Quorum

A majority of the members of a Sub-committee constitutes a quorum.

Section 4: Evaluation Sub-committee

This standing Sub-committee shall be responsible for overseeing the annual independent outcome and process evaluations and advising the POC on such matters.

ARTICLE VII - Meetings

Section 1: Quorum

At all meetings of the full POC, the presence of a majority of the POC shall constitute a quorum for the purposes of conducting a meeting. In the event that a quorum is not established within ~~thirty (30)~~ **fifteen (15)** minutes of the noticed start time of the meeting, the Chairpersons, in their discretion, may cancel the meeting. In the alternative, the Chairperson may elect to wait an additional fifteen (15) minutes before taking action to cancel the meeting. The Chairperson should consider such factors as prior notice that a member would arrive late, or the need to take official action on an important item of business once a quorum has been established.

If the meeting is cancelled, unofficial discussion of the items noticed on the agenda may continue, but no formal action may be taken. A designee of the City Manager will prepare a record of the discussion and the record will reflect (i) whether or not the meeting was canceled due to a lack of a quorum and (ii) that no formal action was taken on the items discussed.

The individual designated by the City Manager to prepare a record of the meeting shall keep a record of POC members' attendance. The attendance record shall reflect absences and tardiness (arrival more than fifteen (15) minutes after the scheduled start time) and shall indicate whether the absence or tardiness was excused or unexcused. A POC member shall notify the Chairperson or the designee of the City Manager of an anticipated absence.

Section 2: Motions

If any POC member shall demand a second to any motion, such motion shall not be debated, or further discussed or considered, or voted upon, until after a second to such motion is made by a POC member.

Section 3: Public Input

All interested persons shall be allowed to express their views (oral or written) at regular POC or standing Sub-committee meetings regarding matters within the jurisdiction of the POC and at special POC or standing Sub-committee meetings on matters that are agendized. However, the POC agenda need not provide an opportunity for members of the public to address the POC on any item that has already been considered by a Sub-committee composed exclusively of POC members, at a public meeting wherein all interested members of the public were afforded the opportunity to address the Sub-committee on the item, before or during the Sub-committee's consideration of the item, unless the item has been substantially changed since the Sub-committee heard the item, as determined by the POC.

Section 4: Public Input on Agendized Items

A person wishing to speak must submit his or her name and the item on the agenda he or she wishes to discuss, if any, to Commission Staff before being recognized by the Chairpersons. A person wishing to speak must complete a speaker card for each agenda item on which he/she wishes to speak. Multiple agenda items cannot be listed on one speaker card. Speakers' cards must be received by the Chairpersons prior to the agenda item being called, unless consent to speak is given by the Chairpersons, or a majority of the POC or Sub-committee. If a speaker signs up to speak on multiple items listed on the agenda, the Chairpersons may rule that the speaker be given an appropriate allocation of time to address all issues at one time (cumulative) before the items are called.

Each agenda for regular or special meetings of the POC, or its standing Sub-committees at which action is proposed to be taken on an item, shall provide an opportunity for each member of the public to directly address the POC prior to action thereupon. Each person wishing to speak on an item shall be permitted to speak once based upon previously adopted time constraints, which are reasonable and uniformly applied.

Section 5: Public Input on Non-Agendized Items (Open Forum)

All regular POC meetings shall provide the opportunity for public comment on items not on the agenda but within the jurisdiction of the POC. Such opportunities for public comment, Open Forum, shall appear on the agenda prior to adjournment. Each person wishing to speak on such items shall be allowed to do so, after filling out a speaker's card. The POC may limit the time for public comment under Open Forum to a total of fifteen (15) minutes. Members of the public may, after submittal of speaker cards, address the POC regarding any items of public interest that are not on the meeting agenda. Ordinarily, each speaker may speak for up to two (2) minutes, but the Chairpersons have the discretion to limit or extend the time. Open Forum speaker cards are to be numbered in the order received by the Commission Staff.

Section 6: Identification of Speaker

Persons addressing the POC or Sub-committees shall state their names and the organization they are representing, if any. They shall confine their remarks to the subject under discussion, unless they are speaking during the Open Forum portion of the Agenda.

Section 7: Chairpersons

Where eight (8) or more speakers have submitted speaker's cards, the Chairpersons may, in the interest of time, request that speakers organize by sides with designated speakers. The Chairpersons shall maintain order in the chamber, have authority to refuse the floor to any person, and may limit or extend the time allocated to any speaker. The Chairpersons may rule a public speaker out of order if:

- (a) the speaker is speaking beyond the allocated time limit;
- (b) the speaker's remarks are not relevant to the agenda item or are repetitious;
- (c) the manner, tone and content of the speaker's remarks are disruptive (disturb the peace and good order of the meeting), attack the character of individuals or are abusive (e.g. use vulgar or obscene language).

The public has the right to criticize policies, procedures, programs or services of the City, the POC or of any other aspect of the City's or POC's proposals or activities or the acts or omissions of the POC or its staff or that of other public employees. The POC shall not abridge or prohibit public criticism. Nothing in this section confers any privilege or protection beyond that which is otherwise provided by law.

Section 8: Meeting Minutes

Minutes shall be taken at every regular and special POC meeting. The Minutes shall be taken by Commission Staff.

Section 9: Regular Meetings

Regular POC meetings shall be held on the first **and/or third** Wednesday of each month at 6:00 p.m. or as otherwise set forth in the published calendar and posted on the internet with proper notice. Other meetings may be called as needed. Meetings shall be held in Oakland City Hall, One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, in the City of Oakland, CA. The POC is in recess in the month of August.

Section 10: Notice and Conduct of Regular Meetings

Notices and agendas of all regular POC and standing Sub-committee meetings requiring notice shall be posted in the City Clerk's Office and on an exterior bulletin board accessible twenty-four (24) hours a day. Notice of regular meetings shall be posted at least seventy-two (72) hours before the meeting. Action may only be taken on items that comply with the notice provisions of the Sunshine Ordinance and the Brown Act.

Section 11: Notice and Conduct of Special Meetings

Special POC or Sub-committee meetings may be called at any time by the Chairperson or by a majority of the POC members or standing Sub-committee members or standing POC members. Notice of all special meetings shall be posted on an exterior bulletin board and filed with the Office of the City Clerk at least 48 hours (excluding Saturday, Sunday, and Holidays) before the time of the meeting set forth in the Agenda. All other notice requirements of the Sunshine Ordinance and the Brown Act shall be met.

Section 12: Closed Sessions

The POC is authorized to hold closed (non-public) sessions at regular and special meetings for purposes of confidential discussions such as pending litigation. Upon consultation with a legal advisor from the City Attorney's Office, and the determination that a closed session is both authorized and appropriate under the circumstances, the POC may call for a closed session. Appropriate notice will be given for all closed sessions.

Section 13: Recess

The POC shall recess for the period of August 1 through August 31 each year, which shall be known as the "annual recess." During the annual recess the POC may be convened by the Chairpersons for special POC meetings or by the Chairperson for a standing Sub-committee meeting.

ARTICLE ~~IX~~ VIII- Agenda Requirements

Section 1: Agenda Preparation

The agenda is prepared through the joint effort of the Chairpersons and Commission Staff. The agenda shall contain a meaningful description of each item to be transacted or discussed at the POC or Sub-committee meeting so that a person can reasonably determine if his or her interests may be affected by the item.

ARTICLE ~~X~~ IX- Voting

Section 1: Voting, Abstention and Recusal

Each member present at a POC or standing Sub-committee meeting shall vote on all matters put to a vote, unless the member abstains, is excused from voting by a motion adopted by a majority of the members present or unless the member declares he or she has a conflict of interest, or has been advised to recuse himself or herself from the vote by the City Attorney because he or she may have a conflict of interest regarding the item.

Section 2: Majority Vote Requirement

All major decisions by the POC shall require a consensus or, if a consensus is not reached and if so determined by the Chairpersons, the Chairpersons may call for an affirmative vote of the majority of those members present.

Section 3: Voting by Proxy

Voting by proxy is prohibited.

ARTICLE ~~XI~~ X - Parliamentary Procedure

Section 1: Robert's Rosenberg's Rules of Order

The business of the POC and its standing Sub-committees shall be conducted, to the extent possible, in accordance with parliamentary rules as contained in **Robert's Rosenberg's** Rules of Order (~~Newly~~ Revised 2011), except as modified by these rules and in accordance with State open meeting laws and local open meeting laws, including the Oakland Sunshine Ordinance. The City Attorney, or such other person as may be designated by the Chairpersons, upon approval of the POC shall serve as the official parliamentarian for meetings of the POC.

ARTICLE ~~XII~~ XI - Representation of the Planning & Oversight Committee

The Chairpersons, or their designee, are the official representatives of the POC. The Chairpersons, or their designee, are the only individuals authorized to speak on behalf of the POC to entities including, but not limited to, the media, the public and at public forums. Whenever the POC learns that a view, position or opinion of the POC as a whole has been misinterpreted or misrepresented in the media, at a hearing, or in a public forum, the POC, through the Chairpersons or the Chairpersons' appointed representative, shall make every reasonable effort to clarify the POC's true position as soon as practicable. Whenever a POC member communicates with the news media or others, or appears at a public hearing or before another City Agency or Department to discuss existing or proposed legislation or policy, the POC member shall make every reasonable effort to specify whether the POC member is expressing a personal opinion, view or position or an opinion, view or position of the POC as a whole.

ARTICLE ~~XIII~~ XII - Bylaws Amendment

These Bylaws shall be reviewed annually or as necessary. The POC may adopt Bylaws amendments at any regular meeting of the POC by a consensus or by vote of two-thirds of the POC members present; provided such proposed amendments are circulated in writing to all POC members at least ten (10) days prior to such meeting, and ten (10) days' public notice shall be posted. The POC shall transmit proposed Bylaws amendments to the City ~~Council~~ Clerk within seven (7) calendar days of adoption by the POC. The Bylaws amendments become effective forty-five (45) days after the date of adoption by the POC.